


Regarding revision in the implementation of a modified academic evaluation system for awarding medals in the annual convocation function at NAU

જાહેરનામું નં. ૯૮૯ /૨૦૨૪

આથી સબંધકર્તા સર્વેને જાણ કરવામાં આવે છે કે, તા.૦૧/૧૦/૨૦૨૪ ના રોજ નવસારી ખાતે મળેલ સંચાલક મંડળની ૫૩મી બેઠકની કાર્યનોંધના મુદ્દા ક્રમાંક : ૫૩.૧૩ થી નીચેની વિગતે ઠરાવ કરેલ છે.

**Resolved and approved the revision in the modified procedure and rules, which incorporate due weightage for external examination marks in the assessment of candidates academic performance for awarding of all medals at NAU as per Annexure I, effective from the 19<sup>th</sup> annual convocation ceremony. (Appendix-53.13)**

જા.નં.નક્યુ/નિવિક/ટે.૮/ ૫૦૯૪-૫૫૫૬ /૨૦૨૪  
તારીખ. ૨૨/૧૦/૨૦૨૪

  
નિયામક  
વિદ્યાર્થી કલ્યાણ  
નવસારી કૃષિ યુનિવર્સિટી  
નવસારી

નકલ સવિનય રવાના :

૧. સંચાલક મંડળના તમામ સભ્યશ્રીઓ તરફ
૨. યુનિવર્સિટી ના તમામ અધિકારીશ્રીઓ તરફ
૩. તમામ યુનિટ/સબયુનિટ અધિકારીશ્રીઓ તરફ

નકલ રવાના:

૧. કુલપતિશ્રીના રહસ્યસચિવશ્રી, નવસારી કૃષિ યુનિવર્સિટી, નવસારી
૨. કુલસચિવશ્રીના કચેરીની બોર્ડ ઓફ મેનેજમેંટ શાંખા તરફ (૦૫ નકલમાં)
૩. કુલસચિવશ્રીના રહસ્યસચિવશ્રી, નવસારી કૃષિ યુનિવર્સિટી, નવસારી
૪. કુલસચિવશ્રીની કચેરીની એકેડેમીક/પરીક્ષા શાંખા
૫. અત્રેની કચેરીની જાહેરનામા ફાઈલ

ANNEXURE I

Appendix. 53.13

Procedure for preparation of merit list of undergraduate and postgraduate Students for Gold Medal Awards at NAU:

1. Students achieving a minimum OGPA of 7.5 or higher will be considered eligible for various gold medals. A list of these eligible students shall be compiled for further evaluation.
2. From the list of eligible candidates, the Dean of the respective faculty will create a new merit list in consultation with the Academic Branch at the Registrar's Office, NAU, Navsari with following procedure:

A. For subject with theory and practical credit (i.e.1+1), 80% weightage should be given to external marks and 20% weightage to internal marks.

Semester	Credit	Obtained Marks		Revised Marks		Grade Point (5+6)	Credit Point (7 x 2)	
		Internal (50)	External (50)	New Internal	New External			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
AGRON 1.1	1+1	35	40	(35 x 0.20) = 7.00	(40 x 0.80) = 32.00	39.00	78.00	
Credit Total	02			Total Credit Point			78.00	
Total marks up to two decimal should be prepared = 2 x (Total credit point/Total Credit Hour)								78.00

B. For subject with only theory credit (i.e.1+0) where both internal and external evaluation are done, 80% weightage should be given to external marks and 20% weightage to internal marks.

Semester	Credit	Obtained Marks		Revised Marks		Grade Point (5+6)	Credit Point (7 x 2)	
		Internal (50)	External (50)	New Internal	New External			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
GPB 2.1	1+0	40	45	(40 x 0.20) = 8.00	(45 x 0.80) = 36.00	44.00	44.00	
Credit Total	01			Total Credit Point			44.00	
Total marks up to two decimal should be prepared = 2 x (Total credit point/Total Credit Hour)								88.00

C. For subject with theory credit (i.e.1+0) where only external evaluation are done, 50% weightage should be given to external marks.

Semester	Credit	Obtained Marks		Revised Marks		Grade Point (5+6)	Credit Point (7 x 2)	
		Internal (-)	External (100)	New Internal	New External			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
MMB 591	1+0	-	80	-	(80 x 0.50) = 40.00	40.00	40.00	
Credit Total	01			Total Credit Point			40.00	
Total marks up to two decimal should be prepared = 2 x (Total credit point/Total Credit Hour)								80.00

D. For subject with only practical credit (i.e.0+1) where both internal and external evaluation are done, 80% weightage should be given to external marks and 20% weightage to internal marks.

Semester	Credit	Obtained Marks		Revised Marks		Grade Point (5+6)	Credit Point (7 x 2)
		Internal (50)	External (50)	New Internal	New External		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
AGEXT 4.1	0+1	35	42	(35 x 0.20) = 7.00	(42 x 0.80) = 33.60	40.60	40.60
<b>Credit Total</b>	01			<b>Total Credit Point</b>			40.60
Total marks up to two decimal should be prepared = 2 x (Total credit point/Total Credit Hour)							81.20

E. For subject with practical credit (i.e.0+1), where only single external/internal evaluation is done, 50% weightage should be given to external/internal marks.

Semester	Credit	Obtained Marks		Revised Marks		Grade Point (5+6)	Credit Point (7 x 2)
		Internal (-)	External (100)	New Internal	New External		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
RHWE 8.1	0+1	-	90	-	(90 x 0.50) = 45.00	45.00	45.00
<b>Credit Total</b>	01			<b>Total Credit Point</b>			45.00
Total marks up to two decimal should be prepared = 2 x (Total credit point/Total Credit Hour)							90.00

3. At postgraduate level evaluation currently, the internal evaluation is of 90 Marks (Internal theory 50 Marks and Practical 40 Marks), whereas the external evaluation is of 110 marks (External theory 50 Marks and Practical 60 Marks). So it is decided to convert the internal 90 marks to 50 Marks as well as external 110 Marks to 50 Marks first and then after the same procedure as outlined in Serial No. 2 should be implemented for preparation of new merit list.

Semester	Credit	Obtained Marks				Revised Marks		Grade Point (7+8)	Credit Point (9 x 2)
		Internal (90)	External (110)	Internal (50)	External (50)	New Internal	New External		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
AGRON 1.1	1+1	80	90	(80 x 50/90) =44.44	(90 x 50/110) =40.90	(44.44 x 0.20) = 8.88	(40.90 x 0.80) = 32.72	41.6	83.20
<b>Credit Total</b>	02			<b>Total Credit Point</b>				83.20	
Total marks up to two decimal should be prepared = 2 x (Total credit point/Total Credit Hour)									83.20

4. For the satisfactory subjects where marking is not done, the marks and credit should be treated as zero for preparation of new merit list. For example,

Postgraduate level	All PGS courses, PGS 501, PGS 502, PGS503, PGS 504, PGS 505, PGS 506 etc.
UG Agriculture	HVE 2.0, NF 1, NF 2, etc.
UG Horticulture	SSC 1.3etc.
UG Forestry	BSH 1.2, BSH 2.5, NNP 1.1, NNP 2.2, NNP 3.3, NNP 4.4, NNP 5.5, NNP 6.6, FRP 4.1, FRP 8.8 etc.

5. This new merit list, along with all necessary documents, must be submitted to the scrutiny committee for the final decision of the recipients of various undergraduate level gold medals.
6. For medals awarded in individual subjects to the highest-scoring students, the same procedure mentioned above should be implemented to identify the best student, as outlined in Serial No. 2:
7. The scrutiny committee will assess the best-performing students for the award of gold medals, adhering to the rules and regulations specific to each medal. Academic performance will be evaluated based on the marks obtained through the aforementioned procedure for all gold medals.

\*\*\*\*\*