**Score card for the Best Researcher Award of NAU in Agricultural Sciences**

Total Score for the award will be 100 (Part-A = 70, Part-B = 20 & Part – C = 10).

**Name & Designation of Applicant:**

**Part-A (Max. Score 70)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | | | | | Max Score | | Score obtained | Page No. |
| **A** | **Educational/Academic qualifications (Max Score 10.0)** | | | | |  | |  |  |
| **1** | **Graduation** | | | |  | |  |  |
| 2nd class | | | | 1.0 | |  |  |
| 1st class | | | | 2.0 | |  |  |
| 1st class with distinction / 75 % and above or 7.5 and above OGPA | | | | 3.0 | |  |  |
| **2** | **Post-graduation** | | | |  | |  |  |
| 2nd class | | | | 1.0 | |  |  |
| 1st class | | | | 2.0 | |  |  |
| 1st class with distinction / 80 % and above or 8.0 and above OGPA | | | | 3.0 | |  |  |
|  | **3** | Doctorate | | | | 3.0 | |  |  |
|  | **4** | Post Doctorate | | | | 1.0 | |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 10.0** | | | | | | | |  |  |
| **B** | **Service Experience (Max Score 8.0)** | | | | | | | |  |
|  | Particular | | | No. of years | | Max Score | | Score obtained |  |
| Experience in research over and above eligibility  (1.5 Score /year) | | |  | | 6 | |  |  |
| Experience as Unit / Department Head / Farm Manager / Plant machinery / Accredited lab or Plant / Centre of Excellence Since last five year  (0.5 Score /year) | | |  | | 2 | |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 8.0** | | | | | | | |  |  |
| **C** | **Award / Recognition if any (Max Score 3.0)** | | | | | | | |  |
|  | Particular | | | Numbers | | Max Score | | Score obtained |  |
| Research Award from the Government Recognized Institute / Public sector / Recognized Association/ Scientific / Professional Societies of last five years (0.10 Score /Award) | | |  | | 1 | |  |  |
| ICAR Award (1.0 Score /Award) | | |  | | 2 | |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 3.0** | | | | | | | |  |  |
| **D** | **Teaching / Research / Extension Activities** | | | | | | | |  |
| **a) PG Teaching (Max Score 7.0)** | | | | | | | | |  |
|  | Particular | | | | Numbers | | Max Score | Score obtained |  |
| 1 | PG Teaching other than Thesis over prescribed five years period (0.30 Score /Subject) Result must be attached | | | |  | | 3 |  |  |
| 2 | Number of M.Sc. students guided as Major Advisor (0.5 Score /Student) | | Notification must be attached | |  | | 2 |  |  |
| 3 | Number of Ph.D. students guided as Major Advisor (1.0 Score /Student) | |  | | 2 |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 7.0** | | | | | | | |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **b) Research (last five years data only) (Max Score 16.0)** | | | | | | |  |
|  | Particular | | | Numbers | Max Score | Score obtained |  |
| 1 | Adhoc Research Projects (Other than Plan, Non-Plan, AICRP) handled as PI/Co-PI [(1.5 Score / project as PI) (1.0 Score / project as Co-PI)]  \*PI = Principal Investigator/ Project In-charge | | As PI |  | 6 |  |  |
| As a Co-PI |  | 4 |  |  |
| 2 | No. of research papers published in ≥ 6.0 NAAS rated journals (1.0 Score /paper) (1.0 for First author, 0.5 for 2nd and 3rd and 0.25 for rest of the authors | | |  | 4 |  |  |
| 3 | Books and Book Chapter with ISBN number  (0.50 Score / Book) (0.25 Score / Book chapter) | | |  | 2 |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 16.0** | | | | | |  |  |
| **c) Extension (last five years data only) (Max Score 5.0)** | | | | | | |  |
|  | Particulars | | | Numbers | Max Score | Score obtained |  |
| 1 | Lecture delivered in training programmes / Farmer Day/ *Krishi Mahotsav* (0.25 Score /lecture) | | |  | 2 |  |  |
| 2 | T. V. talk / Radio talk, etc. delivered(1.0 Score /talk) | | |  | 2 |  |  |
| 3 | As Event Organizer of Exhibition/Farmer’s Day/ Field Day / *Krushi mela* /Training/ Seminar/ Workshop/ Symposium (0.5 Score /event) | | |  | 1 |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 5.0** | | | | | |  |  |
| **E.** | **Extra activities (last five years data only) (Max Score 21.0)** | | | | | |  |
|  | Particular | | | Numbers | Max Score | Score obtained |  |
| 1 | No. of varieties/ recommendations for farming/ scientific/ entrepreneurs’ community | As PI/Developer (1.0 Score /variety developer or recommendation) | |  | 6 |  |  |
| Only for first Co-PI/ Evaluator (0.5 Score / variety or recommendation) | |  | 3 |  |  |
| Other than first Co-PI / Screening (0.25 Score / variety or recommendation) | |  | 2 |  |  |
| 2 | IPR Patent obtained/ registered(1.5 Score / Patent) | | |  | 3 |  |  |
| 3 | Registration of new Variety in PPV&FRA/ GI/ Gazette /notification (1.0 Score /entry at national level), Genotype/germplasm registration in NBPGR (0.25 Score / Registration) | | |  | 2 |  |  |
| 4 | Technologies sold to entrepreneurs (0.5 Score /Tech.) | | |  | 2 |  |  |
| 5 | Average revenue generated in Lakh since last five year (2.0 -2.5 = 1.0, 2.5 -3.0 =1.5, 3.0- 3.5 =2.0, 3.5-4. =2.5, >4.0 =3.0) | | |  | 3 |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 21.0** | | | | | |  |  |

**Date:** **Signature of Applicant**

**Part-B (Max. Score 20)**

**Note: (Answer not more than 150 words in each of following)**

**1. Adoptability/ Usefulness of research work done (max. Score7.0)** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**2. Socio-economic relevance of the research contribution (max. Score 7.0)**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**3. Conceptual clarity and originality of research work (max. Score 3.0)** \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**4. Scientific and Technological relevance (max. Score 3.0)**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

**Date:** **Signature of Applicant**

This is to certify that above information is correct to best of my knowledge and belief.

**Recommending and forwarding authority Unit Head**

**Part-C (Max. Score 10)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| F. | **Director of Research's evaluation (Max point 10)** | | | | |  |
|  | **Particular** | Excellent  (2.0) | Very Good  (1.5) | Good  (1.0) | Fair  (0.5) | **Total** |
| 1. | Research management |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Readiness to take initiative in implementation of Research Programme |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Personal assessment |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Behavioral assessment Relationship with sub ordinates of the station |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | Aptitude in research |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total Score obtained out of 10.0** | | | | | |  |

**Signature of Director of Research**

**Annexure-A**

**NAU Best Researcher Award: Rules**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1.** | **Name of the Award** |
|  | Navsari Agricultural University Award for Best Researcher in Agricultural Sciences |
| **2.** | **Sponsor** |
|  | Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari |
| **3.** | **Objectives of the Award** |
|  | To recognize the talented scientists/ Faculty who have shown extra ordinary originality and dedication in their research programme / work |
| **4** | **Nature of Award** |
|  | The Award is exclusively meant for Scientists/ Faculty of NAU, Navsari for outstanding contribution in their area of research. Among all the faculties, one Award will be decided. The Award consists of Rs. 51,000/- in cash and a citation. This includes Rs. 26,000/- for PI/Main researcher, while Rs. 25,000/- will be equally distributes among the co-investigators with citation to all the investigators. |
| **5** | **Frequency** |
|  | Annual |
| **6.** | **Eligibility Criteria** |
|  | Scientists of NAU, who possess a Doctoral degree in any subject of Agricultural and allied science, working as not less than Associate Research Scientist and equivalent for at least five years shall be eligible for application of this award. Further, the applicant should have successfully guided at least two PG students. |
| **7.** | **Administration of the award**  **a. Submission of Application**  Application must be submitted to the Director of Research after thorough verification by the concerned Unit/Sub unit Head/ Deans on or before last date.  **b. Scrutiny of Application**  Scrutiny committee comprised as below shall scrutinize applications received from eligible candidates of NAU & select best 05 applications for submission to Judging committee by considering following criteria.  **Evaluation criteria (As per attached score card)**   |  |  | | --- | --- | | a. | Educational Academic Qualification | | b. | Service Experience | | c. | Award/recognition if any | | d | Teaching/Research/Extension activities | | e | Extra activities | | f | Director of Research's evaluation | | g | Conceptual clarity and originality of research work | | h. | Scientific and Technological relevance | | i | Adoptability/ Usefulness of research work done | | j. | Socio economic relevance of the research contribution | |

**Application Scrutiny Committee**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr. No.** | **Name** | **Designation** |
| 1 | Director of Research, NAU, Navsari | Chairman |
| 2 | Two outside Expert (Retired / Existing not below the rank of Professor) nominated by Hon'ble Vice Chancellor | Member |
| 3 | Two Deans of the Faculty (Nominated by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor) | Member |
| 4 | Associate Director of Research (Nominated by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor) | Member Secretary |

**c. Selection of application for Best Researcher Award**

The Judging committee nominated by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor will evaluate the scrutinized applications. Hon’ble Vice Chancellor will be the chairman of the committee along with the other members as under.

**Judging committee**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Sr. No.** | **Name** | **Designation** |
| 1 | Hon'ble Vice Chancellor, NAU, Navsari | Chairman |
| 2 | Eminent Researcher (from outside University as Nominated by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor) | Member |
| 3 | Two Deans of the Faculty (Nominated by the Hon'ble Vice Chancellor) | Member |
| 4 | Two outside Expert (Retired / Existing not below the rank of Dean to be nominated by Hon'ble Vice Chancellor) | Member |
| 5 | Director of Research, NAU, Navsari | Member Secretary |

1. The Judging Committee will finalize and approve only one application and recommend to Board of Management for the award of "Best Researcher Award".
2. Outstanding research work submitted for the award should have been published as a recommendation of the university in the last five years period. The outstanding research work carried out in AICRP at NAU can also be submitted for the award.
3. The candidate (PI/Main researcher) awarded with the best outstanding research work will not be considered again for the said award in subsequent ten years.

**10.** **Presentation of Award**

The presentation of award will be made during the Annual Convocation of Navsari Agricultural University.