

## BIODIVERSITY OF SOIL ORIBATID MITE (ACARI : ORIBATIDAE) IN DIFFERENT AGRO-ECOSYSTEMS

Amit T. Patel\*, Abhishek Shukla and Kapil M. Patel

Department of Entomology, N. M. College of Agriculture, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari - 396 450, India.

\*e-mail: [atp@nau.in](mailto:atp@nau.in)

(Received 12 October 2021, Accepted 2 January 2022)

**ABSTRACT :** Biodiversity of oribatid mites were investigated in different disturbed and undisturbed soil of orchards, vegetables, field crops, greenhouse, forest plants, organic farm, bio-diversity park, spices crops, vermicompost bed, ornamental and medicinal plants in Navsari Agricultural University campus during the year 2018 and 2019. Among 3 suborders Brachypylina contributed 66 per cent of total species recorded in Navsari Agricultural University campus. Total 8 species of the suborder Brachypylina were recorded on different agro-ecosystem and fallow land. Out of all 12 species, the proportion of *S. curvialatus* was highest (20.67%) and was followed by *Scheloribates* sp. (15.77%), *O. kuehnelti* (14.95%), *S. huancayensis* (10.21%) and *J. kuehnelti* (10.05%). Maximum numbers of oribatid species were recorded during August-November and moderate population was observed in June-July months during the survey period in different agro-ecosystems. The value of Shannon index of diversity for oribatid mites at Navsari Agricultural University campus is 2.2340 and value of Simpson's index is 0.1253, evenness of oribatid mite species was 0.6650 while, species richness is 12.

**Key words :** Oribatid mites, biodiversity, species richness.

**How to cite :** Amit T. Patel, Abhishek Shukla and Kapil M. Patel (2022) Biodiversity of soil oribatid mite (Acari : Oribatidae) in different agro-ecosystems. *J. Exp. Zool. India* **25**, 703-709. DocID: <https://connectjournals.com/03895.2022.25.703>

### INTRODUCTION

The soil is a reservoir of biodiversity (André *et al*, 2002; Coleman and Whitman, 2005; Ritz *et al*, 2009) and is even considered by some ecologists and geneticists as the biggest biodiversity reservoir on earth (Feeney *et al*, 2006 and Lescroart, 2009). Due to multiple reasons, our knowledge about the soil fauna and in particular on soil mite is very poor (André *et al*, 1997 and Giller *et al*, 1997). Soil mites are an important component of the ecosystem, because of their relevant role as regulators of key functional processes (Lavelle, 1996; Andrén and Balandreau, 1999; Fitter *et al*, 2005; Palacio-Vargas *et al*, 2007; Bardgett, 2008). Generally, they contribute to organic matter decomposition and mineralization (Santos and Whitford, 1981; Seastedt, 1984; Whitford and Parker, 1989; Tian *et al*, 1998; Joo *et al*, 2006; Wang *et al*, 2009) and regulation of microfauna and microflora (Seastedt, 1984; Lavelle and Spain, 1991; Gobat *et al*, 2004). As the macrofauna, the soil mite community is often considered to be a useful bioindicator of ecosystem conditions and changes (Lebrun and Van Straalen, 1996; Van Straalen, 1998; Parisi *et al*, 2005; Tondoh *et al*, 2007).

Mites in soil are generally represented by four major groups viz., Prostigmata, Astigmata, Mesostigmata and Oribatida. Among four major groups, Oribatid mites belong to the suborder Cryptostigmata (oribatida). Since these mites are dark-colored and covered with a rigid exoskeleton, they are popularly known as 'beetle' or 'moss' mites and are the world's most numerous arthropods living in the soil. The adult oribatid mites usually have a strong exoskeleton, hardened by sclerotization as in other mites and by mineralization, similar to millipedes and isopods. These slow-moving mites are 0.2-1.0 mm in length and occur in the top layer of soil, litter debris, sometimes also on plants, mosses and lichens. In soil, oribatid mites constitute more than 50 per cent of the total micro-arthropod population. Their densities range from 50,000 per m<sup>2</sup> in tropical to 3,00,000 per m<sup>2</sup> in boreal forests where a large amount of organic matter occurs. They also selectively feed on microflora adhering to this detritus. Oribatid mites are known only from seven states of India, viz., West Bengal, Bihar, Orissa, Uttar Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, Kerala and Sikkim. The other Indian states are completely unexplored in so far as the mites of this

group are concerned. Some work on taxonomy, biology and ecology of soil mites has been made in India, but the biodiversity on these mites have been neglected which is needed to be studied.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Study sites :** The study sites were located within the Navsari Agricultural University Campus, South Gujarat (Fig. 1). Different agro-ecosystems of Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari campus and nearby areas were comprehensively surveyed for the collection of soil mites from different disturbed and undisturbed soil fauna of orchards, vegetables, field crops, greenhouse, forest plants, ornamental and medicinal plants, etc. during the year 2018 and 2019. The soil and litter samples from the

analysis, the data regarding collected specimens of soil mites were arranged according to source during each season of collection. The averages were worked out. There are different methods to calculate the species diversity. The biodiversity count was made by using Shannon diversity index (Shannon, 1948) to estimate species richness, evenness and species diversity (Shannon Weiner Diversity Index). The per cent proportion of different soil oribatid mite species was determined and percentage of each species was calculated. This analysis was made to determine the most abundant and prevalent soil mite species in the region during the survey.



**Fig. 1 :** Study sites in the Navsari Agricultural University, South Gujarat, India.

various sites described above were collected from five different sites per plots with three different depths (0-5 cm, 5-10 cm, 10-15 cm). Sampled soil was brought to the Acarology laboratory in individually labeled polythene bags (500 gm) tied with rubber band. The process of extraction was carried out in an open brass funnel apparatus following the extraction principles of Berlese (1905) and Tullgren (1918). These samples were properly labelled and kept in plastic vials having alcohol (90%) with tightly closed caps for further studies. The mites thus collected, were mounted on glass slides by using Hoyer's media.

**Biodiversity analysis :** For making biodiversity

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Among 3 suborders Brachyplina contributed 66 per cent of the total species recorded in Navsari Agricultural University campus. Total 8 species of the suborder Brachyplina were recorded in different agro-ecosystems and fallow land. These 8 species were belonging to 4 families *viz.*, Scheloribatidae, Haplozetidae, Oppiidae and Mochlozetidae and 6 different genera *viz.*, *Scheloribates* (3 species), *Protoribates* (1 species), *Rostrozetes* (1 species), *Oppia* (1 species), *Amerioppia* (1 species), *Unguizetes* (1 species), respectively were also recorded during the survey (Table 1). The proportion of different

**Table 1 :** Soil oribatid mite diversity during year 2018 and 2019.

Suborder	Family	Genera	Species number
Brachypylina	Scheloribatidae	<i>Scheloribates</i>	3
	Haplozetidae	<i>Protoribates</i>	1
		<i>Rostrozetes</i>	1
	Oppiidae	<i>Oppia</i>	1
		<i>Amerioppia</i>	1
Mochlozetidae	<i>Unguizetes</i>	1	
Mixonomata	Lohmanidae	<i>Javacarus</i>	1
	Euphthiracaridae	<i>Rhysotritia</i>	1
Poronoticae	Ceratozetidae	<i>Trichoribates</i>	1
	Galumnidae	<i>Galumna</i>	1
Total			12

**Table 2 :** Proportion of different oribatid mite species during year 2018 and 2019.

S. no.	Oribatid mites	Number of samples collected	Percentage (%)
1	<i>Scheloribates curvialatus</i> Hammer	253	20.67
2	<i>Scheloribates</i> sp.	193	15.77
3	<i>S. huancayensis</i> Hammer	125	10.21
4	<i>Protoribates magnus</i> Aoki	79	6.45
5	<i>Rostrozetes foveolatus</i> Sellnick	97	7.92
6	<i>Oppia kuehnelti</i> Kuehnelt	183	14.95
7	<i>Amerioppia</i> sp.	29	2.37
8	<i>Unguizetes clavatus</i> Aoki	57	4.66
9	<i>Javacarus kuehnelti</i> Kuehnelt	123	10.05
10	<i>Rhysotritia</i> sp.	27	2.21
11	<i>Trichoribates</i> sp.	37	3.02
12	<i>Galumna</i> sp.	21	1.72
Total		1224	

**Table 3 :** Oribatid mites in different crops at Navsari Agricultural University campus during 2018 and 2019.

S. no.	Species	Year-2018						Year-2019					
		Sugarcane	Rice	Pigeon pea	Lucerne	Castor	Okra	Sugarcane	Rice	Pigeon pea	Lucerne	Castor	Okra
1	<i>S. curvialatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2	<i>Scheloribates</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3	<i>S. huancayensis</i>	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
4	<i>P. magnus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5	<i>R. foveolatus</i>	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+
6	<i>O. kuehnelti</i>	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
7	<i>Amerioppia</i> sp.	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
8	<i>U. clavatus</i>	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
9	<i>J. kuehnelti</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
10	<i>Rhysotritia</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	<i>Trichoribates</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	<i>Galumna</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 4 :** Oribatid mites in fruit, timber crops and organic farm at Navsari Agricultural University campus during 2018 and 2019.

S. no.	Species	Year-2018					Year-2019				
		Mango	Coconut	Banana	Teak	Organic farm	Mango	Coconut	Banana	Teak	Organic farm
1	<i>S. curvialatus</i>	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
2	<i>Scheloribates</i> sp.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
3	<i>S. huancayensis</i>	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
4	<i>P. magnus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
5	<i>R. foveolatus</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
6	<i>O. kuehnelti</i>	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
7	<i>Amerioppia</i> sp.	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
8	<i>U. clavatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
9	<i>J. kuehnelti</i>	-	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
10	<i>Rhysotritia</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
11	<i>Trichoribates</i> sp.	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+
12	<i>Galumna</i> sp.	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

+ = Present, - = Absent.



**Table 6 :** Monthly collection of oribatid mites from different agro-ecosystems during 2018.

S. no.	Species	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
1	<i>S. curvialatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2	<i>Scheloribates</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
3	<i>S. huancayensis</i>	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
4	<i>P. magnus</i>	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
5	<i>R. foveolatus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
6	<i>O. kuehnelti</i>	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
7	<i>Amerioppia</i> sp.	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8	<i>U. clavatus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
9	<i>J. kuehnelti</i>	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
10	<i>Rhysotritia</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
11	<i>Trichoribates</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
12	<i>Galumna</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-

+ = Present, - = Absent

**Table 7 :** Monthly collection of oribatid mites in different agroecosystems at Navsari Agricultural University campus during 2019.

S. no.	Species	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
1	<i>S. curvialatus</i>	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2	<i>Scheloribates</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-
3	<i>S. huancayensis</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+
4	<i>P. magnus</i>	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
5	<i>R. foveolatus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
6	<i>O. kuehnelti</i>	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
7	<i>Amerioppia</i> sp.	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
8	<i>U. clavatus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-
9	<i>J. kuehnelti</i>	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
10	<i>Rhysotritia</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
11	<i>Trichoribates</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-
12	<i>Galumna</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	-

+ = Present, - = Absent.

**Table 8 :** Biodiversity indices of Oribatid mites at Navsari Agricultural University campus.

Oribatid species	N	N	$n/N=Pi$	$Pi^2$	$\ln Pi$	$Pi \ln Pi$
<i>Scheloribates curvialatus</i>	253	1224	0.21	0.0427	-1.5765	-0.32586
<i>Scheloribates sp.</i>	193	1224	0.16	0.0249	-1.8472	-0.29126
<i>S. huancayensis</i>	125	1224	0.10	0.0104	-2.2816	-0.23300
<i>Protoribates magnus</i>	79	1224	0.06	0.0042	-2.7404	-0.17687
<i>Rostrozetes foveolatus</i>	97	1224	0.08	0.0063	-2.5352	-0.20091
<i>Oppia kuehnelti</i>	183	1224	0.15	0.0224	-1.9004	-0.28413
<i>Amerioppia sp.</i>	29	1224	0.02	0.0006	-3.7426	-0.08867
<i>Unguizetes clavatus</i>	57	1224	0.05	0.0022	-3.0668	-0.14282
<i>Javacarus kuehnelti</i>	123	1224	0.10	0.0101	-2.2977	-0.23090
<i>Rhysotritia sp.</i>	27	1224	0.02	0.0005	-3.8140	-0.08413
<i>Trichoribates sp.</i>	37	1224	0.03	0.0009	-3.4990	-0.10577
<i>Galumna sp.</i>	21	1224	0.02	0.0003	-4.0654	-0.06975
			$\Sigma Pi^2=0.1253$			$\Sigma Pi \ln Pi=-2.23407$

$n$ = number of specimens of species. ;  $N$ = Total number of specimens of all species.  $Pi$  = Proportion of  $S$  made up of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  species

belonging to 10 families and 15 genera were identified (nine species were collected from the fields and 17 species were collected from date-palm farms). Among the collected species, *Scheloribates fimbriatus* with 22% relative abundance and *Acrotritia ardua* with 19% were dominant species reported by Ramezani and Mossadegh (2014). In India, Acharya and Basu (2014) recorded 16 species under 13 genera soil oribatid mites in the grape orchards of Nashik and nearby places are more or less similar to the present finding. In spices and medicinal crops total 11 species were recorded, while from greenhouse soil, vermicompost bed, biodiversity Park and fallow land. Moreover, 10 species viz., *S. curvialatus*, *Scheloribates sp.*, *S. huancayensis*, *P. magnus*, *R. foveolatus*, *O. kuehnelti*, *Amerioppia sp.*, *U. clavatus*, *Rhysotritia sp.* and *Trichoribates sp.* were recorded from biodiversity park, which was followed by vermicompost bed (6 species viz., *S. curvialatus*, *Scheloribates sp.*, *S. huancayensis*, *P. magnus*, *O. kuehnelti* and *U. clavatus*), greenhouse soil (5 species viz., *S. curvialatus*, *Scheloribates sp.*, *S. huancayensis*, *O. kuehnelti* and *U. clavatus*), turmeric field (5 species viz., *S. curvialatus*, *S. huancayensis*, *P. magnus*, *U. clavatus* and *J. kuehnelti*), aloe vera (5 species viz., *S. curvialatus*, *P. magnus*, *U. clavatus*, *Rhysotritia sp.* and *Trichoribates sp.*), however the fallow land comprised 2 species viz., *U. clavatus* and *Rhysotritia sp.* (Table 5). Moreover, maximum numbers of oribatid species were recorded during August-November and moderate population during June-July months in different agro-ecosystems. The monthly variation in population abundance showed that the abundance during August, September or October differed from other of the months with higher abundance and the abundance in May differed from most of the months due

to poor abundance reported by Banerjee (2011), thus closely support the present findings as to the higher population abundance of oribatid mites in month of August-November, moderate population in June-July and poor population March-May (Tables 6, 7). *S. curvialatus* was most frequent during the survey and found throughout the survey period on different agroecosystems which followed by *S. huancayensis* and *O. kuehnelti* (Tables 6, 7). Singh and Mukherji (1970) reported *Epilohmannia*, *Peloribates*, *Scheloribates* were dominant genera from Indian soils.

**Biodiversity indices :** The value of Shannon index of diversity for oribatid mites in different agro-ecosystems at Navsari Agricultural University campus is 2.2340, while the value of Simpson's index of diversity for oribatid mites in different agro-ecosystems is 0.1253 (Table 8). The value of  $D$  ranges between 0 to 1, zero represents infinite diversity and 1, no diversity. This value is neither intuitive nor logical, so to get over this problem,  $D$  is often subtracted from 1 to give Simpson's index of diversity (1- $D$ ). The value of this also ranges between 0 and 1. The value of Simpson's index of diversity for oribatid mites is 0.8747. Moreover, greater is the value, the greater the species diversity. So from this, it can be stated that there is a greater diversity of oribatid mites. The evenness of oribatid mite species in different agro-ecosystems is 0.6650. The species richness of oribatid mites in different agro-ecosystems is 12. The relative abundance is among 12 oribatid species *S. curvialatus* was highest (20.67%) followed by *Scheloribates sp.* (15.77%), *O. kuehnelti* (14.95%), *S. huancayensis* (10.21%) and *J. kuehnelti* (10.05%) as they found most commonly on various crop plants during the survey. Other species occurred very rarely during survey and have low abundance (Table 2).

## REFERENCES

- Acharya S and Basu P (2014) Diversity and richness of soil oribatid mites (Acarina: Arachnida: Arthropoda) in grape orchads, Nashik, Maharashtra. *Int. J. Biosci.* **2**(7), 23-27.
- André H M, Ducarme X and Lebrun P (2002) Soil biodiversity: myth, reality or conning? *Oikos* **96**, 3-24.
- André H M, Noti M I and Jacobson K M (1997) The soil microarthropods of the Namib Desert: A patchy mosaic. *J. Afr. Zool.* **111**, 499-517.
- Andrén O and Balandreau J (1999) Biodiversity and soil functioning from black box to can of worms? *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **13**, 105-108.
- Banerjee S (2011) Studies on soil inhabiting mites (Acari) with special reference to oribatei in degraded and waste disposal sites in Kolkata and suburbs, West bengal, India. *Ph.D. thesis* Department of Zoology, University of Calcutta, India. 311 p.
- Bardgett R D (2008) The consequences of soil biodiversity for ecosystem function. Presented to *Soils-2008*, Conference Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand, p. 1-5.
- Berlese A (1905) Acari nuovi Manipules IV. Acari di Giave. *Redia* **2**, 154-176.
- Coleman D C and Whitman W B (2005) Linking species richness, biodiversity and ecosystem function in soil systems. *Pedobiologia* **49**, 479-497.
- Feeny D S, Crawford J W, Daniell T, Hallett P D, Nunan N, Ritz K, Rivers M and Young I M (2006) Three-dimensional micro organization of the soil-root microbe system. *Microb. Ecol.* **52**, 151-158.
- Fitter A H, Gilligan C A, Hollingworth K, Kleczkowski A, Twyman R M and Pitchford J W (2005) Biodiversity and ecosystem function in soil. *Funct. Ecol.* **19**, 369-377.
- Giller K E, Beare M H, Lavelle P, Izac A M and Swift M J (1997) Agricultural intensification, soil biodiversity and agroecosystem function. In: *Agricultural intensification, soil biodiversity and agroecosystem function in the tropics*. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* (Spec. Issue) **6**, 3-16.
- Gobat J M, Aragno M and Matthey W (2004) *The living soil fundamentals of soil science and soil biology*. Science Publishers, Enfield (NH), USA, Plymouth, UK, p. 602.
- Joo S I, Yim M H and Nakane K (2006) Contribution of microarthropods to the decomposition of needle litter in a Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) plantation. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **234**, 192-198.
- Julie E (2010) Studies on the brachypyline oribatid mites of kerala. *Thesis Ph.D.*, Division of Acarology, Department of Zoology, University of Calicut, Kerala, India. 204 p.
- Lavelle P (1996) Diversity of soil fauna and ecosystem function. *Biol. Int.* **33**, 3-6.
- Lavelle P and Spain A (1991) *Soil Ecology*. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands pp. 654.
- Lebrun P and Van Straalen N (1996) Oribatid mites, prospects for their use in ecotoxicology. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* **19**, 361-379.
- Lescroart M (2009) Exploring the soil's genetic biodiversity. *CNRS International Magazine* **15**, 40.
- Magurran A E (1988) In: *Ecological Diversity and Its Measurement*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ. p. 179.
- Margalef R (1972) Homage to Evelyn Hutchinson, or why is there an upper limit to diversity. *Trans. Cen. Acad. An. Sci.* **44**, 211-235.
- Palacios-Vargas J G, Castano-Meneses G, Gomez-Anaya J A, Martinez-Sanchez J, Mejia-Recamier B E and Martinez-Sanchez J (2007) Litter and soil arthropods diversity and density in a tropical dry forest ecosystem in Western Mexico. *Biodivers. Conserv.* **16**, 3703-3717.
- Parisi V C, Menta C, Gardi C, Jacomini C and Mozzanica E (2005) Microarthropod communities as a tool to assess soil quality and biodiversity: a new approach in Italy. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **105**, 323-333.
- Peet R K (1974) The measurement of species diversity. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **5**, 285-307.
- Ramezani L and Mossadegh M S (2014) Biodiversity of oribatid mites in two different microhabitats of Khuzestan province (Southwestern Iran). *J. Crop. Prot.* **3**(4), 443-448.
- Ritz K, Black H I J, Campbell C D, Harris J and Wood C M (2009) Selecting biological indicators for monitoring soils: A framework for balancing scientific and technical opinion to assist policy development. *Ecol. Indic.* **9**, 1212-1221.
- Santos P and Whitford W G (1981) The effects of microarthropods on litter decomposition in a Chihuahuan desert ecosystem. *Ecol.* **62**, 654-663.
- Seastedt T R (1984) The role of microarthropods in decomposition and mineralization processes. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **29**, 25-46.
- Shannon C E and Weaver W (1963) In: *The mathematical theory for communication*. University of Illinois Press, Urbana. p. 117.
- Shanon C E (1948) A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Tech. J.* **27**, 379-423.
- Simpson E (1949) Measurement of diversity. *Nature* **163**, 688.
- Singh J and Mukherjee S P (1970) Seasonal variation in the densities of a soil arthropod population in a rose garden at Varanasi (India). *Pedobiologia* **10**, 442-446.
- Tian G, Adejuyigbe C O, Adeoye G O and Kang B T (1998) Role of soil microarthropods in leaf decomposition and N release under various land-use practices in the humid tropics. *Pedobiologia* **42**, 33-42.
- Tondoh J E, Monin M L, Tiho S and Csuzdi C (2007) Can earthworms be used as bio-indicators of land-use perturbations in semi-deciduous forest? *Biol. Fertil. Soils* **43**, 585-592.
- Tullgren A (1918) Ein Sehrein acher Ausleseapparatt fur terricole Tierformen. *Z. Angew. Ent.* **4**, 149-150.
- Van Straalen N M (1998) Evaluation of bioindicator systems derived from soil arthropod communities. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **9**, 429-437.
- Wang S, Ruan H and Wang B (2009) Effects of soil microarthropods on plant litter decomposition across an elevation gradient in the Wuyi mountains. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **41**(5), 891-897.
- Whitford W G and Parker L W (1989) Contributions of soil fauna to decomposition and mineralization processes in semiarid and arid ecosystems. *Arid Soil Rehabilitation* 199-215.