<u>ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORT – 2010-11</u> (01.04.2010 TO 31.03.2011)

1. GENERAL INFORMATION ABOUT THE KVK

1.1. Name and address of KVK with phone, fax and e-mail

| Address | Telephone | | Address Telephone | | E mail |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------------------|--|--------|
| | Office | FAX | | | |
| Krishi Vigyan Kendra | (02626) | (02626) | kvkvyara@yahoo.co.in | | |
| Navsari Agricultural University | 221869 | 220212 | | | |
| Regional Rice Research Station | | | | | |
| Vyara, Dist. Tapi, | | | | | |
| Gujarat-394 650 | | | | | |

1.2 .Name and address of host organization with phone, fax and e-mail

| Address | Telephone | | E mail |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------------------|
| | Office | FAX | |
| Director of Extension Education | (02637) | (02637) | deenaunvs@yahoo.co.in |
| Navsari Agricultural University | 282026 | 282706 | |
| Navsari | | | |

1.3. Name of the Programme Coordinator with phone & mobile No

| Name | Telephone / Contact | | | | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Residence | Mobile | Email | | | | | |
| Dr. Nikulsinh M. | - | 9427868668 | nikulsinh_m@yahoo.in | | | | | |
| Chauhan | | | | | | | | |

1.4. Year of sanction: 2004 (As ZARS KVK – 2000)

| 1.5. Staff Position | (as on 1 st | April 2011) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------|

| Sr. No. | Sanctioned post | Name of the incumbent | Designation | Discipline | Pay Scale (Rs.) | Present basic (Rs.) | Date of joining | Permanent /Temporary | Category (SC/ST/ OBC/ Others) |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 1 | Programme Coordinator | Dr. N. M. Chauhan | PC | Extension Education | 15600-39100 G.P 8000 | 30320 | 16/02/2009 | Permanent | General |
| 2 | Subject Matter Specialist | Dr. A. P. Patel | SMS | Agronomy | 15600-39100 G.P 6000 | 21600 | 10/07/2009 | Permanent | ST |
| 3 | Subject Matter Specialist | Mr. S. T. Bhatt | SMS | Horticulture | 15600-39100 G.P. – 6000 | 15600 | 01/04/2011 | Permanent | General |
| 4 | Subject Matter Specialist | Dr. J. H. Rathod | SMS | Plant Protection | 15600-39100 G.P. – 6000 | 24810 | 31/07/2009 | Permanent | General |
| 5 | Subject Matter Specialist | Mr. C. D. Pandya | SMS | Extension Education | 15600-39100 G.P. – 6000 | 24750 | 29/07/2009 | Permanent | General |
| 6 | Subject Matter Specialist | Arti N. Soni | SMS | Home Science | 15600-39100 G.P. – 6000 | 22250 | 04/04/2008 | Permanent | General |
| 7 | Subject Matter Specialist | Dr. J. K. Raval | SMS | Veterinary Science | 15600-39100 G.P. – 6000 | 15600 | 01/04/2011 | Permanent | OBC |
| 8 | Programme Assistant | | Prog. Assi. | | Vacant | | | | |
| 9 | Computer Programmer | Nisheeta R. Patel | Comp. Prog. | | Fixed | 6000 | 21/08/2008 | Permanent | SC |
| 10 | Farm Manager | Mr. V. N. Parmar | Farm Manager | | Fixed | 6000 | 23/08/2007 | Permanent | General |
| 11 | Accountant / Superintendent | | Acct. / Super. | | Vacant | | | | |
| 12 | Stenographer | Mr. K. R. Parmar | Steno. | | Fixed | 4500 | 18/08/2008 | Permanent | General |
| 13 | Driver | Mr. C. I. Patel | Driver | | Fixed | 4500 | 23/08/2007 | Permanent | OBC |
| 14 | Driver | | Driver | | Vacant | | | | |
| 15 | Supporting staff | | Supp. Staff | | Vacant | | | | |
| 16 | Supporting staff | | Supp. Staff | | Vacant | | | | |

1.6. Total land with KVK (in ha)

| S. No. | Item | Area (ha) |
|--------|---------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Under Buildings | 2.5 |
| 2. | Under Demonstration Units | |
| 3. | Under Crops | 6.0 |
| 4. | Orchard/Agro-forestry | 0.8 |
| 5. | Others (specify) | |

:

1.7. Infrastructural Development:

A) Buildings

| | | Source | Stage | | | | | | |
|-----|------------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--|
| S. | Name of | of | Complete Incom | | | Incompl | ete | | |
| No. | building | funding | Completion Date | Plinth area (Sq.m) | Expenditure (Rs.) | Starting Date | Plinth area (Sq.m) | Status of construction | |
| 1 | Administrative Building | ICAR | | | | abo | about to complete | | |
| 2 | Farmers Hostel | | | | | about to complete | | | |
| 3 | Staff Quarters (5) | ICAR | | | | about to complete | | mplete | |
| 4 | Demonstration Units (2) | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Fencing | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Rain Water harvesting system | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Threshing floor | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Farm godown | | | | | | | | |

B) Vehicles

| Type of vehicle | Year of purchase | Cost (Rs.) | Total kms. Run | Present status |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Jeep (Bolero) | 2004 | 4,30,500=00 | 226555 | Working |
| Tractor | 2001 | 3,31225=00 | 4502 | Working |
| Motorcycle | 2011 | 48,816=00 | | Working |

C) Equipments & AV aids

| Sr. No. | Name of Equipments/ Instruments/ Farm Machineries | No. | Date of Purchase | Price | Present Status |
|------------|---|-----|---------------------|-------|-------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| (1) | Furniture (Godrej) | | | | |
| 1 | Table T-9 | 4 | 30/3/2001 | 26636 | Working |
| 2 | Table T-104 | 1 | 30/3/2001 | 8515 | Working |
| 3 | Chair CH-18C | 20 | 30/3/2001 | 43300 | Working |
| 4 | Chair PCH-700 B | 1 | 30/3/2001 | 8168 | Working |
| 5 | Chair CH-7 B | 4 | 30/3/2001 | 5692 | Working |
| 6 | Store Well – Glass Door | 1 | 30/3/2001 | 9259 | Working |
| 7 | Slotted Angel Racks | 4 | 30/3/2001 | 4900 | Working |

| Sr. | Name of Equipments/ | | Date of | | Present |
|---------------|---|-----|------------|----------|---------|
| No. | Instruments/ Farm Machineries | No. | Purchase | Price | Status |
| (2) | Mahindra Tractor model 575 DI | 1 | 30/3/2001 | 3,31,225 | Working |
| (2) | 45 HP & Accessories | | 00/0/2001 | 0,01,220 | Working |
| (3) | Photo Copier NP 7160 | 1 | 31/3/2001 | 117274 | Not |
| (0) | Canon NPG-1 | | 01/0/2001 | | working |
| (4) | Furniture (Godrej) | | | | |
| 1 | Table –T- 402 | 5 | 27/12/2002 | 24600 | Working |
| 2 | Comp. Table C-6 | 1 | 27/12/2002 | 5255 | Working |
| 3 | Store Well – Glass Door | 1 | 27/12/2002 | 9330 | Working |
| 4 | Store Well Plane | 2 | 27/12/2002 | 16000 | Working |
| 5 | Chair CHR-7B | 15 | 27/12/2002 | 22350 | Working |
| 6 | Chair PCH-5000 2 T | 2 | 27/12/2002 | 7230 | Working |
| 7 | Filing Cabinet | 1 | 27/12/2002 | 7900 | Working |
| (5) | Computer & Peripherals | 1 | 28/12/2002 | 51850 | Working |
| (6) | 3 KVA on line UPS | 1 | 28/12/2002 | 38000 | Not |
| | | | | | working |
| (7) | HP Laser Jet 1200 Printer | 1 | 28/12/2002 | 20600 | Not |
| | | | | | working |
| (8) | MSXP standard edition with | 1 | 30/12/2002 | 6450 | Not |
| | Indian Longwise Proofing tools | | | | Working |
| (9) 1 | CD writer | 1 | 28/12/2002 | 3025 | Working |
| 2 | HP Scan jet 2300c Scanner | 1 | 28/12/2002 | 3700 | Not |
| | - | | | | Working |
| (10) 1 | Ceramic steel white writing board 4'x6' | 1 | 21/2/2003 | 9000 | Working |
| 2 | Ceramic chalk writing board 4'x 6' | 1 | 21/2/2003 | 9000 | Working |
| (11) 1 | Over Head Projector | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 27690 | Working |
| 2 | Plastic screen with tripod stand | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 4500 | Working |
| (12) 1 | LG 29 CA Color TV 29" | 1 | 21/3/2003 | 26990 | Working |
| 2 | Thomson 5 in 1 VCD player | 1 | 21/3/2003 | 6990 | Working |
| (13) | P.A. System | | | | |
| 1 | Amplifier SSA 250 | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 9400 | Working |
| 2 | Eco Mixture DMX 40 | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 3249 | Working |
| 3 | Full Range Speaker SRX 250 D | 4 | 22/3/2003 | 24472 | Working |
| 4 | Microphone | | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| | ALD 101 x LR | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 1140 | Working |
| | ATP 20 M | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 489 | Working |
| | WM 201 | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 1615 | Working |
| 5 | Unit Horn Combination UHC 30 x T | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 1188 | Working |
| 6 | Micro Phone Stand | | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| | DGN | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 456 | Working |
| | DGT | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 285 | Working |
| | ATS:5 | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 100 | Working |
| (14) | A.V. Trolly | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 4132 | Working |
| (15) | Laminated Chart with wooden Frame size 20" x 30" | 33 | 22/3/2003 | 24420 | Working |
| (16) | Sony Digital Handy cam | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 32750 | Working |

| • | Name of Equipments/ | | | | Durant |
|---------------|--|-----|---------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Sr. No. | Instruments/ | No. | Date of Purchase | Price | Present Status |
| NO. | Farm Machineries | | | | |
| 1 | Power adapter | 1 | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| 2 | Battery | 1 | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| 3 | Remote Control | 1 | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| 4 | AV Connecting Cable | 1 | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| 5 | Belt shoulder strap | 1 | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| 6 | Handy Cam Recording Caset | 1 | 22/3/2003 | | Working |
| (17) | Automatic slide Projector | 1 | 22/3/2003 | 13695 | Working |
| (18) | Portable Generator EXK 2000 AC | 1 | 24/3/2003 | 38200 | Working |
| (19) | Education Exhibition Panel System | 1 | 25/3/2003 | 13500 | Working |
| 1 | News Paper Stand | 1 | 25/3/2003 | 3500 | Working |
| 2 | Displayer/Book/ Magazine Stand | 1 | 25/3/2003 | 3500 | Working |
| 3 | Notice Writing Board with Acrylic Shutter | 1 | 25/3/2003 | 4450 | Working |
| (20) | Stainless steal Vessels | 23 | 28/3/2003 | 19450 | Working |
| (21) | Modem | 1 | 31/3/2003 | 2020 | Working |
| (22) | Laminated Charts with Plywood Framing size 24"x30" | 5 | 12/3/2004 | 3000 | Working |
| (23) | Colour Enlargement charts | 33 | 29/3/2004 | 24420 | Working |
| (24) | Jeep Mahindra & Mahindra Bolero D.I. | 1 | 2/12/2004 | 430500 | Working |
| (25) | Bolero Acessories | | 2/12/2004 | 21650 | Working |
| (27) | Whirlpool freez | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 15800 | Working |
| (28) 1 | Electronic Automatic Kel Pus | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 88120 | Working |
| | Microprocessor based eight place macro block digestion system model KES-08L | | | | |
| 2 | Electronic Kel plus micro processor based Automatic Distillation system model distil EM | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 142300 | Working |
| (29) | Double still with thermo sensor hr (All glass) cat No 2348 | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 33924 | Working |
| (30) | Nova Rotary shaking machine | | | | |
| 1 | (a)Capacity 16 flasks of 250 ml | 1 | 28/3/2006 | 24500 | Working |
| 23 | (b)Capacity 25 flasks of 250 ml | 1 | 28/3/2006 | 29750 | Working |
| 3 | Nova Hot plate Rectangular model NV-8535 stainless steel | | | | |
| | (a) Size 12" x 20" | 1 | 28/3/2006 | 8500 | Working |
| | (b) Size 18" x 24" | 1 | 28/3/2006 | 11250 | Working |
| 4 | Nova willy mill stain lese steel camber Size 100 x 50 mm | 1 | 28/3/2006 | 31900 | Working |
| (31) 1 | Laboratory Table | 4 | 27/3/2006 | 34400 | Working |
| 2 | Racks | 6 | 27/3/2006 | 9000 | Working |
| 3 | Stools | 12 | 27/3/2006 | 5400 | Working |

| Sr. No. | Name of Equipments/ Instruments/ Farm Machineries | No. | Date of Purchase | Price | Present Status |
|---------------|--|-----|---------------------|--------|-------------------|
| 4 | Steel cupboard storewell | | 27/3/2006 | 19200 | Working |
| 5 | Steel cupboard storewel | 4 | 27/3/2006 | 14000 | Working |
| 6 | Steel racks | 4 | 27/3/2006 | 8600 | Ŭ |
| 7 | Partition racks | 3 | 27/3/2006 | 22500 | Working |
| 8 | Office chair | 4 | 27/3/2006 | 4000 | Working |
| (32) | Systronics make | | | | |
| 1 | Micro controller based Digital spectrophotometer model -106 | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 26800 | Working |
| 2 | Systronics make micro controller based flame photometer compressor model-128 | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 35200 | Working |
| 3 | Systronics make micro controller based PH meter | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 10900 | Working |
| 4 | Systronics make micro processor based conductivity meter | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 12800 | Working |
| (33) | Hot air oven | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 21200 | Working |
| (34) 1 | Chemical Balance | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 75000 | Working |
| 2 | CENTRO FIX WATERBATH | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 10800 | Working |
| 3 | CENTRO FIX – Muffle furnace | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 29500 | Working |
| 4 | Automatic autoclave | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 21000 | Working |
| (35) | City weigh balance model ST- 10 Cap- 10 kg | 1 | 27/3/2006 | 10640 | Working |
| (36) 1 | LG AC-15 ton | 1 | 31/3/2006 | 23740 | Working |
| 2 | Micro kjeldahl Assembly | 1 | 31/3/2006 | 10700 | Working |
| (37) | Burner maker type with stop coke | 8 | 31/3/2006 | 2000 | Working |
| (38) | Voltas make water cooler | 1 | 31/3/2006 | 26500 | Working |
| (39) 1 | Soft Pin up Board | 25 | 29/11/2007 | 96250 | Working |
| 2 | Single Pole Stand | 26 | 29/11/2007 | 35360 | Working |
| (40) | Microscope for Computer | 1 | 17/3/2008 | 294028 | Working |
| (41) 1 | SDZ – TR – PL – HL Microscope controlled Transformer | 1 | 15/3/2008 | 209444 | Working |
| 2 | OP – 150 R Fibre Optic Illumivater | 1 | 15/3/2008 | | Working |
| 3 | GMTV – 33 H High Resolution Coloured CCTV system | 1 | 15/3/2008 | | Working |
| (42) | Colony Counter – MSW – 408 | 1 | 15/3/2008 | 5668 | Working |
| (43) | Oven Universal – MSW – 213 | 1 | 15/3/2008 | 65788 | Working |
| (44) | Insect Rating Case | 5 | 17/3/2008 | 14000 | Working |
| (45) | LG A/C machine 2.0 Ton Split AC with Remote | 2 | 17/3/2008 | 58680 | Working |
| (46) | LG Refrigeration–280 Lit. Model -295TMG4 | 1 | 25/3/2008 | 18000 | Working |

| Sr. No. | Name of Equipments/ Instruments/ Farm Machineries | No. | Date of Purchase | Price | Present Status |
|---------------|---|-----|---------------------|--------|-------------------|
| (47) | Phillips Grinder – 1618 | | 25/3/2008 | 6000 | Working |
| (48) | Sony Cyber Shot – DSC – W 90 | | 25/3/2008 | 14800 | Working |
| (49) 1 | Pressure Cooker – 8 lit. | 1 | 24/3/2008 | 4500 | Working |
| 2 | S/A/S Tope – 17" | 1 | 24/3/2008 | | Working |
| 3 | S/A/S Tope – 21" | 1 | 24/3/2008 | | Working |
| 4 | S. S. Cover | 2 | 24/3/2008 | | Working |
| (50) 1 | Insect Display show cases | 4 | 24/3/2008 | 17420 | Working |
| 2 | Insect Show cases cabinet | 1 | 24/3/2008 | | Working |
| (51) 1 | Compaq Computer – 3250 IL | 1 | 25/3/2008 | 28950 | Working |
| 2 | MS XP Professional Vista License Copy | 1 | 25/3/2008 | 6000 | Working |
| (52) | Top Loading Balance – BH 200 H | 1 | 19/3/2008 | 28120 | Working |
| (53) | Digital Conductivity TDS Meter Model - 307 | 1 | 24/3/2008 | 11648 | Working |
| (54) | Digital PH meter Model - 802 | 1 | 24/3/2008 | 7006 | Working |
| (55) | Distillation Apparatus (J – sil) | 1 | 24/3/2008 | 15912 | Working |
| (56) | H/P Laser Jet Printer - 1022 | 1 | 25/3/2008 | 10990 | Working |
| (57) | Steel Rack KV-110 78"x36"x15" | 5 | 25/3/2008 | 9844 | Working |
| (58) 1 | Steel Cupboard – 78"x36"x19" | 2 | 23/3/2008 | 11100 | Working |
| 2 | Computer Table | 1 | 23/3/2008 | 3300 | Working |
| 3 | Computer Chair | 2 | 23/3/2008 | 5200 | Working |
| (59) | Shaking Incubator – 24 BL | 1 | 25/3/2008 | 95387 | Working |
| (60) | CentriFuge – R – 24 | 1 | 25/3/2008 | 32025 | Working |
| (04) | Voltage stabilizer 3.0 KVA | 1 | 25/3/2008 | 6630 | |
| (61) | Double Pan Balance Analytical Weight Box | 1 | 24/3/2008 | 3640 | Working |
| (62) | Gas Cylinder, Regulator, Gas Stove | 1 | 13/3/2008 | 1930 | Working |
| (63) | B.O.D. Incubator - 270 | 1 | 22/3/2008 | 90534 | Working |
| (64) | KLENZFLO Horizontal laminar clean air work station – 1500c | 1 | 28/3/2008 | 138320 | Working |
| (65) | Crompton Greaves Fans | 4 | 28/3/2008 | 6800 | Working |
| (66) | Humidifier (S.S. Body) | 1 | 30/3/2008 | 11034 | Working |
| (67) | ASPEE Tractamount Bloover fro Intranational | 1 | 30/3/2008 | 99960 | Working |
| (68) | Panasonic Multifunctional Device Copy/Print/Scan/Fax | 1 | 28/03/2010 | 14900 | Working |
| (69) | Eco Display Unit Size : 6' x 2' | 1 | 28/03/2010 | 9625 | Working |
| (70) | DIM System size : 36" x 24" | 2 | 28/03/2010 | 19250 | Working |
| (71) 1 | Podium | 1 | 28/03/2010 | 4200 | Working |

| Sr. No. | Name of Equipments/ Instruments/ Farm Machineries | No. | Date of Purchase | Price | Present Status |
|-----------------|---|-----|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 2 | Podium | 1 | 28/03/2010 | 4200 | Working |
| (72) 1 | LCD Projector - Mo.D.832 Mx | | 06/01/2011 | 66305 | Working |
| 2 | VIVITEK Dongel | 1 | 06/01/2011 | 16910 | Working |
| 3 | WALTOP 6" Interactive RF Pod | 1 | 06/01/2011 | 14863 | Working |
| 4 | Motorized Screen size – 5'x7' | 1 | 06/01/2011 | 12905 | Working |
| 5 | Impact 65 T (PA system) | 1 | 06/01/2011 | 17800 | Working |
| (73) 1 | 23" – LCD Computer | 1 | 15/10/2010 | 33420 | Working |
| 2 | Branded CPU E-Machine | | 15/10/2010 | 63 | Working |
| 3 | Printer – Canon | 1 | 15/10/2010 | 8500 | Working |
| 4 | UPS – Umax 600 VA | 1 | 15/10/2010 | 1850 | Working |
| 5 | HP Scanner | 1 | 15/10/2010 | 4500 | Working |
| 6 | Q.H. Internet Security | 1 | 15/10/2010 | 1150 | Working |
| (74) | Crystal EPABX system set and accessories | 1 | 11/02/2011 | 49219 | Working |
| (75) 1 | Power Tiller | 1 | 18/02/2011 | 149430 | Working |
| 2 | Multi crop Thresher | 1 | 18/02/2011 | 23100 | Working |
| | | 1 | 18/02/2011 | 26000 | Working |
| 3 | Power Sprayer | 1 | 18/02/2011 | 24850 | Working |
| 4 | Winnower | 1 | 18/02/2011 | 24150 | Working |
| 5 | Seed cum Ferti. drill | 1 | 18/02/2011 | 28880 | Working |
| (76) 1 | Steel Cupboard 18"X 36"X 78" | 9 | 8/1/2011 | 58977 | Working |
| 2 | Visitor Chair | 25 | 8/1/2011 | 48475 | Working |
| 3 | Rack- 6 X 3 X 1 foot | 15 | 8/1/2011 | 43170 | Working |
| 4 | Rivolving Chair | 6 | 8/1/2011 | 21810 | Working |
| * (77) 1 | Gayatri two-way Leveller Heavy Duty | 1 | 11/3/2011 | 12600 | Working |
| 2 | Gayatri Cultivator Heavy Duty | 1 | 11/3/2011 | 20700 | Working |
| *(78) | Plough & Harrow | 1 | 17/2/2011 | 19000 | Working |
| * (79) 1 | Rotavator- 5.25 | 1 | 13/3/2011 | 60380=95 | Working |
| 2 | Hydrolic trailor | 1 | 13/3/2011 | 102380=90 | Working |
| (80) | Varoon Vinowing Monoblock Electric Fan | 1 | 25/3/2011 | 6900 | Working |
| (81) | Splender Pro Kick Spoke | 1 | 31/3/2011 | 41860 | Working |
| (82) | Sub-mersible pump set 2 H.P. with accessories | 1 | 28/3/2011 | 14600 | Working |
| (83) | Splendor Pro Kick Spoke | 1 | 29/3/2011 | 48816 | Working |

*77, 78 and 79 purchased from University Grant not from ICAR

| SI. | Date | Name and Designation of Participants | Salient Recommendations | Action taken |
|-----|-----------|---|--|--------------|
| No. | | | | |
| 1. | 17/8/2010 | Dr. A. R. Pathak, Vice Chancellor Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari Dr. R. B. Patel, Director of Extension Education, N.A.U., Navsari Dr. C. L. Patel, Director of Research N.A.U, Navsari Dr. V. J. Zinzala, District Agriculture Officer District Panchayat, Vyara, Tapi Mr. G. M. Borad, Representative Director, District Rural Development Agency, Vyara Mr. P. M. Acharya, Deputy Director of Agriculture (Ext.), Lal Banglow, Athwalines, Surat Mr. C. C. Garasiya, Deputy Director of Horticulture Farmers Training Centre, Panwadi, Vyara Dr. K. J. Shukla, Deputy Director of Animal Husbandry, District Panchayat, Tapi Mr. N. G. Gamit, Deputy Director of Agriculture(Training), Farmers Training Centre, Vyara Mr. R. L. Ganvit, Branch Manager Gujarat State Seed Corporation, Apna Bazar, Vyara, Dist. Tapi Mr. R. B. Patel, Depo Incharge GSFC, Market Yard, Vyara, Dist. Tapi Mr. Abhesingbhai M. Chuadhari, Chairman A. P. M. C., Market Yard, Vyara, Dist. Tapi | Training on Farm Mechanization should be taken. Activities of Animal Science discipline should be increased. FAQs database for the major crops of the area should be published on NAU website. | |

1.8. A). Details SAC meeting* conducted in the year

| 13. Mr. B. J. Savaliya, Kendra Incharge | |
|---|---|
| GNFC, Market Yard, Vyara, Dist. Tapi | |
| 14. Mr. D. G. Patel, Range Forest Officer | |
| Vyara Range, Dist. Tapi | |
| 15. Mr. T. M. Visani, Assistant Director | |
| (G.L.D.C.) Parsiwad, Vyara, Dist. Tapi | |
| 16. Mr. Chndrakant P. Mandaviya, President, | |
| Abhyutthan Gram Vikas Trust, Avdhut Krupa, | |
| Devijpura, Songadh | |
| 17. Mrs. Mishulaben Gamit, Executive Secratory, | |
| Hangati Mahila Trust, Mandal, Ta. Songadh | |
| 18. Mr. Vipinbhai Chaudhari, Progressive | |
| Farmer, Vanskui | |
| 19. Mr. Chandubhai Gamit, Progressive Farmer, | |
| Ghodchit | |
| 20. Mrs. Revaben Ranjitbhai Chaudhari, Member, | |
| Sakhi Mandal, Ghodchit, Ta. Songadh, Dist. | |
| Tapi | |
| 21. Mrs. Hetalben Chaudhari, Progressive Farm | |
| women, President of SHG, Vanskui | |
| 22. Smt. M. R. Patel, CDPO | |
| Vyara - 1, Taluka Panchayat, Vyara, Dist. | |
| Tapi | |
| 23. Smt. K. C. Gamit, CDPO | |
| Vyara – 2, Taluka Panchayat, Vyara, Dist. | |
| Tapi | |
| 24. Dr. H. D. Mehta, Associate Research Scientist | |
| Regional Rice Research Station, Vyara, Dist. | |
| Tapi | |
| 25. Dr. N. M. Chauhan, Programme Coordinator | |
| Member Secretary | |
| K.V.K.,Vyara, Dist. Tapi | |
| 26. Mr. Iswarbhai C. Gamit, President, Farm | |
| | 1 |

| Science Club, Ghodchit |
|---|
| 27. Fr. Francis D'sa cj., M.E.S. Mandal, Seed |
| |
| Village linkage programme |
| 28. Mrs. Karmiben D. Gamit, Hangati Trust, |
| andal |
| 29. Mr. Kiranbhai B. Sagarwala, ANARDE |
| foundation, Surat |
| 30. Mr. D. T. Desai, Patidar Agro centre, 30, |
| Market yard, Vyara |
| 31. Aemabhai B. Gamit, Bharadda |
| 32. Mr. Guljibhai G. Gamit, Bharadda |
| 33. Mr. Rameshbhai Bholiyabhai, Bharadda |
| 34. Mr. Chhotubhai Ramchandra Gamit, |
| Aanandkut, Ta. Uchchhal |
| 35. Mr. Dilipbhai B. Gamit, Selud |
| 36. Mrs. Induben Ramanbhai Gamit, Kapura |
| 37. Mrs. Kamlaben P. Gamit, Hangati Trust, |
| Mandal, Linkage Programme |
| 38. Mrs. Shobhanaben B. Gamit, Saheli Van |
| Bachat Mandal |
| 39. Mr. S. P. Wadhwani, Bizz News TV, Vyara, |
| Press Reporter |
| 40. Mr. Dharmesh Wani, Gujarat Raksha, Vyara |
| Press Reporter |
| 41. Mr. Sanjay R. Wani, Gujarat Raksha, Vyara |
| Press Reporter |

* Attach a copy of SAC proceedings along with list of participants: - Annexure - I

2. DETAILS OF DISTRICT

2.1 Major farming systems/enterprises (based on the analysis made by the KVK)

| S. No | Farming system/enterprise |
|-------|---|
| 1. | Agriculture and Animal Husbandry as well as and Agro forestry |
| 2. | Agriculture and horticulture |
| 3. | Agro-forestry |

2.2 Description of Agro-climatic Zone & major agro ecological situations (based on soil and topography)

1. Agro-climatic zones

| S. No | Agro-climatic Zone | Characteristics |
|-------|--|--|
| 1. | South Gujarat Heavy Rainfall Zone-I | It consists of three talukas of Tapi district i.e. Songadh, Vyara and Valod taluka It has an intensive rainfall over 1500 to 2200 mm per annum Rain mostly received during month of July- August The zone has clyey soil with normal pH and Ec, medium organic carbon and phosphorous and high potash |
| 2. | South Gujarat Rainfall Zone-II | It consists of two talukas i.e. Uchchhal and Nizar. Rainfall of the area varying between 1000 to 1500 mm per annum This zone has black soil of medium to heavy texture 75 per cent of the area is rainfed. |

| 2 | . Agro-ecosystems | |
|--------|---------------------------|---|
| Sr. No | Agro ecological situation | Characteristics |
| 1. | Situation I | The total geographical area is about 5.57 lack ha., which is 58 per cent of the zone, of which 53 Per cent is under forest Cultivated area is 15.29 per cent as it is a heavy rainfall situation 5 per cent area is under doubled crop Major Field crops grown are paddy, minor millets, pulses, sorghum and oilseeds like ground nut and soybean. |
| 2. | Situation III | The total geographical area is about 2.22 lack ha, which is 25.21 per cent of the zone, of which 59.3 Per cent is under cultivation Cultivated area is 1.64 lakh ha. 14.5 per cent area is under doubled crop. Soil of this situation is deep and fine Textured. |

2.3 Soil type/s

| S. No | Soil type | Characteristics | Area in ha |
|-------|--------------------|---|------------|
| 1. | Hilly Area – Light | Lateritic and eroded shallow soil with high | 130023 |
| | soil | infiltration rate | |
| 2. | Plain area- | Heavy Black to medium black with medium to | 208779 |
| | Heavy Black soil | poor drainage. | |
| | | In some area it is water logged and salt | |
| | | affected | |

2.4. Area, Production and Productivity of major crops cultivated in the district

| S. No | Сгор | Area (ha) | Production (M.T.) | Yield (kg/ha) |
|-------|----------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1 | RICE IRRI. | 106 | 217 | 2046 |
| 2 | RICE UN IRRIGATED | 151 | 164 | 1084 |
| 3 | TOTAL KH. RICE | 257 | 381 | 1482 |
| 4 | KH. BAJARA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | KH. JOWER | 202 | 304 | 1501 |
| 6 | KH. MAIZE | 16 | 22 | 1439 |
| 7 | KH. RAGI | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | OTH. KH. CEREALS | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | TOTAL KH. CEREALS | 475 | 707 | 1488 |
| 10 | KH. MUNG | 17 | 7 | 433 |
| 11 | KH. MATH | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | KH. UDAD | 24 | 13 | 555 |
| 13 | KH. TUR | 146 | 83 | 568 |
| 14 | OTH. KH. PULSES | 29 | 15 | 500 |
| 15 | TOTAL KH. PULSES | 191 | 105 | 550 |
| 16 | TOTAL KH. FOOD GRAIN | 666 | 812 | 1219 |
| 17 | IRRIGATED WHEAT | 49 | 122 | 2503 |
| 18 | UN IRRIGATED WHEAT | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 19 | TOTAL WHEAT | 49 | 122 | 2503 |
| 20 | RABI JOWAR | 41 | 38 | 927 |
| 21 | TOTAL JOWAR | 243 | 342 | 1407 |
| 22 | OTH. RABI CEREALS | 1 | 1 | 1100 |
| 23 | TOTAL RABI CEREAL | 91 | 161 | 1769 |
| 24 | GRAM | 17 | 24 | 1399 |
| 25 | OTH. RABI PULSES | 9 | 7 | 750 |
| 26 | TOTAL RABI PULSES | 26 | 31 | 1192 |
| 27 | TOTAL RABI FOODGRAIN | 117 | 192 | 1641 |
| 28 | SUMMER BAJARA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 29 | TOTAL BAJARA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 30 | SUMMER RICE | 7 | 15 | 2248 |
| 31 | TOTAL RICE | 264 | 396 | 1500 |
| 32 | TOTAL CEREALS | 573 | 883 | 1541 |
| 33 | TOTAL PULSES | 217 | 136 | 627 |
| 34 | TOTAL FOOD GRAIN | 790 | 1019 | 1290 |
| 35 | KH.GROUNDNUT | 29 | 49 | 1681 |
| 36 | SUM. GROUNDNUT | 59 | 127 | 2169 |
| 37 | TOTAL GROUNDNUT | 88 | 176 | 2000 |
| 38 | SESAMUM | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 39 | CASTOR | 2 | 4 | 1963 |
| 40 | RAPE & MUSTARD | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 41 | TOTAL OIL SEED | 90 | 180 | 2000 |
| 42 | TOBACCO | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| 43 | SUGARCANE | 195 | 1420 | 7275 |
|----|------------------------|-----|------|-------|
| 44 | IRRI. COTTON (LINT) | 19 | 69 | 603 |
| 45 | UN IRRI. COTTON (LINT) | 31 | 38 | 214 |
| 46 | TOTAL COTTON (LINT) | 50 | 107 | 364 |
| 47 | CUMIN | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 48 | FENNEL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 49 | ISABGUL | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 50 | ONION | 6 | 155 | 27210 |
| 51 | GARLIC | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 52 | POTATO | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 53 | CHILLI ES | 1 | 1 | 980 |
| 54 | GUAR SEED | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* Sources: District Agricultural Officer, Tapi District

2.5. Weather data

| Month | Rainfall | Temperature ⁰ C | | Relative Humidity |
|--------------|----------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|
| | (mm) | Maximum | Minimum | (%) |
| April-10 | - | 36.70 | 21.80 | 64.40 |
| May-10 | - | 38.50 | 21.30 | 73.60 |
| June-10 | 67.8 | 34.50 | 21.80 | 75.90 |
| July-10 | 503.7 | 34.10 | 21.50 | 84.00 |
| August-10 | 591.5 | 30.40 | 20.20 | 90.10 |
| September-10 | 381.5 | 29.70 | 19.20 | 86.20 |
| October-10 | 34.0 | 29.50 | 19.10 | 81.00 |
| November-10 | 42.0 | 30.30 | 20.00 | 81.00 |
| December-10 | - | 30.00 | 20.00 | 74.30 |
| January-11 | - | 29.94 | 15.40 | 68.50 |
| February-11 | - | 30.60 | 17.10 | 66.90 |
| March-11 | - | 30.70 | 20.00 | 64.10 |

2.6. Production and productivity of livestock, Poultry, Fisheries etc. in the district

| Category | Population | Production | Productivity |
|------------|------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | ('000 tones) | (kg/day) |
| Cattle | | | |
| Crossbred | 68,650 | 74.87 | 6.58 |
| Indigenous | 2,18,400 | 99.00 | 2.99 |
| Buffalo | 2,35,000 | 271.03 | 3.64 |
| Sheep | 3,500 | 5 M.tone(wool) | 1.33 wool/sheep |
| Goats | 1,04,100 | 7.95 | 0.253 |
| Pigs | 24,000 | 585.9 meat | - |
| Rabbits | 1000 | - | - |
| Poultry | | | |
| Desi | 5,55,700 | 244.31 lakh eggs | 0.3198 (no.) |
| Improved | 2,22,200 | 530.99 lakh eggs | 0.8085 (no.) |
| Donkey | 1143 | - | - |

* **Source:** 24th survey report on estimates & major livestock products for the years 2006-07 Guj. State, Directorate of Animal Husbandry, Gandhinagar

2.7 Details of Operational area / Villages (2010-11)

| Sr.No. | Taluka | Name of the block | Name of the village | Major crops & enterprises | Major problem identified | Identified Thrust Areas |
|--------|---------|-------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. | Vyara | Mirpur | Mirpur | Paddy, Groundnut, Gram, Vegetable, Sorghum | Productivity of major crops is very low Majority of the area is un-irrigated No scope for other crops due to erratic heavy rainfall Lack of technology knowledge in farmers Poor food grain storage practices Inadequate intake of fruits & vegetables Poor economic condition Poor livestock management & disease management | Crop production technology IPM in field crops and vegetables Storage of fruit grains Health & nutrition for vulnerable groups Introduction of soybean crop to replace drilled paddy Livestock management Kitchen gardening Income generating activities Crop diversification |
| 2. | Vyara | Vanskui | Vanskui | Paddy, Sugarcane, Groundnut, Vegetables | Lack of technological knowledge among farmers Poor drainage of soil Adoption level of farmers is very low Lack of Knowledge about scientific method of fruit & vegetable preservation Low milk production Lack of knowledge about inter cropping High mortality rate in calf | IPM in field crops Land configuration High value horticulture crop cultivation Short duration vegetable crops Milch animal management Calf rearing Fruits & vegetable preservation Practices of inter crops in sugarcane |
| 3. | Songadh | Ghodchit | Ghodchit | Paddy, Pigeon pea, Soybean, Sorghum, Sugarcane, Gram, Groundnut | Low awareness about Agriculture and Animal Husbandry Fear in adoption of new technology Lack of guidance about new agricultural technology Poor animal management Equipments (Oil-engine) for irrigation is very less Poor food grain storage practices | Organic farming Introduction of soybean crop to replace drilled paddy Dry land horticulture Advanced irrigation methods Vermi – composting Balanced diet for animal Care of milch animal Kitchen gardening |

| Sr.No. | Taluka | Name of the block | Name of the village | Major crops & enterprises | Major problem identified | Identified Thrust Areas |
|--------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Lack of awareness about Health & Nutrition | Replacing the paddy with vegetable in well drained soil Increase area under vegetable Food grain storage Health & Nutrition for pregnant & lactating mother & children Increase area under drip irrigation |
| 4. | Songadh | Nishana (Amji) | Nishana (Amji) | Paddy, Pigeon pea, Sorghum, Groundnut, Watermelon and Brinjal | Low irrigation facility Erratic heavy rainfall Majority of area has light soil with undulated land Low technological level among farmers Poor economic status Poor food grain storage Lack of awareness about health & nutrition | Increase area under drip irrigation Low-cost green house Storage of food grains High-value horticultural crop Increase area under pulses and oil seed crops in un-irrigated area Crop production technology Health and nutrition for vulnerable groups Kitchen gardening Income generation activities |
| 5. | Uchchhal | Bhadbhunja | Bhadbhunja | Paddy, Gram, Pigeon pea, Sorghum, Vegetable, Udad, Maize | Lack of knowledge about scientific package of practices of different crops Lack of awareness about insects and pests & diseases Lack of knowledge about soil analysis Lack of knowledge about balanced nutritional diet Lack of knowledge about fruits & vegetable preservation Inadequate intake of fruits & vegetables | Introduction of soybean crop to replace drilled paddy Crop production technology Awareness about insects, pests and diseases Short duration vegetable cultivation if Arid horticulture development Gobar gas plant Vermi composting Compost making Kitchen gardening Bucket drip |

| Sr.No. | Taluka | Name of the block | Name of the village | Major crops & enterprises | Major problem identified | Identified Thrust Areas |
|--------|----------|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|---|
| | | | | | Disease management | Increase area under oil seed and pulse crops Fruit & vegetable preservation Balanced diet from locally available food material Give demonstration of silage and urea treatment Training on vaccination and de- worming |
| 6. | Uchchhal | Selud | Selud | Paddy, Pigeon pea, Sorghum, Gram, Maize, Groundnut | No facilities for irrigation after October Soil of this area is very light Uneven distribution of rainfall Socio-economic condition is very poor No knowledge of scientific agricultural production technology and animal husbandry Youth club is not active Poor livestock management Lack in dietary pattern of pregnant & nursing mother and children Lack of awareness about health & nutrition High mortality in calf | Increase area under Soybean Low cost production technology and drip irrigation Income generation activities and kitchen gardening Livestock management Disease management Initiating youth club activities Women and child care Low cost green house Calf rearing |
| 7. | Valod | Kanjod | Kanjod | Paddy, Sugarcane, Groundnut, Okra | Low production in field crops Lack of knowledge about scientific production technology High doses of insecticides Youth club is not active Poor facilities of rural bank Low productivity of okra Lack of knowledge about off season cultivation of vegetable. | Crop production technology Increase area under vegetable crops Increase area under oil seed crops Drip irrigation Initiating youth club activities Value addition INM in vegetable |

| Sr.No. | Taluka | Name of the block | Name of the village | Major crops & enterprises | Major problem identified | Identified Thrust Areas |
|--------|--------|-------------------|---------------------|--|---|---|
| | | | | | | Off season cultivation |
| 8. | Valod | Degama | Degama | Sugarcane, Paddy, Groundnut, Vegetable | Lack of technological knowledge about crop production technology Lack of knowledge about fruits & vegetable preservation Level of adoption in field crops & vegetables are very low SHGs is not active No cooperative society Lack of knowledge about insect & pest Lack of knowledge about Sugarcane & vegetable | Crop production technology Value addition Income generating activities Activation of SHGs IPM in field crops & vegetables INM in vegetables & sugarcane |
| 9. | Nizar | Sarvala | Sarvala | Cotton, Gram, Wheat, Sorghum, Soyabean, Papaya, Banana | High cost of cultivation Information centre is far away from the village Poor marketing Lack of technological knowledge about crop production technology Lack of knowledge regarding IPM Highly dependent on Private Traders for agricultural information Weed management in black soil is a big problem High production cost due-to lift irrigation | Crop production technology IPM in cotton Increase area under papaya crop Popularize maize crop Introduction of chemical weed control Value addition in soyabean and papaya |
| 10. | Nizar | Mubarakpur | Mubarakpur | Cotton, Papaya, Banana, Wheat, Gram, Soybean, Castor, Sorghum | High cost of cultivation in field crops Poor marketing Lack of availability of inputs Poor grain storage practices Lack of knowledge about insect & pest in Cotton Poor Livestock management | Crop production technology IPM in Cotton Value addition Marketing management Food grain storage Livestock management |

2.8 Priority/thrust areas

| Crop/Enterprise | Thrust area |
|---|---|
| Paddy, Sorghum, Groundnut, Vegetables, Sugarcane, | Crop production management (ICM) |
| Oilseed crops & pulses | |
| Drumstick, Custard apple | Dry land horticulture |
| Vegetables, Soybean, Groundnut, Gram | Organic farming |
| Paddy, Sugarcane, Cotton, Groundnut | Integrated pest management |
| Paddy, Sorghum, Sugarcane, Cotton, Groundnut, | Integrated nutrient management |
| Vegetables | |
| Green house technology, Drip irrigation, High value crops | High tech horticulture |
| Soybean, Sorghum, Pigeon pea | Soil and Water conservation |
| Sugarcane, Paddy, Vegetables, Maize | Water management |
| Low cost green house | Low cost green house |
| Formation of Self Help Groups | Women empowerment |
| Sewing & Preservation | Self employment to farm women and rural youth |
| Fruits, Vegetables, Cereals & pulses | Value addition |
| Dairy management | Management of milch animals |
| Health & Nutrition | Health & nutrition for vulnerable groups. |
| Soybean & Vegetables | Introduction of Soybean & Vegetables instead of drilled paddy (Crop |
| | diversification) |
| Okra, Tomato, Watermelon | Off-season cultivation |

<u>3. TECHNICAL ACHIEVEMENTS</u>

3. A. Details of target and achievements of mandatory activities by KVK during Rabi: 2009-10 and Kharif: 2010-11

| OFT | (Technology Asses | ssment and R | efinement) | FLD (Oilseeds, Pulses, Cotton, Other Crops/Enterprises) | | | | |
|---------|-------------------|--------------|---------------|---|-------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| | 1 | | | | 2 | | | |
| Num | Number of OFTs | | er of Farmers | Number of FLDs (ha) Numbe | | er of Farmers | | |
| Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | |
| 5 | 5 | 31 | 31 | 96.5 | 96.5 | 495 | 495 | |

| Training (includi | | d, vocational and water Harvesting | Extension Activities | | | | | |
|-------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------|---------------|----------|----------------|
| | | 3 | | | 4 | | | |
| Number of Courses | | | Number | of Participants | Number | of activities | Number o | f participants |
| Clientele | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement | Targets | Achievement |
| Farmers | 58 | 93 | 1160 | 3752 | 871 | 5418 | 2917 | 39910 |
| Rural youth | 21 | 16 | 420 | 617 | | | | |
| Extn. | 6 | 5 | 120 | 187 | | | | |
| Functionaries | | | | | | | | |
| Total | 85 | 114 | 1700 | 4556 | 871 | 5418 | 2917 | 39910 |

| Seed Pro | duction (Qtl.) | Planting material (Nos.) | | | |
|----------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|--|
| | 5 | | 6 | | |
| Target | Achievement | Target | Achievement | | |
| 150 | 189.85 | 800 | 800 | | |

3. B. Abstract of interventions undertaken

| | | | | | | Intervent | ions | | |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---|--|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Sr. No | Thrust area | Crop/ Enterprise | Identified Problem | Title of OFT if any | Title of FLD if any | Title of Training if any | Title of training for extension personnel if any | Extension activities | Supply of seeds, planting materials etc. |
| 1 | Crop production management | Paddy Ground nut Sugarcane Cotton Soybean Gram Pigeon pea | Use of local variety High seed rate, Imbalance use of fertilizers No use of bio fertilizer | | Varietal demonstration Nutrient management Use of biofertilizers | Scientific Cultivation of major crops | Scientific cultivation of sugarcane and oilseed crops | Field days, khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show Radio talk Exhibitions etc. | Seeds of improved varieties paddy, ground nut, soybean Gram Pigeon pea etc |
| 2 | Dry Land Horticulture | Drum stick Custard apple Ber, guava Vegetables | Due to rain fed area, and inadequate irrigation facility cultivated area under fruits and vegetable is very less and per capita consumption is also less | | Low cost green house Vadi yojna | Arid horticulture development in rain fed area | | Field days, khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show Exhibitions etc. | Seeds of different vegetables and planting material of mango, drum stick and custard apple |
| 3 | Organic farming | Vegetables, Groundnut, Gram, Soybean | High use of chemicals | | | Training on vermicompost | | khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show Exhibitions etc Vermi-compost demonstrations | |

| | | | | | | Intervent | tions | | |
|-----------|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| Sr. No | Thrust area | Crop/ Enterprise | ldentified Problem | Title of OFT if any | Title of FLD if any | Title of Training if any | Title of training for extension personnel if any | Extension activities | Supply of seeds, planting materials etc. |
| 4 | Integrated Pest Management | Brinjal, Okra, Cotton, Mango cucurbits | Farmers are unable to manage disease and insect pest eventhogh frequent application of insecticides at higher doses | | IPM of Brinjal fruit and shoot borer IPM of okra fruit and shoot borer IPM in cotton Integrated management of fruit flies in mango and cucurbits | IPM in vegetables IPM in cotton Management of fruit flies | IPM in Vegetables | Field days, khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show Exhibitions etc. | Pheromone traps, neem products, Microbial products Methyl eugenol traps |
| 5 | Integrated Nutrient Management | Brinjal, Okra, Cotton | Imbalance use of fertilizers farmers are unable to harvest good crop | | INM in Brinjal INM in Okra Nutrient management in Cotton | INM in vegetables & Cotton | INM in vegetables & Cotton | Field days, khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show , etc. | Bio compost & Chemical, Fertilizers, Potassium Nitrate |
| 6 | High tech Horticulture | Green house technology, Drip irrigation, High value crops | Due to lack of technological knowledge farmers are unable to get good returns | | | Green house technology | | khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show | |
| 7 | Soil & water conservation and water management | Pigeon pea, Ground nut, Gram | Heavy rainfall and water logging cause high mortality of plants | Land configuration in pigeon pea | Growing ground nut and gram on raised bed | Land configuration in field crops | | Field days, khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show Exhibitions etc. | Seeds, ground nut, Gram , pigeon pea and bio- fertilizer, saplings |

| | | | | | | Intervent | ions | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Sr. No | Thrust area | Crop/ Enterprise | Identified Problem | Title of OFT if any | Title of FLD if any | Title of Training if any | Title of training for extension personnel if any | Extension activities | Supply of seeds, planting materials etc. |
| 8 | Low Cost Green House | Major crops | Poor economic condition of farmers | | | Low cost green house | | khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show Exhibitions etc | |
| 9 | Women empowerment | Formation of Self Help Groups | Poor socio- economic condition of farm women | | Kitchen Gardening | Health & Nutrition Fruits & Vegetable preservation, SHG formation, Income generation activities | | khedut shibirs, News paper coverage, film show, Mahila Shibir, Pashupalan shibir | Seeds for kitchen garden |
| 10 | Self employment to Rural youth and farm women | Mushroom Value addition & Sewing | Poor economic condition of farmers | | | Vocational training on Value addition, Masala Preparation | | News paper coverage, film show, Method of demonstration | |
| 11 | Value addition | Fruits, Vegetables, Cereals & Pulses | Low price of the products | | | Training of Value Addition | | Khedut shibir, News Paper Coverage, Method Demonstration | |
| 12. | Management of Milch | management of dairy | Poor management of | | | Daily requirement | | khedut shibirs, News paper | |

| | | | | Interventions | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| Sr. No | Thrust area | Crop/ Enterprise | ldentified Problem | Title of OFT if any | Title of FLD if any | Title of Training if any | Title of training for extension personnel if any | Extension activities | Supply of seeds, planting materials etc. | |
| | animals | animal | dairy animals | | | of Nutrition in milch animal. Scientifically calf rearing | | coverage, film show Demonstration units on campus | | |
| 13. | Health & Nutrition for Vulnerable groups | - Pregnant and Lactating women, Infant and children | Malnutrition | | Kitchen Gardening | Health & Nutrition, Kitchen Gardening, Nutritional deficiencies& its management, Balance Diet from locally available food material | | Mahila Shibir, News Paper coverage, Field Day, SHG Meeting, Film Show | Seeds & Seedling of vegetables | |
| 14. | Crop Diversification | Soybean and Vegetables | Low yield of drilled paddy | | | Scientific cultivation of Soybean & Vegetables | | Khedut Shibir, News paper Coverage, Field Day, Film Show, Popular Articles | | |
| 15. | Off-season cultivation | Okra, Tomato, Watermelon | Low Market Value | | | Scientific cultivation of Off-season crops | | Field Day, Khedut Shibirs, Film Show, News Paper, Coverage, Popular Articles. | | |

3.1 Achievements on technologies assessed and refined

A.1 Abstract of the number of technologies assessed* in respect of crops/enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cereals | Oilseeds | Pulses | Commercial Crops | Vegetables | Fruits | Flower | Plantation crops | Tuber Crops | TOTAL |
|-----------------|---------|----------|--------|---------------------|------------|--------|--------|------------------|----------------|-------|
| Integrated Crop | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 3 |
| Management | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 3 |

A.1.1 Abstract of the number of technologies assessed in respect of livestock / enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cattle | Poultry | Sheep | Goat | Piggery | Rabbitary | Fisheries | TOTAL |
|----------------------|--------|---------|-------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Nutrition Management | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| TOTAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |

A.2. Abstract of the number of technologies refined* in respect of crops/enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cereals | Oilseeds | Pulses | Commercial Crops | Vegetables | Fruits | Flower | Plantation crops | Tuber Crops | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|---------------------|------------|--------|--------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Integrated Crop Management | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |

B. Details of each On Farm Trial to be furnished in the following format

A. Technology Assessment

Trial 1

- 1. Title
- 2. Problem diagnose/defined
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- 4. Source of technology
- 5. Production system thematic area
- 6. Thematic area
- 7. Performance of the Technology with performance indicators
- 8. Final recommendation for micro level situation
- 9. Constraints identified and feedback for research
- 10. Process of farmers participation and their reaction

- : Low yield of paddy
- : Use of higher and over age seedlings for transplanting
- : T1. Randomly transplanting of paddy Farmer practices
 - T2. Line method of transplanting (20 X 15 cm)
 - T3. System of Rice Intensification method (25 X 25 cm)
- : Kharif 2010
- : Paddy Sugarcane cropping system
- : System of Rice Intensification (SRI)
- : The SRI technology of paddy required less seed rate and gave more number of tillers, filled grain and increased seed yield than traditional method.
- : SRI technology is better than traditional method of transplanting paddy.
- : Time consuming
- : Appreciate the technology and ready to adopt.

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| | | | | | | | Da | ata on the | paramet | er | Results | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology refined | Para- meters | No. of Tillers/ hill | No. of filled grains/ panicle | Panicle length (cm) | Yield (q/ha) | of refinem -ent | Feedback from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 5 | 3 | | 9 | 10 |
| Paddy | Irrigated | Use of higher and over age seedlings for transplantin g | Low yield of paddy | 5 | T1.Randomly transplanti ng of paddy - Farmer practices T2. Line method of transplanti ng (20 X | | 9 14 | 95 118 | 20.5 24 | 52.00 58.75 | T3. SRI method (25x25) gave higher yield | In SRI technology of paddy cultivation used less seed rate and gave higher tillering, higher no. of filled grains & seed yield. Farmers are very |
| | | | | | 15 cm) T3. System of Rice Intensifica tion method (25 X 25 cm) | | 21 | 145 | 27.2 | 69.75 | | much interested because maintain soil health, maximum water use efficiency, less water required in this technology. |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Refined | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Randomly transplanting of paddy -Farmer practices | 52.00 | 39700 | 1 : 3.23 |
| Line method of transplanting (20 X 15 cm) | 58.75 | 46950 | 1 : 3.98 |
| System of Rice Intensification method (25 X 25 cm) | 69.75 | 58200 | 1 : 5.04 |

Trial 2

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

and their reaction

- 1. Title
- 2. **Problem diagnose/defined**
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- Low productivity in cotton :
- High dose of agro chemicals and 2 imbalance use of nitrogenous fertilizers
- T1 No seed treatment and 6-7 : of imidacloprid application 70% WS @ 15 ml in 10 ltr of water
 - T2- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70% WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed + two foliar application of thiomethoxam @ 3 am/10 ltr. at ET level
 - T3- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 % WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed, raising maize or jowar as border crop, castor as a trap crop, chrysopa release and two foliar applications of thiomethoxam 5 gm in 10 ltr. of water, use of 1500 ppm neem ban
- Kharif 2010 Season 2 Source of technology NAU 5 Production system thematic area : --IPM Thematic area : Performance of the Technology Refined technology gave higher BC : with performance indicators ratio (1:6.08) Final recommendation for micro Use of IPM for better control of pest : level situation of cotton 10. Constraints identified and 2 -feedback for research 11. Process of farmers participation :
 - Appreciate the technology and ready to adopt

| 11). | Results | of On | Farm | Trials |
|------|---------|-------|------|--------|
|------|---------|-------|------|--------|

| Crop/ | | Problem | | | | | Data on th | e paramet | er | Results of | Feedbac |
|-----------------|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| enterpri- se | Farming situation | Diagnose | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | No. of aphids / leaf | No. of jassids/ leaf | No. of white fly/ plant | No. of Mealybu g/ plant | assessm- ent | k from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | 7 | | | 9 |
| Cotton | Irrigated | High dose of agro chemicals and imbalance use of | Low product -ivity in cotton | 5 | T1 – No seed treatment and 6-7 application of imidacloprid 70% WS @ 15 ml in 10 ltr of water | 10 | 11 | 75 | 35 | | IPM gave good control of insects |
| | | nitrogenou s fertilizers | | | T2- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70% WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed + two foliar application of thiomethoxam @ 3 gm/10 ltr. at ET level | 8 | 10 | 65 | 30 | | on cotton |
| | | | | | T3- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 % WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed, raising maize or jowar as border crop, castor as a trap crop, chrysopa release and two foliar applications of thiomethoxam 5 gm in 10 ltr. of water, use of 1500 ppm neem ban | 6 | 8 | 55 | 20 | | |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Assessed | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| T1 – No seed treatment and 6-7 application of imidacloprid 70% WS @ 15 ml in 10 ltr of water | 22.14 | 57564 | 1:3.08 |
| T2- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70% WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed + two foliar application of thiomethoxam @ 3 gm/10 ltr. at ET level | 25.35 | 67572 | 1:3.92 |
| T3- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 % WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed, raising maize or jowar as border crop, castor as a trap crop, chrysopa release and two foliar applications of thiomethoxam 5 gm in 10 ltr. of water, use of 1500 ppm neem ban | 29.20 | 87600 | 1:6.08 |

Trial 3

- 1. Title
- 2. Problem diagnose/defined
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- 4. Season
- 5. Source of technology
- 6. Production system thematic area
- 7. Thematic area
- 8. Performance of the Technology with performance indicators

- 9. Final recommendation for micro level situation
- 10. Constraints identified and feedback for research
- 11. Process of farmers participation and their reaction

- : Low plant stand in Tur (Land configuration in Pigeon pea)
- : Low yield, High rainfall, Poor plant population
- : T1 Flat bed sowing (Farmers practices)
 - T2 Sowing on raised bed / broad bed furrow
 - T3 Ridge and furrow
- : Kharif 2009
- : Research scientist, Pulse crop, NAU, Navsari
- : Drill Paddy + pigeon pea cropping system
- : Land configuration (ICM)
- : The refined technology ridges and furrow sowing of pigeon pea had more no. of branches per plant (14.70/plant) and no. of pods per plant (586.26/plant) at harvest and higher yield (1415 kg/ha) as compared to other treatment of land configuration.
- : Ridges and furrow system found better for higher pigeon pea yield.
- : Developed resistant variety for Tur against pod fly.
- : Appreciate the technology and ready to adopt ridge and furrow system

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| | | | | | | | Da | ta on the | parameter | • | Results | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | s* | Parameters | No. of branches/ plants | No. of pods / plants | Seed wt./plant (dry) gm | seed yield / ha (kg/ha) | of refinem- ent | Feedback from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 | | | 9 | 10 |
| Pigeon pea | Irrigated | Low yield, high rain fall, poor plant population | Land configuration in pigeon pea | 5 | T1. Flat bed sowing - Farmer practice s | | 9.8 | 511.37 | 28.10 | 1024 | Ridges & furrow method of | It is difficult to prepare raised |
| | | | | | T2. Raised bed | | 13.40 | 534.67 | 29.70 | 1120 | sowing gave | bed so adoption |
| | | | | | T3. Ridges & furrow | | 14.70 | 586.26 | 36.80 | 1415 | good yield | of ridges & furrow is better |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Refined | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Flat bed sowing | 1024 | 25600 | 3.14 |
| Raised Bed | 1120 | 28000 | 3.44 |
| Ridge & furrow | 1415 | 35375 | 4.34 |

Trial 4

- 1. Title
- 2. Problem diagnose/defined
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- 4. Source of technology
- 5. Production system thematic area
- 6. Thematic area
- 7. Performance of the Technology with performance indicators
- 8. Final recommendation for micro level situation
- 9. Constraints identified and feedback for research
- 10. Process of farmers participation and their reaction

- : Refinement of Sowing time in okra
- : Low yield, growing during off season (rabi)
- : T1. Date of sowing 15th November (Farmers practices)
 - T2. Date of sowing 15th October
 - T3. Date of sowing 30th October
- : Main Vegetable Research Station, Anand
- : Paddy Okra base cropping system, Time of Sowing
- : Integrated crop management
- : -
- : Farmers of Tapi district should grow okra in month of 15th October. It is the best time for higher yield
- : Research on fertilizer management & spacing in hybrid okra.
- : Farmers appreciate the technology & ready to adopt.

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| | | | | | | | Data on | the para | meter | | Results | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | No. of branches/ main stem | No. nodules / main stem | No. of fruit / plant | Yield / plant (gm) | Yield / kg/ha | of assess- ment | Feedback from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | 7 | | | 8 | 9 |
| Okra | Irrigated | Low yield growing during rabi season | Refinement of sowing time in okra | 6 | T1. Date of sowing at 15 th Nov. (Farmers practices) T2. Date of sowing at | 0.23 2.06 | 8.03 18.0 | 8.5 22.2 | 84.5 221.7 | 9388 24632 | 15 th Oct. sowing of okra gave higher | Selection of early maturing variety for 15 th October okra |
| | | | | | 15 th Oct. | | | | | | yield | sowing |
| | | | | | T3. Date of sowing at 30 th Oct. | 0.9 | 12.87 | 14.6 | 145.9 | 16210 | | which got better income |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Assessed | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | |
| 1. Date of sowing at 15 th October | 24.632 | 247750 | 5.1 | |
| Date of sowing at 15th November (Farmers practices) | 9.388 | 60418 | 2.06 | |

*Field crops – kg/ha, * for horticultural crops -= kg/t/ha, * milk and meat – litres or kg/animal, * for mushroom and vermi compost kg/unit area.

** Give details of the technology assessed or refined and farmer's practice

Trial 5

| 1. 2. | Title Problem diagnose/defined | : | Low milk production of Cow 1. Lack of knowledge about urea treatment. 2. Poor management of Dairy animal (breeding, feeding and management) 3. Poor knowledge of health & hygiene. | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 3. | Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement | : | T1. Farmers practice (Paddy straw without urea treatment) T2. Paddy straw with urea treatment (6-8 kg daily) T3. Paddy straw with urea treatment + Mineral mixture (35 gm mineral mixture feeding daily) | | |
| 4. | Source of technology | : | Text book of Animal Husbandary- G.C.Benerji | | |
| 5. | Production system thematic area | : | - | | |
| 6. | Thematic area | | Feed Management | | |
| 7. | Performance of the Technology with performance indicators | : | | | |
| 8. | Final recommendation for micro level situation | : | Paddy straw treated with 4% urea and 35 gm mineral mixture feeding daily gaves higher milk production. | | |
| 9. | Constraints identified and feedback for research | : | | | |
| 10. | Process of farmers participation and their reaction | : | Farmers appreciate the technology & ready to adopt. | | |

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | | | | Technology Assessed | Parameters | Data on the parameter | | | Feedback |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Title of OFT | | | | Milk production (kg/day) | Service Period (days) | Results of assess-ment | from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | 9 | 10 |
| Animal Science | Low milk production in HF Cow | Low Milk Production Lack of knowledge about urea treatment. | Low milk production in HF Cow | 5 | T1. (Farmers practices) Paddy straw without urea treatment | Milk production and service period | 5.9 | 148 | Mineral mixture after urea (35 gm mineral treated mixture feeding paddy | milk production after urea treated |
| | | Poor manage- ment. Poor | | 5 | T2. Paddy straw with urea treatment (6-8 kg daily) | | 6.7 | 148 | | straw and mineral mixture |
| | | knowledge of health & hygiene. 5. Lack of knowledge about feeding manageme nt. | | 5 | T3. Paddy straw with urea treatment + Mineral mixtur e (35 gm mineral mixture feeding daily) | | 7.2 | 126 | | |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Assessed | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|--|
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | |
| T1 - Farmers practice (Paddy straw without urea treatment) | 5.9 | 15 | 1:1.16 | |
| T2 - Paddy straw with urea treatment | 6.7 | 29.2 | 1:1.30 | |
| T3- Paddy straw with urea treatment + Mineral mixture (35 gm daily) | 7.2 | 32.6 | 1:1.34 | |

3.2 Achievements of Frontline Demonstrations

a. Follow-up for results of FLDs implemented during previous years

List of technologies demonstrated during previous year and popularized during 2010-11 and recommended for large scale adoption in the district

| | | | | Details of | Horizonta | I spread of t | echnology |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|------------|
| Sr. No | Crop/ Enterprise | Thematic Area* | Technology demonstrated | popularization methods suggested to the Extension system | No. of villages | No. of farmers | Area in ha |
| 1 | Groundnut | ICM New | - Land Configuration | FLDs | 6 | 58 | 17 |
| | | Variety | - Seed Treatment | | | | |
| | | | - Use of Bio-fertilizer | | | | |
| 2 | Gram | Land | - Use of Bio-fertilizer | FLDs | 24 | 230 | 110 |
| | | Configuration | - Land configuration | | | | |
| 3 | Okra | IPM | Integrated Pest Management. | FLDs | 12 | 310 | 166 |
| 4 | Brinjal | IPM | Integrated Pest Management. | FLDs | 8 | 106 | 35 |
| 5 | Cucurbits | IPM | Integrated Pest Management. | FLDs | 9 | 45 | 19 |
| 6 | Mango | IPM | Integrated Pest Management. | FLDs | | | |
| 7 | Okra | INM | Integrated Nutrient Management | FLDs | 60 | 456 | 56 |
| 8 | Brinjal | INM | Integrated Nutrient Management | FLDs | 35 | 358 | 42 |
| 9 | Paddy | INM | GM + Paddy (Jaya) | FLDs | 25 | 125 | 25 |
| 10 | Paddy | ICM | New variety | FLDs | 45 | 250 | 125 |
| 11 | Paddy | ICM | New variety | FLDs | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 12 | Paddy | ICM | New variety | FLDs | 3 | 17 | 5 |
| 13 | Paddy | ICM | SRI technology | FLDs | 10 | 50 | 15 |
| 14 | Paddy (IPM) | IPM | IPM | FLDs | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 15 | Cotton | Impliment | - Use of KNo ₃ , Weed | FLDs | 3 | 10 | 4 |
| | | Demo. | management, Ridges & furrow | | | | |
| * =1 | | | and spacing | | | | |

* Thematic areas as given in Table 3.1 (A1 and A2)

| SI. No. | Crop | Thematic | Technology Demonstrated | Season and | Area | (ha) | | . of farme monstrat | | Reasons for shortfall in |
|------------|----------------|--------------------------|---|----------------------|----------|--------|-------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| | _ | area | Demonstrated | year | Proposed | Actual | SC/ST | Others | Total | achievement |
| Oils | eed | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Groundnut | ICM | New Variety | Rabi-09 Summer-10 | 10 | 10 | 27 | | 27 | |
| Cere | eal crops | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Paddy | INM | GM + Paddy (Jaya) | Kharif-10-11 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 20 | |
| 2 | Paddy | ICM | New variety | Kharif-10-11 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 20 | |
| 3 | Paddy | ICM | New variety | Kharif-10-11 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 20 | |
| 4 | Paddy | ICM | New variety | Kharif-10-11 | 7 | 7 | 21 | 0 | 21 | |
| 5 | Paddy | ICM | SRI technology | Kharif-10-11 | 20 | 20 | 50 | 0 | 50 | |
| 6 | Paddy (IPM) | IPM | IPM | Kharif-10-11 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 20 | |
| Puls | es | | | | | • | | 1 | 1 | |
| 1 | Pigeon pea | Land Configuration | New variety/ Land Configuration | Kharif-10-11 | 8 | 8 | 39 | 0 | 39 | |
| 2 | Gram | Land Configuration | Use of Bio fertilizer Land Use Configuration | Rabi-09-10 | 5 | 5 | 24 | | 24 | |
| 3 | Gram | IDM | Integrated Disease management. | Rabi-09-10 | 5 | 5 | 24 | | 24 | |
| Cott | on | | · | · | | | | | | |
| 1 | Cotton | Production Technology | Use of KNo ₃ , Weed management, Ridges & furrow and spacing | Kharif-10-11 | 4 | 4 | 03 | 07 | 10 | |
| Hort | icultural Cro | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Okra | INM | INM | Rabi-09-10 | 2 | 2 | 8 | | 8 | |
| 2 | Brinjal | INM | INM | Rabi-09-10 | 2 | 2 | 8 | | 8 | |

b. Details of FLDs implemented during 2010-11 (Information is to be furnished in the following three tables for each category i.e. cereals, horticultural crops, oilseeds, pulses, cotton and commercial crops.)

| SI. No. | Crop | Thematic area | Technology Demonstrated | Season and | Area | Area (ha) | | . of farme monstrat | | Reasons for shortfall in |
|------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------|-----------|-------|------------------------|-------|--------------------------|
| NO. | | aita | Demonstrateu | year | Proposed | Actual | SC/ST | Others | Total | achievement |
| 3 | Okra | IPM | Integrated pest management. | Rabi-09-10 | 3 | 3 | 12 | | 12 | |
| 4 | Brinjal | IPM | Integrated pest management. | Rabi-09-10 | 3 | 3 | 12 | | 12 | |
| 5 | Cucurbits | IPM | Integrated pest management. | Summer-09- 10 | 2 | 2 | 10 | | 10 | |
| 6 | Mango | IPM | Integrated pest management. | Summer-09- 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | | 10 | |

Details of farming situation

| | | Farming | | St | atus of s | soil | | | | Seaso- | No. of |
|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----|-----------|------|------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| Crop | Season | situation (RF/ Irrigated) | Soil type | Ν | Ρ | к | Previous crop | Sowing date | Harvest date | nal rainfall (mm) | rainy days |
| Oilseed | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groundnut | Rabi-09 Summer- 10 | Irrigated | Light Soil Medium Black | L | Μ | Н | Paddy | 8 th Jan. to 28 th Jan., 2010 | 5 th May to 27 th May, 2010 | 1386 mm | |
| Cereal Crop |)S | | | | | | • | • | · | • | |
| Paddy | Kharif'10 | Rainfed | Medium Black | L | М | Н | GM | 3 rd June, to 19 th June, 2010 | 19 th Oct. to 1 st Nov. 2010 | 1620.5 | 73 |
| Paddy | Kharif'10 | Irrigated | Light Soil | L | М | Н | Fallow | 3 rd July, to 11 th July, 2010 | 4 th Oct. to 18 th Oct. 2010 | | |
| Paddy | Kharif'10 | Irrigated | Medium Black | L | М | Н | Summer G'nut | 10 th June to 26 th June, 2010 | 19 th Oct. to 9 th Nov.,2010 | | |
| Paddy | Kharif'10 | Irrigated | Medium Black | L | М | Н | Fallow | 10 th June to 26 th June, 2010 | 19 th Oct. to 9 th Nov.,2010 |] | |
| Paddy | Kharif'10 | Irrigated | Medium Black | L | М | Н | Summer G'nut | 10 th June to 26 th June, 2010 | 19 th Oct. to 9 th Nov.,2010 | | |

| | | Farming | | St | atus of | soil | | | | Seaso- | No. of |
|----------------|------------------|---------------------------------|--|----|---------|------|------------------|---|---|-------------------------|---------------|
| Сгор | Season | situation (RF/ Irrigated) | Soil type | Ν | Ρ | к | Previous crop | Sowing date | Harvest date | nal rainfall (mm) | rainy days |
| Pulses | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Gram | Rabi-09 | Irrigated | Light Soil Medium Black | L | Н | H | Paddy | 5 th Nov. to 21 st Nov., 2009 | 17 th March to 2 nd Apr., 2010 | 1386 mm | |
| Gram | Rabi-2009 | Irrigated | Light soil Light shallow | L | Μ | Н | Paddy | 5 th Nov. to 21 st Nov., 2009 | 17 th March to 8 th April, 2010 | 1825 | 61 |
| Pigeon pea | Kharif'10 | Irrigated | Light soil Light shallow | L | Μ | Н | Fallow | 2 nd July to 29 th July, 2010 | 12 th Feb. to 4 th March, 2011 | | |
| Cotton | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cotton | Kharif- 10-11 | Irrrigated | Medium Black | L | Μ | Н | Wheat | 15 th May to 21 st May, 2010 | 15 th April to 21 st April, 2011 | | |
| Horticultura | l Crops | • | • | | | | • | | • | | |
| Okra | Rabi-09 | Irrigated | Light, Light shallow & medium black soil | L | М | H | Paddy | 5 th Nov. to 15 th Nov., 2009 | 10 th March to 30 th March 2010 | | |
| Brinjal | Rabi-09 | Irrigated | Light shallow & medium black | L | М | Н | Paddy | 2th Nov. to 10 th Nov., 2009 | 13 th May to 25 th May 2010 | | |
| Okra | Rabi- 2009 | Irrigated | Light soil Medium black | L | М | Н | Paddy | 5 th Nov. to 15 th Nov. 2009 | 10 th to 30 st March 2010 | | |
| Brinjal | Rabi- 2009 | Irrigated | Light soil Medium black | L | М | Н | Paddy | 2 nd Nov. to 10 th Nov. 2009 | 13 th May to 25 th May 2010 | | |
| Paddy (IPM) | Kharif'10 | Irrigated | Medium Black | L | Μ | Н | Summer G'nut | 10 th June to 26 th June, 2010 | 19 th Oct. to 9 th Nov.,2010 | | |

| | | Farming | | Status of soil | | | D | | | Seaso- | No. of |
|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---|---|------------------|--|--|-------------------------|---------------|
| Сгор | Season | situation (RF/ Irrigated) | Soil type | Ν | Ρ | к | Previous crop | Sowing date | Harvest date | nal rainfall (mm) | rainy days |
| Cucurbits | Summer- 09-10 | Irrigated | Light soil Medium black | L | М | Н | Paddy | 5 th Jan. to 20 th January 2010 | 20 th April to 30 th April 2010 | | |
| Mango | Summer- 09-10 | Irrigated | Light soil Medium black | L | Μ | Н | | 15 th April 2010 | | | |

Performance of FLD

| Sr. No. | Сгор | Technology Demonstrated | Variety | No. of Farmers | No. ofAreaDemo. Field Qti/nalocalin yieldFarmers(ha.)Check(%) | | Demo. Yield Qtl/ha loc Che | | Increase in yield (%) | param relati techn | a on eter in on to ology strated | |
|------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|---|-------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|-------|
| | | | | | | Н | L | Α | Qtl./ha | | Demo | Local |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| Oilse | ed crops | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Groundnut | New Variety | GG-6 | 27 | 10 | 32.50 | 24.50 | 28.50 | 23.25 | 22.58 | 28.5 | 23.25 |
| Cere | al Crops | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Paddy | Green Manuring | GM+Jaya | 20 | 5 | 64.50 | 54.50 | 59.50 | 47.75 | 24.61 | 59.50 | 47.75 |
| 2 | Paddy | New variety | GR-5 | 20 | 5 | 17.50 | 13.50 | 15.50 | 12.25 | 28.75 | 15.50 | 12.25 |
| 3 | Paddy | New variety | NAUR-1 | 20 | 5 | 66.30 | 54.50 | 60.50 | 47.75 | 26.70 | 60.50 | 47.75 |
| 4 | Paddy | New variety | GAR-13 | 21 | 7 | 59.75 | 51.75 | 55.75 | 46.50 | 18.89 | 55.75 | 46.50 |
| 5 | Paddy | SRI technology | NAUR-1 | 50 | 20 | 68.75 | 55.00 | 61.75 | 47.75 | 29.32 | 61.75 | 47.75 |
| | - | | (SRI) | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Paddy (IPM) | IPM | NAUR-1 | 20 | 5 | 64.75 | 54.25 | 59.50 | 53.00 | 12.26 | 59.50 | 53.00 |
| Puls | es | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Gram | Use of Bio- | GG-2 | 24 | 5 | 21 | 13 | 17.00 | 11.50 | 47.82 | 17.00 | 11.50 |
| | | fertilizer | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Land Config. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Gram | IDM | GG-2 | 24 | 5 | 21 | 13 | 19 | 11.50 | 47.82 | 19 | 11.50 |

| Sr. No. | Сгор | Technology Demonstrated | Variety | No. of Farmers | Area (ha.) | Den | Demo. Yield Qtl/ha | | Demo. Yield Qti/na local in yie Check (% | | Increase in yield (%) | param relati techn | a on eter in on to ology strated |
|------------|---------------|---|------------------|-------------------|---------------|---|--------------------|--------|---|-------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | Н | L | Α | Qtl./ha | | Demo | Local | |
| 3 | Pigeon pea | New variety | Vaishali | 39 | 8 | 18 | 11 | 14.50 | 10.30 | 40.77 | 14.50 | 10.30 | |
| Cotto | on | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Cotton | Use of KNo ₃ , Weed management, Ridges & furrow and spacing | Bt. | 10 | 4 | 29.50 | 21.25 | 26.04 | 19.58 | 32.99 | 26.04 | 19.58 | |
| Horti | cultural Crop | S | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Okra | INM | Hybrid | 8 | 2.0 | 162.4 | 104.03 | 156.11 | 104.03 | 50.06 | 156.11 | 104.03 | |
| 2 | Brinjal | INM | Surtiraviya | 8 | 2.0 | 204.8 | 156.80 | 193.31 | 156.80 | 23.28 | 193.31 | 156.80 | |
| 3 | Okra | IPM | Hybrid | 12 | 3 | 163.6 | 151.90 | 157.75 | 104.80 | 50.50 | 157.75 | 104.80 | |
| 4 | Brinjal | IPM | Surti Ravaiya | 12 | 3 | 206.8 | 150.8 | 178.80 | 130.80 | 36.0 | 178.80 | 130.80 | |
| 5 | Cucurbits | IPM | Hybrid | 10 | 2 | 118.0 | 75.0 | 98.5 | 80.2 | 23.0 | 98.5 | 80.2 | |
| 6 | Mango | IPM | Kesar | 10 | 5 | 5 1.5 % fruits were damaged by fruit fly in treated plot. Detail below. | | | | | Detail | | |

| SI. No. | Treatment | No. of fruitfly collected/ha | Percent fruitfly damage | Est. Yield Kg/ha | Loss Kg/ha | Yield Kg/ha | Treat. cost Kg/ha | Gross income (Rs) | Net Income | Income Over Control | CBR |
|------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 1 | Navroiji Trap @ 10/ha | 18075 | 1.5 | 8000 | 450 | 6975 | 400 | 174375 | 173975 | 17725 | 1:44.31 |
| 2 | Control | | 18.0 | 8000 | 1500 | 6250 | 000 | 156250 | | | |

Estimated Av. Yield of Mango:- 8 t/ha; Cost of harvest : Rs 10/20 kg; Labour charge Rs 100/day; Price of Mango Rs 25/kg

| Economic Impact (| continuation of | previous table) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|

| Average Cost of c (Rs./ha) | | Average Gross Ret | urn (Rs./ha) | Average Net Retu (Rs./ha) | • • | Benefit-Cost Ratio (Gross Return / Gross | |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|--|-------|
| Demonstration | Local Check | Demonstration | Local Check | Demonstration | Local Check | Cos | st) |
| | | | | | | Demo | Local |
| 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | |
| Oilseed Crops | | | | | | | |
| 14500 | 14300 | 49875 | 40688 | 35375 | 26388 | 2.44 | 1.85 |
| Cereal Crops | | | | | | | |
| 13230 | 16580 | 50575 | 40587.50 | 39345 | 27007.50 | 3.82 | 2.45 |
| 6065 | 4450 | 10075 | 7962.50 | 4010 | 3512.50 | 1.66 | 1.79 |
| 13230 | 13580 | 51425 | 40587.50 | 38195 | 27007.50 | 3.88 | 2.99 |
| 13230 | 13580 | 50175 | 41850.00 | 36945 | 28270.00 | 3.79 | 3.06 |
| 11330 | 13580 | 52487 | 40587.50 | 41157.50 | 27007.50 | 4.63 | 2.99 |
| Pulses | | | | | | | |
| 8900 | 8460 | 59500 | 40256 | 50600 | 31790 | 5.69 | 3.76 |
| 9180 | 8460 | 59500 | 40250 | 50400 | 31790 | 5.54 | 3.76 |
| 8580 | 7440 | 58000 | 41715 | 49420 | 32275 | 6.75 | 5.60 |
| Cotton | | · | · · · · | | | | |
| 18700 | 22280 | 58311 | 54035 | 45710 | 34100 | 2.35 | 1.58 |
| Horticulture Crops | | · | · · · · | | | | |
| 56940 | 60150 | 195137 | 130037 | 138197 | 69887 | 2.42 | 1.16 |
| 40784 | 42460 | 144980 | 117600 | 104196 | 75140 | 2.55 | 1.7 |
| 57080 | 60150 | 195230 | 132500 | 138150 | 72350 | 2.42 | 1.20 |
| 40900 | 41460 | 145800 | 118900 | 104900 | 77440 | 2.56 | 1.86 |
| 28400 | 28520 | 78410 | 59230 | 50010 | 30710 | 1.76 | 1.07 |

Analytical Review of component demonstrations (details of each component for rainfed / irrigated situations to be given separately for each season).

| Crop | Season | Component | Farming situation | Average yield (q/ha) | Local check (q/ha) | Percentage increase in productivity over local check |
|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Gram | Rabi-09-10 | Bio-fertilizer | Irrigated | 17 | 11.50 | 47.82 |
| Groundnut | Rabi-09 Summer-10 | Bio-fertilizer | Irrigated | 28.5 | 23.25 | 22.58 |
| Okra | Rabi-09 | INM | Irrigated | 156.11 | 104.03 | 50.06 |
| Brinjal | Rabi-09 | INM | Irrigated | 193.31 | 156.80 | 23.28 |
| Okra | Rabi-09 | IPM | Irrigated | 157.75 | 104.80 | 50.50 |
| Brinjal | Rabi-09 | IPM | Irrigated | 178.8 | 130.80 | 36.00 |
| Cucurbits | Rabi-09 Summer-10 | IPM | Irrigated | 98.5 | 80.20 | 23.00 |
| Mango | Rabi-09 Summer-10 | IPM | Irrigated | 6975 | 6250 | 16.00 |
| Gram | Rabi-09 | IPM | Irrigated | 17 | 11.50 | 47.82 |
| Paddy | Kharif-10-11 | INM | Irrigated | 59.50 | 47.75 | 24.61 |
| Paddy | Kharif-10-11 | ICM | Rainfed | 15.50 | 12.25 | 28.75 |
| Paddy | Kharif-10-11 | ICM | Irrigated | 60.50 | 47.75 | 26.70 |
| Paddy | Kharif-10-11 | ICM | Irrigated | 55.75 | 46.50 | 18.89 |
| Paddy | Kharif-10-11 | ICM | Irrigated | 61.75 | 47.75 | 29.32 |
| Pigeon pea | Kharif-10-11 | ICM | Rainfed | 14.50 | 10.30 | 40.77 |
| Paddy (IPM) | Kharif-10-11 | IPM | Irrigated | 59.50 | 53.00 | 12.26 |
| Cotton | Kharif-10-11 | Production Technology | Irrigated | 26.04 | 19.58 | 32.99 |

Technical Feedback on the demonstrated technologies

| Sr. | Technical Feed Back |
|-----|---|
| No | |
| 1 | Fertilizer requirement for ratoon cotton crop. |
| 2 | Short duration, early, dual purpose pigeon pea variety. |
| 3 | Harvesting tool for okra fruits. |
| 4 | Control of wilt complex in brinjal |
| 5 | Bio control of termite. |
| 6 | Micronutrient requirement for okra, brinjal and cucurbits. |
| 7 | Required to developed farm machinery and threshing equipments for groundnut |
| 8 | Unavailability of raised bed former |
| 9 | Require to develop high yielding hybrid rice suitable for this region |
| 10 | YVM , fruit& shoot borer management technology require in Okra |
| 11 | Land configuration (spacing) in oil seeds and pulse crops. |

Farmers' reactions on specific technologies

| Sr. | Farmer's Feed Back |
|-----|---|
| No | r anner 5 r eeu Dack |
| 1 | Navroji trap for fruit fly is very effective. |
| 2 | Raised bed methods in Pigeon pea gave really good results. Appreciate the variety of Pigeon pea like Vaishali. This variety gives good results under bio-fertilizer treated plot. |
| 3 | Green manuring in paddy t.p. gave good results it maintain soil health &gave more yield than traditional method. |
| 4 | New variety of Paddy, gram and groundnut gave good results than old. |
| 5 | Large scale adoption of IPM technology should be made and more concentration should be given to collection and destruction of fallen fruits in brinjal, okra, cotton and cucurbits crops. |
| 6 | The technology of INM increases yield and soil health and quality of fruits in brinjal and okra. |
| 7 | Land configuration in gram and pigeon pea gives good results than local method. |

Extension and Training activities under FLD

| Sr. No. | Activity | No. of activities organized | Date | Number of participants | Remarks |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------|---------|
| 1 | Field days | | | | |
| | i. Oilseeds& Pulses | 8 | 8/1/10, 6/2/10, 21/4/10, 29/4/10, 14/9/10, 5/10/10, 8/3/11, 10/3/11 | 365 | |
| | ii. Other than FLDs | 6 | 14/9/10, 14/9/10, 5/10/10, 8/3/11, 10/3/11, 10/1/11 | 212 | |
| 2 | Farmers Trai | ning | | | |
| | i. Oilseeds & Pulses | 13 | 5/4/10, 5/5/10, 14/5/10, 19/5/10, 1/6/10, 2/6/10, 7/7/10, 30/7/10, 12/11/10, 20/11/10, 26/11/10 | 247 | |
| | ii. Other than FLDs | 9 | 5/4/10, 5/5/10, 14/5/10, 1/6/10, 2/6/10, 7/7/10 | 204 | |
| 3 | Media covera | age | | | |
| | i. Oilseeds& Pulses | 4 | | | |
| | ii. Other than FLDs | 2 | | | |
| 4 | Training for e | extension fur | nctionaries | | |
| | i. Oilseeds& Pulses | 2 | 5/5/10 & 7/2/11 | 55 | |
| | ii. Other than FLDs | 1 | 14/5/10 | 63 | |

c. Details of FLD – Discipline - Home Science:

(1) Result of Front Line Demonstration on Kitchen Gardening:

| No. of Fa | arm wo | men: 5 | 0 | | | | Are | ea: 1 G | untha | /demo. | | | Sea | ason:- Kharif: 2010-11 | | | |
|-------------------|------------|--------|----------------|---------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|--------|----------|------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---|
| Name of | No. | | | | | Total | Average | | return (Rs.) | | | | | | | | |
| Enterprise | of Demo | Tomato | Ridge gourd | Brinjal | Bottle gourd | Tur | Cluster bean | Indian bean | Bitter gourd | Sponge gourd | Chibhadu | Okra | Cucumber | Prod- uction | rate (Rs/kg) | Before FLD | After FLD |
| Kitchen Garden | 50 | 18.792 | 5.968 | 24.132 | 11.464 | 9.98 | 4.092 | 3.612 | 4.086 | 5.804 | 10.142 | 16.886 | 4.716 | 119.674 | 30 | 930=00 | 3590=22, along with domestic consumption |

Critical inputs supplied:- Seeds : Tomato, Ridge gourd, Brinjal, Bottle gourd, Tur, Cluster bean, Indian bean, Bitter gourd, Sponge gourd, Chibhadu, Okra, Cucumber

Farm women Reaction:

| S. No | Feed Back |
|-------|---|
| 1 | Before Demonstration, farm women were growing only two or three vegetable crops in their backyard but after demonstration they are growing different vegetable crops through kitchen gardening in scientific way. |
| 2 | Kitchen gardening gives continuous supply of fresh vegetables at lower cost which gives daily nutritious diet. |
| 3 | In kitchen gardening, farm women are not applying any agrochemicals so they produce organic vegetables. |
| 4 | We are utilized maximum backyard space and waste water. |
| 5 | Income is generated by selling extra vegetables grown in kitchen garden. |
| 6 | Farm women are attracted towards hybrid vegetables. |

(2) Result of Front Line Demonstration on Introduction of improved NAVEEN sickle for paddy harvesting: Thematic area: Women drudgery reduction technology

| Crop | Season & Year | No. of Demonstration | Field capacit | ty per labour i/h) | Increase in field | Labour ree (man-l | • | E | S | |
|-------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Harvesting by NAVEEN | Harvesting by local sickle | capacity (%) | Demon | Local check | Cos opera Rs. / h | tion * | Saving cost (%) |
| | | | sickle | | | | | Demon | Local check | |
| Paddy | Kharif 2010 | 20 | 0.0075 | 0.0059 | 27.12 | 134 | 170 | 1700 | 2200 | 29.41 |

* Cost of operation is calculated as per Govt. rules.

** NAVEEN sickle is recommended by CIAE, Bhopal.

Technical feedback:

- 1. Improved NAVEEN sickle reduces women drudgery in terms of time, efficiency and physical hazards (finger injuries, hand grip, muscle stress etc.)
- 2. During paddy harvesting, field capacity per farm woman is increased up to 27.12% by using NAVEEN sickle as compared to local sickle.
- 3. NAVEEN sickle saves 26.86% labour and 29.41% cost of operation as compared to local sickle.

Farm women's reaction:

- 1. NAVEEN sickle increases working efficiency in short period of time, i.e. it is cost and time saving.
- 2. NAVEEN sickle reduces fatigue, muscle stress, wrist pain and pain in shoulders as compared to local sickle.

(3) Result of Front Line Demonstration on feeding of POSHAK AAHAR to malnourished rural tribal children:

No. of demonstration: 10

Demonstration period: Aug.'10 to Nov.'10 (4 months)

Village: Ghodchit Taluka: Songadh

Critical input supplied: POSHAK AAHAR - Protein rich diet i.e. Mixture of wheat, jowar, rice, soybean and bengalgram dal

(cereals & pulses with 3:1 ratio)

Average Weight gain of tribal children per month:

| Age group | No. of tribal children | Avera | age body w | veight of tri | bal childre | n (Kg.) | Weight | Increase | Feeding of |
|-----------|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| | | Before | | After dem | onstration | | gain | in | POSHAK AAHAR |
| | | demon. | First month | Second month | Third month | Fourth month | (Kg.) | Weight (%) | to children (gm/day/child) |
| 1-3 years | Malnourished 10 | 7.988 | 8.500 | 8.733 | 8.977 | 9.255 | 1.267 | 56.50 | 100 to 150 |
| | Healthy 10 | 9.830 | 9.920 | 10.070 | 10.460 | 10.640 | 0.810 | | |

* Recommended by **WHO**.

Technical Feedback:

- 1. After feeding of POSHAK AAHAR to malnourished tribal children, the growth and development of children are better and the health and nutritional status are improved.
- 2. POSHAK AAHAR are the low cost protein rich diet and easily available in local market which are compatible for children due to lower economic status.

Mother's reaction on critical inputs:

- 1. POSHAK AAHAR are good in taste therefore children are eating POSHAK one to two times in a day. So that weight of children is increased & ultimately weakness of children is decreased.
- 2. POSHAK AAHAR is cheaper and easily available at home.
- 3. Recipes of POSHAK AAHAR can be prepared as per taste required.

d. Details of FLD - Animal Science:

Urea treatment to paddy straw

| | | Name of the | No. of | No.of | Major para | ameters | % change | Other par | rameter | *Econo | mics of de | emonstrati | on (Rs.) | *Ec | conomics (| of check (| (Rs.) |
|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------|---|---|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|------------|------------|----------|-------|------------|------------|--------|
| Category | Thematic area | technology | Farmer | units | Demonst | Check | in major | Demons | Check | Gross | Gross | Net | ** | Gross | Gross | Net | ** |
| | | demonstrated | 1 diffici | unito | ration | Officer | parameter | ration | Oncor | Cost | Return | Return | BCR | Cost | Return | Return | BCR |
| Buffalo | Nutrition management | Urea treatment to paddy straw | 20 | 20 | Avg. milk yield lit per day 5.8 (21.55 Rs/lit) | Avg. milk yield lit per day 4.96 (21.55 Rs/lit) | . 14% | | | 95 | 125 | 30 | 1:1.31 | 91 | 107 | 16 | 1:1.17 |

Mineral mixture feeding

| | Thematic | Name of the | No. of | No.of | Major para | ameters | % change | Other par | ameter | *Econo | mics of de | monstratio | on (Rs.) | *Ec | conomics o | of check (F | Rs.) |
|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|---|--|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|------------|------------|----------|-------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Category | area | technology | Farmer | units | Demonst | Check | in major | Demons | Check | Gross | Gross | Net | ** 0 | Gross | Gross | Net | ** |
| | | demonstrated | | | ration | | parameter | ration | | Cost | Return | Return | BCR | Cost | Return | Return | BCR |
| Buffalo | Nutrition management | Mineral mixture feeding | 50 | 50 | Avg. milk yield lit per day 6.09 (21.00 Rs/lit) | Avg. milk yield lit per day 5.38 (21.00 Rs/lit) | 13% | | | 100 | 128 | 28 | 1:1.28 | 98 | 113 | 15 | 1:1.15 |

Silage feeding

| | Thematic | Name of the | No. of | No.of | Major para | ameters | % change | Other par | ameter | *Econo | omics of de | emonstratio | on (Rs.) | *Ed | conomics o | of check (F | Rs.) |
|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|----------------|---|--|-----------|-----------|--------|--------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Category | area | technology | Farmer | No.of units | Demonst | Check | in major | Demons | Check | Gross | Gross | Net | ** | Gross | Gross | Net | ** |
| | | demonstrated | | | ration | | parameter | ration | | Cost | Return | Return | BCR | Cost | Return | Return | BCR |
| Buffalo | Nutrition management | Silage feeding | 10 | 10 | Avg. milk yield lit per day 5.85 (21.00 Rs/lit) | Avg. milk yield lit per day 5.00 (21.00 Rs/lit) | 11% | | | 97 | 123 | 26 | 1:1.27 | 90 | 105 | 15 | 1:1.16 |

Farmers Reaction:

| S. No | Feed Back |
|-------|--|
| 1 | Use of mineral mixture increase milk production and decrease chances of anoestrus in buffaloes. |
| 2 | Urea treated paddy straw increase milk production of buffaloes. |
| 3 | Due to silage preparation, green fodder becomes available throughout the year which maintains milk production. |

3.3. Achievements on Training (Including the sponsored, vocational, FLD and trainings under Rainwater Harvesting Unit):

A) ON CAMPUS

| | | | | | | Participants | 6 | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|-------|--------|-------|------|--------------|-------|------|------------|-------|
| Thematic area | No. of | | Others | | | SC/ST | | | Grand Tota | 1 |
| | courses | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| (A) Farmers & Farm Wom | ien | | · · · | | | · · · · · · | | | · · · · · | |
| I Crop production | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming | 2 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 79 | 0 | 79 |
| Integrated Crop | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 170 | 34 | 204 | 170 | 34 | 204 |
| Production of organic | | | | | | | | | | |
| inputs | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 36 | 80 | 44 | 36 | 80 |
| II Horticulture | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Vegetable Crops | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of low volume | | | | | | | | | | |
| and high value crops | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 38 | 79 | 41 | 38 | 79 |
| Protective | | | | | | | | | | |
| cultivation(Green House, | | | | | | | | | | |
| Shade Net etc.) | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 113 | 241 | 128 | 113 | 241 |
| Off-season cultivation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 51 | 63 | 12 | 51 | 63 |
| IV Livestock Production a | and Manage | ement | | | | | | | | |
| Feed Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 35 | 34 | 1 | 35 |
| Dairy Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 09 | 33 | 24 | 09 | 33 |
| V Home Science/Women | empowerm | ent | | | | | | | | |
| Household food security | • | | | | | | | | | |
| by Kitchen gardening and | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 82 | 0 | 82 | 82 |
| nutritional gardening | | | | | | | | | | |
| Income generation activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| for empowerment of Rural | | | | | | | | | | |
| women | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Location specific | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |

| | No. of | | | | | Participant | S | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|------|--------|-------|------|-------------|-------|------|------------|-------|
| Thematic area | courses | | Others | | | SC/ST | | | Grand Tota | |
| | courses | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| drudgery reduction | | | | | | | | | | |
| technology | | | | | | | | | | |
| Women and child care | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 69 |
| Designing and | | | | | | | | | | |
| development for high | | | | | | | | | | |
| nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| VII Plant Protection | · · · · | | | | | | | | · · · | |
| IPDM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 | 75 | 202 | 127 | 75 | 202 |
| IX Production of Inputs a | t site | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed production | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 30 | 26 | 4 | 30 |
| TOTAL (A) | 32 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 641 | 578 | 1219 | 685 | 578 | 1263 |
| (B) Rural Youth | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed production | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 32 | 29 | 3 | 32 |
| Integrated Crop | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 11 | 85 | 74 | 11 | 85 |
| Integrated Nutrient | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 88 | 208 | 120 | 88 | 208 |
| Protected cultivation of | | | | | | | | | | |
| vegetable crops | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| Feed Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 20 | 17 | 37 |
| Disease management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 29 | 5 | 34 |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| TOTAL (B) | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 294 | 146 | 440 | 294 | 146 | 440 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Crop | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| Protected cultivation | | | | | | | | | | |
| technology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| Formation and | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 31 |

| | No. of | of Participants | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|-------|------|--------|-------|------|------------|-------|--|--|--|--|
| Thematic area | No. of | | Others | | | SC/ST | | | Grand Tota | | | | | |
| | courses | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female | Total | | | | |
| management of Self Help | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Groups | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| WTO and IPR issues | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 30 | 28 | 2 | 30 | | | | |
| Capacity building for ICT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| application | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 25 | 12 | 13 | 25 | | | | |
| TOTAL (C) | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 141 | 43 | 184 | 141 | 46 | 187 | | | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 48 | 44 | 3 | 47 | 1076 | 767 | 1843 | 1120 | 770 | 1890 | | | | |

B) OFF Campus

| | No. of | | | | Pa | rticipants | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----|--------|----|-----|------------|-----|-----|----------|-----|
| Thematic area | No. of | | others | | | SC/ST | | Gr | and Tota | l |
| | courses | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т | М | F | Т |
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | | | | | | | | | | |
| I Crop Production | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming | 2 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 56 | 7 | 63 | 76 | 7 | 83 |
| Seed Production | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 46 | 98 | 52 | 46 | 98 |
| Integrated Crop Management | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 74 | 233 | 159 | 74 | 233 |
| II Horticulture | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Vegetable Crops | | | | | | | | | | |
| Off season veg. cultivation | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 72 | 86 | 14 | 72 | 86 |
| Nursery raising | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| Protective cultivation (Green | | | | | | | | | | |
| Houses, Shade Net etc.) | 1 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| b) Fruits | | | | | | | | | | |
| Layout and Management of Orchards | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 233 | 492 | 259 | 233 | 492 |
| III Soil Health and Fertility Manage | ement | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 1 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
| IV Livestock Production and Mana | agement | | | | | | | | | |
| Dairy Management | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 120 | 332 | 212 | 120 | 332 |

| | No. of | | | | Pa | rticipants | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------|-----|--------|-----|------|------------|------|------|----------|------|
| Thematic area | courses | | others | | | SC/ST | | Gr | and Tota | I |
| | courses | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т | Μ | F | Т |
| Feed Management | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 45 |
| Production of quality animal product | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 18 | 17 | 35 |
| Animal Nutrition management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 105 | 105 |
| V Home Science/Women empower | ment | | | | | | | | | |
| Women & Child care | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 128 | 128 | 0 | 128 | 128 |
| Design & development of | | | | | | | | | | |
| low/minimum cost diet | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 139 | 0 | 139 | 139 |
| Design & development for high | | | | | | | | | | |
| nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| Minimization of nutrient loss in | | | | | | | | | | |
| processing | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 62 |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| Income generation activities for | | | | | | | | | | |
| empowerment of rural women | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 51 |
| VII Plant Protection | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | 5 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 98 | 43 | 141 | 167 | 43 | 210 |
| Integrated Pest Disease | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 63 | 86 | 23 | 63 | 86 |
| X Capacity Building and Group Dy | namics | | | | | | | | | |
| Leadership Development | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Formation and management of Self | | | | | | | | | | |
| Help Groups | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Enterpreneurship development of | | | | | | | | | | |
| farmers/rural youth | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 48 | 65 | 17 | 48 | 65 |
| Marketing | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 70 |
| TOTAL (A) | 61 | 120 | 0 | 120 | 1012 | 1357 | 2369 | 1132 | 1357 | 2489 |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Crop Management | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 17 | 103 | 86 | 17 | 103 |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 1 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 17 |

| | No. of | Participants | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------|-----|------|-------|------|------|----------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Thematic area | | | others | | | SC/ST | | Gr | and Tota | L | | | | |
| | courses | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | | | | |
| Designing & development for high | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 | | | | |
| Dairy Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 | | | | |
| TOTAL (B) | 5 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 121 | 42 | 163 | 135 | 42 | 177 | | | | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 66 | 134 | 0 | 134 | 1133 | 1399 | 2532 | 1267 | 1399 | 2666 | | | | |

C) Consolidated table (ON and OFF Campus)

| Thematic area | No. of | | mber of o participan | | Nur | mber of S | C/ST | Total number of participants | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|----|-------------------------|----|-----|-----------|------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| | Courses | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | |
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I Crop Production | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming | 4 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 91 | 7 | 98 | 155 | 7 | 162 | |
| Integrated Crop Management | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 108 | 437 | 329 | 108 | 437 | |
| Production of organic inputs | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 36 | 80 | 44 | 36 | 80 | |
| Seed Production | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 46 | 98 | 52 | 46 | 98 | |
| II Horticulture | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Vegetable crops | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of low volume and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| high value crops | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 38 | 79 | 41 | 38 | 79 | |
| Protective cultivation(Green | | | | | | | | | | | |
| House, Shade Net etc.) | 7 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 128 | 113 | 241 | 149 | 113 | 262 | |
| Off-season cultivation | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 123 | 149 | 26 | 123 | 149 | |
| Nursery raising | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | |
| b) Fruits | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Layout and Management of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Orchards | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 233 | 492 | 259 | 233 | 492 | |
| III Soil Health and Fertility Ma | nagement | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 1 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 12 | |

| Thematic area | No. of Courses | _ | mber of or participant | | Nur | mber of SC | C/ST | | al numbe | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----|---------------------------|----|-----|------------|------|-----|----------|-----|
| | Courses | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т |
| IV Livestock Production and M | <i>l</i> lanagemen ^s | t | | | | | | | | |
| Feed Management | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 106 | 185 | 79 | 106 | 185 |
| Dairy Management | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 129 | 365 | 236 | 129 | 365 |
| Production of quality animal | | | | | | | | | | |
| product | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 18 | 17 | 35 |
| V Home Science/Women emp | owerment | | | | | | | | | |
| Household food security by | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kitchen gardening and | | | | | | | | | | |
| nutritional gardening | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 82 | 0 | 82 | 82 |
| Income generation activities | | | | | | | | | | |
| for empowerment of Rural | | | | | | | | | | |
| women | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 76 |
| Location specific drudgery | | | | | | | | | | |
| reduction technology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Women and child care | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 197 | 0 | 197 | 197 |
| Designing and development | | | | | | | | | | |
| for high nutrient efficiency diet | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 178 | 0 | 178 | 178 |
| Minimization of nutrient loss in | | | | | | | | | | |
| processing | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 62 |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| VII Plant Protection | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | 5 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 98 | 43 | 141 | 167 | 43 | 210 |
| Integrated Pest Disease | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 138 | 288 | 150 | 138 | 288 |
| IX Production of Inputs at Sit | e | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed production | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 04 | 30 | 26 | 04 | 30 |
| X Capacity building and Gro | up Dynamic | S | | | | | | | | |
| Leadership Development | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Formation and management of | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 |

| Thematic area | No. of Courses | | mber of o participan | | Nur | nber of SC | C/ST | | al numbe | - |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|------|------------|------|------|----------|------|
| | Courses | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | T |
| Self Help Groups | | | | | | | | | | |
| Enterpreneurship development | | | | | | | | | | |
| of farmers/rural youth | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 48 | 65 | 17 | 48 | 65 |
| Marketing | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 70 |
| TOTAL (A) | 93 | 164 | 0 | 164 | 1653 | 1935 | 3588 | 1817 | 1935 | 3752 |
| (B) RURAL YOUTH | | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed production | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 32 | 29 | 3 | 32 |
| Integrated Crop Management | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 11 | 85 | 74 | 11 | 85 |
| Integrated Nutrient | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 88 | 208 | 120 | 88 | 208 |
| Protected cultivation of | | | | | | | | | | |
| vegetable crops | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| Feed Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 20 | 17 | 37 |
| Disease management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 29 | 5 | 34 |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| Integrated Crop Management | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 17 | 103 | 86 | 17 | 103 |
| Integrated Nutrient | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 1 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Designing & development for | | | | | | | | | | |
| high nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Dairy Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| TOTAL (B) | 16 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 415 | 188 | 603 | 429 | 188 | 617 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Crop Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| Protected cultivation | | | | | | | | | | |
| technology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| Formation and management of | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self Help Groups | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| WTO and IPR issues | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 30 | 28 | 2 | 30 |

| Thematic area | No. of Courses | | mber of or participant | | Nur | nber of SC | C/ST | Total number of participants | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-----|---------------------------|-----|------|------------|------|---------------------------------|------|------|--|
| | Courses | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т | M | F | Т | |
| Capacity building for ICT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| application | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 35 | 12 | 13 | 35 | |
| TOTAL (C) | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 141 | 43 | 184 | 141 | 46 | 197 | |
| GRAND TOTAL | 114 | 178 | 3 | 181 | 2209 | 2166 | 4375 | 2387 | 2169 | 4566 | |

Note: Details of above training programmes given in the proforma as Annexure-II

(D) Vocational training programmes for Rural Youth

| | | | | | No. d | of Partici | pants | Self e | mployed at | ter training | Number of |
|-------------------------|----------------|--|---|--------------------|-------|------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Crop / Enterprise | Date | Training title* | Identified Thrust Area | Duration (days) | Male | Female | Total | Type of units | Number of units | Number of persons employed | persons employed else where |
| Home | 5- 6/1/2011 | Preparation of Masala | Income generation activities for empowerment of rural women | 2 | - | 51 | 51 | | - | | |
| Science | 1- 2/2/2011 | Preparation of Masala | 2 | - | 22 | 22 | | - | | | |
| Agronomy 17- 18/1/11 | | Preparation of composting & vermicomp- osting | Production of organic input | 2 | 53 | 06 | 59 | | Wor | k in progress - | - |

| | Nature of | Purpose/ | | | | | | | Particip | oants | | | | | |
|------------|---|---|-------------------|------|-----------------|-------|------|------------------|----------|-------|---------------------|---|------|------------------------|------|
| SI. No. | Extension | topic and | No. of activities | Farm | ners (Ot (I) | hers) | SC/ | ST (Farm (II) | ers) | | Extensi ificials | | 0 | Grand To (I+II+III) | tal |
| | Activity | Date | | М | F | Т | М | F | Т | М | F | T | М | F | Т |
| 1 | Field Day | For FLD | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 144 | 572 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 434 | 145 | 579 |
| 2 | Khedut Shibir | Cereals, Pulses, Vege., other crops | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 748 | 769 | 1517 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 754 | 770 | 1524 |
| 3 | Mahila Shibir | Health & Nutrition, SHG, Women empowerment | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 3155 | 3377 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 228 | 3156 | 3384 |
| 4 | Agril. Exhibition | Krishi Mela, Khedut din, Krishi Mahotsav | 6 | 771 | 138 | 909 | 1788 | 5177 | 6965 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2559 | 139 | 2698 |
| 5 | Crop Symposium | Paddy crop(SRI) & Export oriented Okra | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 | 1014 | 1412 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 404 | 1015 | 1419 |
| 6 | Ex-trainee sammelan | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 23 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 7 | 30 |
| | Kishan Gosthi | - | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 98 | 132 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 40 | 99 | 139 |
| 7 | Celebration of Women in Agril. Day | Agriculture, Nutrition & Health 04/12/10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 587 | 388 | 975 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 591 | 389 | 980 |
| 8 | Celebration of International Women's Day | Women Empowerment 8/3/11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 63 | 78 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 64 | 80 |
| 9 | Parthenium Awareness Week - 2010 programme | (3/8/2010) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 16 | 91 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 79 | 17 | 96 |

3.4. Extension Activities (including activities of FLD programmes)

| | Nature of | Purpose/ | | | | | | | Particip | oants | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|------|-----------------|-------|------|------------------|----------|-------|--------------------|------------|------|------------------------|------|
| SI. No. | Extension | topic and | No. of activities | Farm | ners (Ot (I) | hers) | SC/ | ST (Farm (II) | ers) | | Extens fficials | - | 0 | Grand To (I+II+III) | |
| | Activity | Date | 401111105 | М | F | Т | М | F | Т | M | F | (<i>)</i> | М | F | Т |
| 10 | Formation of SHG | For women empowerment | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 27 |
| 11 | SHG Meeting | For activation of new & exsisting SHGs | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 207 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 208 | 208 |
| 12 | Formation of Farm Science Club | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 16 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 77 | 16 | 93 |
| 13 | Farm Science Club meeting | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 8 | 111 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 107 | 8 | 115 |
| 14 | Farmers Meeting | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 33 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 32 | 8 | 40 |
| 15 | Mahila Meeting | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| 16 | Guest Lecture | FTC & ATMA | 39 | 682 | 36 | 718 | 2237 | 1873 | 4110 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2925 | 1910 | 4835 |
| 17 | Film Show | SHG, Pashupalan, Agriculture | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 592 | 60 | 652 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 61 | 161 |
| 18 | Diagnostic Visit | - | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 19 | Field Visit | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 87 | 155 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 75 | 88 | 163 |
| 20 | FLD Meeting | - | 8 | 87 | 0 | 87 | 29 | 51 | 80 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 122 | 52 | 174 |
| 21 | Scientist visit to Farmers' Field | - | 19 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 101 | 27 | 128 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 111 | 28 | 139 |
| 22 | Farmers Visit to KVK | - | 44 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 513 | 122 | 635 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 554 | 123 | 677 |
| 23 | Exposure Tour | Visit at Krishi Mela, NAU, JAU, AAU | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 57 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 58 | 79 |
| 24 | Krishi Mahotsav | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3527 | 2482 | 6009 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 3534 | 2482 | 6016 |
| 25 | Night camp | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 33 | 103 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 73 | 34 | 107 |
| 26 | Telephone | - | 209 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 156 | 53 | 209 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 173 | 54 | 227 |

| | Nature of | Purpose/ | | | | | Participants | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|------|-----------------|-------|--------------|------------------|-------|-----|-------------------|-----|-------|------------------------|----------------|
| SI. No. | Extension | topic and | No. of activities | Farm | ners (Ot (I) | hers) | SC/ | ST (Farm (II) | ers) | | Extens ficials | - | 0 | Grand To (I+II+III) | |
| | Activity | Date | donvinco | М | F | Т | М | F | Т | M | F | T | М | F | T |
| | Helpline | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 27 | Guidance through letter | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 28 | Animal Camp | 264 Animals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 264 animals |
| 29 | Pashupalan Shibir | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 488 | 1103 | 1591 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 494 | 1104 | 1598 |
| 30 | Method Demonstration | Preparation of vermicompost and masalas | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 28 | 81 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 54 | 29 | 83 |
| 31 | Popular Articles | - | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 32 | Book published | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 33 | TV Telecast | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 34 | Radio Talk | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 35 | Newspaper Coverage | - | 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 36 | Folder Prepared | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 37 | Extension literature distributed | - | 4130 | 179 | 482 | 661 | 1038 | 2431 | 3469 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1223 | 2914 | 4137 |
| 38 | Research Paper published | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 39 | Soil & Water Sample analyzed | - | 4797 | - | - | - | 4797 | 0 | 4797 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4798 | 0 | 4798 |
| 40 | Sample diagnosed in PHC | - | 78 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 3 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 3 | 79 |
| 41 | Farmers-Scientists Interaction | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| | Grand Tota | ıl | 5419 | 1801 | 656 | 2457 | 17730 | 19521 | 37251 | 171 | 31 | 202 | 19702 | 20208 | 39910 |

3.5 **Production and supply of Technological products**

SEED MATERIALS

| Major group/class | Crop | Variety | Quantity (qtl.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | Jaya Gurjari | Jaya | 78.85 | 27085 | 57 |
| CEREALS | | Gurjari | 57.80 | 23750 | 50 |
| OLIVEALO | T auuy | IR-28 | 53.20 | | Stored in godown and |
| | | | 55.20 | | selling for next season |

SUMMARY

| Sr. No. | Major group/class | Quantity (qtl.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| | Paddy-Jaya | 78.85 | 27085 | 57 |
| CEREALS | paddy-Gurjari | 57.80 | 23750 | 50 |
| | Paddy-IR-28 | 53.20 | | |
| | TOTAL | 189.85 | 50835 | 107 |

PLANTING MATERIALS

| Major group/class | Crop | Variety | Quantity (Nos.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|-------------------|-------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| FRUITS | Mango | Kesar | 600 | 33000 | 30 |
| | Mango | Dasheri | 200 | 11000 | 10 |

SUMMARY

| SI. No. | Major group/class | Quantity (Nos.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|---------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | FRUITS - MANGO | 800 | 44000 | 40 |
| | TOTAL | 800 | 44000 | 40 |

3.6. Literature Developed/Published (with full title, author & reference)

(A) KVK News Letter: - --Nil-- * Regular news of KVK is published in NAU Spectrum, NAU Publication.

(B) Literature developed/published

| Item | Title | Authors name | Number of copies |
|-------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Research papers | Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth, yield and | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | economics of sweet corn (Zea mays L.) | Dr. A.P.Patel | |
| | Participation of the Tribal Farm Women in Animal Husbandry | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | Profit from papaya | Shri B.M.Tandel | Not applicable |
| | | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | |
| | Residual effect of nutrient management on productivity and its | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | economics under lucerne-paddy sequential cropping | Dr. A.P.Patel | |
| | KVK shifting the life of normal tribal farmer to an innovated high- | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | tech farmer through integrated farming | Shri B.M.Tandel | |
| | IPM Block, Nizar through efforts of KVK for integrated farming | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | system in Ecofrendly way | Dr. J.H.Rathod | |
| | Role of KVK in upliftment of tribal dominated areas of South | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | Gujarat through export oriented okra cultivation | Shri B.M.Tandel | |
| | Contribution of tribal farm women in Decision making for IFS | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | Contribution of theat faith women in Decision making for IFS | Dr. N.B.Chauhan | |
| | Association of the tribal farm women in crop husbandary in IFS | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth, yield and economics of sweet corn (<i>Zea mays</i> L.) | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| | Farmer's perception about ICT Application-A case study of Gujarat State | Dr.N.M.Chauhan | Not applicable |
| Total | 9 | | |
| Technical reports | MPR, QPR, SAC report, FLD report, AAP, APR, MER, AGRESCO, ZREAC report, QRT Report | PC & All SMS | - |
| Popular articles | List of articles given in Annexure – III | PC & All SMS | |

| Leaflets/folders | APNAVVA JEVO PAK "SOYBEAN" | Dr. A. P. Patel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1500 |
|------------------|--|--|------|
| | SWA-SAHAY JUTH NI RACHANA ANE TENU MAHAVA | Arti N. Soni & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 2000 |
| | AADHUNIK KHETI ANE ATYADHUNIK BAHENO | Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1500 |
| | DANGAR MA SANKLIT JIVAT NIYANTRAN | Dr. J. H. Rathod & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1500 |
| | KHETI NE UDHYOG SAMAKAX BANAVVA MATE DASH MUDDA NI SONERI SALAH | Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1500 |
| | SAGARBHA STRI ANE MATA MATE POSHAK AAHAR | Arti N. Soni & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1500 |
| | SHAKBHAJINU AAHAR MA MAHATVA | Arti N. Soni & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 |
| | EK ROKADIYO PAK : BHINDA NI KHETI | Shri B. M. Tandel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 2000 |
| | BALAK NI SARSAMBHAL | Arti N. Soni & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 |
| | DANGARNI KHETI PADHDHATI | Dr. A. P. Patel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 2000 |
| | DANGARNI SRI PADHDHATI THI ROPANI | Dr. A. P. Patel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 2000 |
| | CHIRANJIV KHETI | Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 2000 |
| | BAKARA PALAN | Dr. J. M. Patel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 |
| | MAKAI (Sweet Corn) NI VAIGYANIK KHETI PADHDHATI | Dr. A. P. Patel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 |
| | GHAR AANGANE SHAKBHAJI | Shri B. M. Tandel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 2000 |
| | SHAKBHAJIMA UTPADAN VADHARVA MATE DHYAN MA LEVANI ADHYATAN TECHNOLOGY | Shri B. M. Tandel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 |
| | OFF SEASON MA SHAKBHAJINI KHETI | Shri B. M. Tandel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 |
| | RINGAN NI VAIGYANIK KHETI | Shri B. M. Tandel & Dr. | 1000 |

| | | N. M. Chauhan | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|--|-------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | SUKI KHETI PADHDHATI ANGENA AGATYANA MUDDA | Dr. A. P. Patel & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 | | | | | |
| | KATHOL PAKO MA SANKALIT ROG JIVAT NIYANTRAN | Dr. J. H. Rathod, Dr. N. M. Chauhan & Shri R. S. Patel | 1000 | | | | | |
| | JANTUNASHAK DAVANO SALAMAT UPYOG | Dr. J. H. Rathod, Dr. N. M. Chauhan & Shri R. S. Patel | 2000 | | | | | |
| | ASARKARAK KRUSHI VISTARAN MATE PAYANA VISTARAN KARYAKARONI PAVITRA FARAJO | Shri C. D. Pandya & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 | | | | | |
| | STRIO MA LOHTATVANI UNAP (ANEMIA) VISHE JANO | Arti N. Soni & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 2000 | | | | | |
| | FAL ANE SHAKBHAJI PARIRAXAN | Arti N. Soni & Dr. N. M. Chauhan | 1000 | | | | | |
| Total | 24 | | 34500 | | | | | |
| Book Published | A SERIES OF ACHIEVEMENTS OF KVK, VYARA | · · · | | | | | | |
| | KHETIMA JAMIN ANE PAK SARAKSHANNU MAHATVA | | | | | | | |
| | MULYAVARDHAN DWARA MAHILAONO UDHYOG-SAHSIKTA V | IKAS | | | | | | |
| | PASHUPALANMA VAIGYANIK ABHIGAM | | | | | | | |
| | SANKALIT ROG-JEEVAT NIYANTRAN MARGDARSHIKA | | | | | | | |
| | KVK TAPI – A BOON FOR UPLIFTMENT OF FARMING COMMUNITY | | | | | | | |

(C) Details of Electronic Media Produced

| S. No. | Type of media (CD / VCD / DVD / Audio-Cassette) | Title of the programme | Number |
|--------|--|---|--------|
| 1 | Tribal farmer's FeedbackDVD | KVK Tapi in the service of Tribal farming community. | 100 |

3.7 SUCCESS STORIES / CASE STUDIES:

3.7.1 Replacement of the Pigeon pea variety through FLD, a success story (Accepted in NAU Spectrum)

Introduction:

Agriculture is the strength of the country and seed is the back bone of crop production. Among all the agricultural inputs, only seed had inbuilt potential, where as other inputs like nutrients, irrigation and plant protection chemicals, contribute to the production potential of the seed. If potential of the seed is poor, optimum yield is not possible in spite of judicious use of inputs. Research findings reveal that 10-12 percent increase in yield is attributed to good quality seed. Pigeon pea (Tur) is the main pulse crop in south Gujarat. Tribal belt is preferring tur as a main leguminous food in their daily diet.

Profile of the village:

The village Gatadi is situated in Songadh block of Tapi district. It is located 24 km from block place, 17 km from district place cum Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Vyara. The total population of village is around 650 with 335 male and 315 female. Considering caste wise distribution, cent per cent population is of Schedule Tribe (650), clearly indicating dominance of ST.

The total area of village is 230.95 ha, out of which net cultivable area is 194.30 ha,(84%). Nearly 40 per cent cultivated land having irrigation facility which is mostly irrigated through tube well, well, water lifted from the river and check dams. **Amrutbhai Gamit** is a surpanch, **Aknathbhai Chaudhary** is a Talati, while **Ganpatbhai** is working as VLW in the village. The Gatadi village of Tapi district is tribal dominated and it is the most neglected village and up till now no any extension agency is available to cater the need of farmers regarding agricultural technology.

The main crops of the village are paddy, sorghum and tur in *Kharif* and Sugarcane, vegetables and gram in *Rabi*. A small pocket of the village is covered under groundnut in summer. Only milk co-operative is functioning in the village, helping the farmers for marketing of their livestock products.

Tur is an important pulse crop and plays an important role in **improvement of the soil through improving soil microbial activities.** In Gujarat tur is grown about 2651 ha with production 2942 in year 2007-08 kharif. Among this Surat district covers 326 ha under tur crop.

In the year 2007, **KVK**, **Vyara** has adopted village **Gatadi** as a **Satellite village** for its intensive activities of Transfer of Technologies related to agriculture for increasing agricultural production there by raising standard of living of the farmers.

The entry point visit to the village was made by the team of Subject Matter Specialists. To find out the technological adoption gaps and to identify the thrust areas for the agricultural development, a PRA was made. During PRA, interacting with the farmers it was found that the farmers were unable to harvest the tur crop due to unavailability as well as no knowledge regarding improved varieties of the Tur. Sowing of local varieties with high seed rate on flat bed without knowing scientific cultivation practices of tur. One of the major thrust areas identified as to introduce the high yielding early variety of the tur in the village. Even though using higher seed rate, farmers were frustrated with tur cultivation as they were unable to get better yield from the Tur. As no extension agency was targeting these farmers, they had to rely on private traders for seed. The traditional cultivation of crops makes agriculture costly but they did not get the remunerative yield from the pigeon pea crop.

Considering the situation and dialogues with farmers, Subject Matter Specialists (Agronomy, Horticulture) suggested implementation of land configuration and INM in tur with introduction of the high yielding variety .The training as well as demonstrations on high yielding early variety of the tur Vaishali was the need of village for profitable cultivation of Tur. The interested farmers were given on campus as well as off campus training with special emphasis on identification of insect- pests and diseases of tur, components of INM, economic use of irrigation water, ways to produce quality products, etc. through video show and power point presentations. The farmers were also aggravated to visit and interact with the farmers who have adopted this technology.

Fortunately, with financial assistance of the ICAR under National Pulse Development Scheme, KVK Vyara was able to give demonstrations of Vaishali variety FLD in 10 ha. [5 ha. in the year 2007-08 and 5 ha. in the year 2008-09] benefiting 19 farmers of the Gadat, Gatadi and champawadi villages of Songadh block during 2007-08 and 26 farmers of the Gadat, Gatadi, Bhitkhurd and Bhitbudrak villages in year 2008-09. New Pigeon pea variety "Vaishali" along with INM & land configuration was demonstrated, constant follow up visits, ex trainee visits, khedut and mahila Sammelans, field days, farmer's days and other extension activities have been concentrated. Initially, farmers were vacillating in adopting newly released variety of the pigeon pea and land configuration but with constant encouragement, KVK scientists are successful in building up confidence in them. The major achievement of the demonstrations is that farmers were booming in getting higher production of the tur. The advanced guidance provided by KVK scientists. The farmers from neighboring villages were also attracted and associated with the KVK for adopting their village under FLD scheme. Based on two main pillars of the extension education" Seeing is Believing" and "Learning by Doing", the idea was spread and the adoption was increased to the remarkable level.

The farmers of such villages had sold the green pods of the pigeon pea as well as also harvested seed from the demonstrated variety. The farmers were able to get a net profit of **Rs.50**, **000/ha**. The increase in yield was to the tune of **33-68%**; the field days were organized at demonstrated plots and got wide publicity of the new variety.

67

The farmers from the neighboring villages were also attracted towards new variety of the tur and demanded for seed of the Vaishali variety. The spread of the said variety was in surrounding villages and the FLD villages were became **seed centres** for the same. Next year the same FLD was taken in surrounding villages and the whole cluster had been shifted from conventional variety to the Vaishali variety. The replacement of the seed was-15%. The crop was ready earlier up to the tune of 2-3 months as compared with conventional varieties. Farmers were able to take a next crop after tur on same piece of the land. As, it was matured earlier than traditional varieties by 45-60 days. The feed back from the farmers were collected and the results had been analyzed and presented in the following Tables.

The Gatadi village is now became a model for Vaishali variety in the Block. The surrounding villages of Songadh, Vyara and Uchchhal taluka had also adopted vaishali to the tune of 22% and many more villages are in a cylinder for adopting Vaishali variety of the Tur. The total seed of the said variety was supplied to them on free of cost. The constant follow up and monitoring of the package of practices made them habitant with scientific cultivation of the tur. The whole villagers are flattering conversant regarding better results of the new variety in relation to yield, income, soil, water, environment and health point of view.

Initially, total 6 innovated farmers were trained for the same. Among them , the trained farmers *viz* :- Mr. Maganbhai Gamit, Girishbhai Gamit, Rakeshbhai Gamit, Vineshbhai Chaudhari, Thakorbhai Gamit and Panjibhai Gamit residing at Gatadi village are working as a resource persons for whole village as well as surrounding villages. Last year the seed produced by those villagers were sold to other farmers of the region and they were able to get higher price of the seed as compared with market saling. The same variety will be given to 10 selected villages and constant follow up will be maintained by KVK scientists and at grand growth period of the crop a big farmers day will be arranged including dignitaries of the NAU: Hon. Vice Chancellor, Director of Extension Education, State department of the agriculture and all GOs, /NGOs of the region. Simultaneously the big farmer's day on Tur was conducted for mass multiplication of the advantages of the Vaishali variety and our sincere efforts would be towards whole block conversion in to seed block for Vaishali variety within 2-3 years.

| Subject | Title of the trainings | Duration | No. of participants | | |
|--------------------|---|----------|---------------------|--------|-------|
| | G | (Days) | Male | Female | Total |
| Crop production | Oil seeds & pulse production technology | 2 | 49 | 36 | 85 |
| | Importance of bio fertilizer in oilseeds & pulses crop. | 1 | 18 | - | 18 |
| | Land preparation for major crops of the area. | 1 | 34 | 50 | 84 |

Table-1: Training programmes organized on tur production.

| Improved production technology for pigeon pea cultivation | 2 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
|--|---|----|----|----|
| FLD training on Tur | 1 | | | |
| Integrated weed management in Tur | 1 | 34 | 0 | 34 |
| Important post sowing/ plant agro technologies for more return in kharif crop. | 1 | 21 | 17 | 38 |
| Package of practices of major kharif crop | 2 | 28 | 23 | 52 |
| Importance of land preparation for kharif crop | 1 | 0 | 19 | 19 |

Table-2 Comparison of economics of Vaishali demonstration plot and control plotin tur crop.

| Sr.No. | Name of The village | Yield(Qt/ha) Demon. | Yield(Qt/ha) Local Cheque | Increase in yield (%) | Net profit (Rs/ha) Demon. | Net profit (Rs/ha) Localcheque. | | | | |
|--------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | Year Khari 2007 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Gatadi & | 8.84 | 6.62 | 33.5 | 10609 | 7332 | | | | |
| | Champawadi | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Year Kharif 2008 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Gatadi | 12.48 | 7.40 | 68 | 46538 | 26600 | | | | |
| | Gadat | | | | | | | | | |
| | Bhitkhurd | | | | | | | | | |
| | Bhitbudrak | | | | | | | | | |

Price- Pigeon pea grain (2007)-Rs.12/kg grain.

Pigeon pea grain (2008)-Rs.40/kg grain.

| Table-3 Adoption of Vaishali variety | N=100 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Characteristics | Number | Percentage |
| Overall knowledge level | | |
| Low | 11 | 11.00 |
| Medium | 74 | 74.00 |
| High | 15 | 15.00 |
| Total | 100 | 100.00 |
| Head wise knowledge Level | | |
| Cultural practices | | |
| Low | 15 | 15.00 |
| Medium | 61 | 61.00 |
| High | 24 | 24.00 |
| Total | 100 | 100.00 |
| Fertilizer Management | | |
| Low | 15 | 15.00 |
| Medium | 70 | 70.00 |
| High | 15 | 15.00 |
| Total | 100 | 100.00 |
| Irrigation management | | |
| Low | 20 | 20.00 |
| Medium | 57 | 57.00 |

| High | 23 | 23.00 |
|------------------------|-----|--------|
| Total | 100 | 100.00 |
| Marketing of Green pod | | |
| Low | 22 | 22.00 |
| Medium | 55 | 55.00 |
| High | 23 | 23.00 |
| Total | 100 | 100.00 |

Farmer's reactions:

- 1. Vaishali variety is better than habitual/local cultivars in the villages.
- 2. Variety is suitable for vegetable as well as for grain/seeds.
- 3. During the year 2008 only this variety gave substantial yield in this region, all other traditional varieties failed at all.
- 4. Sowing on ridges allowed all plants to stay alive and produce even in high rainfall area successfully.
- 5. Vaishali variety gave good economic returns as compared with traditional varieties grown in the province.
- 6. INM including recommended dose of fertilizers (RDF) +FYM + Rhizobium inoculation) crop was found better than only use chemical fertilizer.
- 7. Seed production along with green pod marketing gave higher net homecoming as compared with only grain production.
- 8. No menace against failure of the crop due to early maturing and less pest attacks at maturity stage, due to short duration variety.

Conclusion:

Higher yielding and improved varieties is the one of the most important component to get higher yield in agriculture crop production machinery, which technology promises higher yield. INM & land configuration also helps in improving yield. Majority of the respondents gained medium level of the overall knowledge and adopted all cultural practices of the tur production, the knowledge level of the farmers regarding scientific cultivation of tur was increased remarkably, (**Table-4**). This may be due to the proper guidance given by KVK scientists, Demonstrations and constant follow up by KVK missionary. The yield was increased to the tune of **33-68% and the net profit was increased to the tune of 30.68%.**

Implication: The study has acknowledged the knowledge level of the tur growers towards improved technology. This story can be guideline for other extension worker to implement this way of extension technology for their clients. On this groundwork the extension personnel may locate clients for training and also those who can be used as counselors to other farmers. The study is also useful for effective propagation of the improved technology in other regions for eco friendly and sustainable agricultural development.

Suggestions :- Based on this study we can suggest our other extension workers as well as to the policy makers to take a keen interest in the matter and do needful for

great publicity of such technologies in their respective areas of working for successful journey towards next phase of Green Revolution on sustainable basis. This study is also eye opening for the persons working in the field of extension education. This thing looks like diminutive but its impact is of great magnitude.

Reference:

Chauhan, N.M. and Thakor, R.F. (2005), KVK in the service of Tribal farmers of South Gujarat, *Indian farming*, Vol-13, No-2 Pp: 17-18.

Nirmalkumar, S.K. Rautaray, M. Gupta and Singh, A.K. (2005), *Ind.J. Ext.Edu.* vol.no-41 no 1& 2, PP: 49-54.

Patel, K.S., Patel, J.B. and Gajjar, S.N. (2005), *IPM-* A successful approach to combat against cotton pest. *Agricultural Extension Review*, Vol-17, No-5. PP: 8-10.

S.R. Meena and Jhamtani.(2005), Change in cropping pattern subsequent to farm mechanization, *Ind.J. Ext.Edu.* vol.no-41, No- 1& 2, PP: 31-36.

3.7.2 Integrated Nutrient Management, a windfall to get higher production of vegetables in Tribal areas of South Gujarat- A Success story.

Introduction:

Farmers are trailing their productivity and guality of the vegetable crops due to injudicious use of chemical fertilizers and less use of organic matter. An organic matter serves as mechanical spring in soil and improves resilience once stress is removed. Imbalance application of nutrient neither increase yield nor profit in the long run but it may result in accelerating deficiency of other nutrients in the soil. The integrated nutrient management (INM) maintains soil fertility for sustaining increase in crop productivity through optimizing all possible sources, such as organic and inorganic nutrients of plant .Required plant nutrients for growth and quality in an integrated manner, appropriate to ecological, social and economic possibilities (Chundawat, 2001). Farmers of this region struggle for livelihood and divert from traditional agriculture to high output vegetables cropping viz. Okra, Brinjal, and Papdi Therefore, to harvest optimum yield of vegetable crops in eco-friendly way it is very essential to go towards integrated nutrient management which will be capable to improve the soil health. To demonstrate the integrated nutrient management on vegetable crops on farmer's field, this will increase the awareness of this improved technology and to increase the productivity of vegetable crops and to improve the soil health. The demonstrations on INM were conducted in different villages of Tapi District in the State of Gujarat.

Methodology:

Under Rashtriy Krishi Vikas Yojna (RKVY) KVK, Vyara adopted seven tribal villages of Tapi district. 200 acres area had been covered in INM demonstration. Before adopting the villages, vegetable growers losing their productivity and quality of the

vegetable crops due to injudicious use of chemical fertilizers and less use of organic matter. Hence, in RKVY scheme the demonstrations on integrated nutrient management on vegetable crops on farmer's field were conducted to increase the awareness of this improved technology and to increase the productivity of vegetable crops as well as to improve the health of soil, water and environment in the golden era of organic Farming.

Demonstrations were planned for INM including organic in form of enriched bio-compost, recommended dose of fertilizers and bio-fertilizers. Seeds/seedlings were treated with bio-fertilizers (Azotobactor + PSB) @ 2kg/ha each at the time of sowing/transplanting. Enriched Biocompost @ 10 ton /ha was applied at the time of land preparation in all four crops i.e. Brinjal, Okra and Papdi The recommended doses of chemical fertilizers for Brinjal was 100-50-50 NPK kg/ha, Okra was 150-50-50 NPK kg/ha, and that for Indean bean 20-40-00 NPK kg/ha. 100% P& K fertilizers was applied at the time of planting. While N fertilizer was applied in 4 equal splits, for Brinjal, 1st at 25 DATP and remaining 3 splits at 25-30 days interval, while for Okra. 1st at 21 DAS and remaining 3 splits at 25 days interval. Where in case of Indean bean 100 % N& P was applied as basal dose. Total 7 demonstrations on Okra and 46 on Brinjal were conducted. Soil samples before sowing and after harvest of crops were taken and analyzed.

Results and Discussion:

Growth and yield were reported higher with integrated nutrient management than conventional method of cultivation of Okra, Brinjal and Papdi. Plant height of Okra plants were reported about 35cm more in INM demonstrated plots than control plot. While in case of Brinjal and Papdi almost similar plant height was recorded. More plant height with INM may be due to better vegetative growth resulted in demonstration plot due to more conversion of photosynthetic product in to protoplasm. About 40%, higher Number of fruits harvested per plant in Okra with INM plot. In Brinjal about 56 % (Rabi) and 78% (Kharif) more number of fruits was harvested with INM treatments compared with control. In case of Papadi 54.04% higher number of pod was harvested with INM treatments compared with control. 35.6% higher Yield was obtained in case of okra. About 53% higher yield was observed in Papdi in INM demonstrated compared with traditional Papadi growing plots. While in brinjal Rabi season 53.5 % and in Kharif season 48 % moer yield in INM treatment than conventional methods. The higher yield might be due to better supply of plant nutrients in balance form in INM plots, as organic matter improves soil health and creates sympathetic root environment for better augmentation and there by better absorption of nutrients, organic manures decreases fixation of nutrients and enhance availability by solubilizing action of microbes. Integrated nutrient management demonstration plots reported improvement in soil properties and nutrient content of soil after harvest of crops compared to initial soil nutrient values based on chemical analysis. The organic carbon content was marginally

72

improved while P and K status was improved considerably. It might be due to solubilizing effect of organic matter on native fixed nutrient by improving physicochemical and biological properties of soil. It may be a result of positive effect of biofertilizers on soil properties. Brinjal and Papadi were demonstrated in kharif season due to got more price in kharif and farmers get double price then seasonal growing.

Conclusion:

It can be concluded that organic manuring play important role for improvement in crop production, soil health and nutrient use efficiency. The quality of fruit and keeping quality also improved by use of balanced ration along with good amount and quality of organic matters. The INM practice is very very essential for eco-friendly vegetable cultivation. It is also important for production of Indian Consumption Quality Agricultural production (ICQAP) as well as Export quality agricultural products (EQAP).To preserve soil, environment and water for their future use the INM technology is a current need of the time. It is proved that INM may be the sole responsible factor to produce better quality as well as well as higher profitable vegetable cultivation in this area.

Implications:

Successful growing of vegetables with INM will be unique tools of TOT for vertical and horizontal spread of the said technology in tribal dominated areas of Tapi district. Tribal farmers are very curious to adopt such technology in their vegetable cultivation. The inquiries from different parts of the district regarding INM technology as well as inquiry of the farmers in market for INM inputs may be called as a grand success of the demonstrated technology. These Demonstrations will be a boon, can say foundation stone of the spread of ecofriendly technology for higher and better production of the vegetables in tribal area and would be responsible for increasing income and thereby raising the standard of living of the "Vanvasi" people. It is really an unique peace of work done by this KVK In the benefit of tribal of South Gujarat.

3.7.3 Role of KVK in upliftment of Tribal dominated areas of South Gujarat through export oriented Okra cultivation.

Introduction:-

Tapi District is one of the Tribal dominated Districts of the South Gujarat. The District covers only **38% area** of cultivable land under irrigation. Major segments of the district are belongs to rain fed farming. The tribal farmers are yet very much conscious regarding economic use of irrigation water. Since last five years the tribal people have started Okra cultivation in the district through motivation and practical training by KVK, Vyara, Dist- Tapi. The dominant pocket is adjacent to Dolvan Market yard. Tribal farmers are using costly seeds of Hybrid okra. Mainly hybrids are produced by Private, National and Multi National Companies. Tribes are using higher doses of Chemical

fertilizers and Insecticides- Pesticides. After harvesting of Okra majority of farmers handle very roughly. They transport okra in guny, plastic bag & overloaded it. They did not aware of value addition of okra. So, quality of okra was detiorated. They expensed a huge budget behind Agrochemicals. Resulted in higher cost of production. Ultimately they are with expectation of higher prices of their okra in the market. Since last four years Export of Okra had been started by brokers. One or two big containers every after an alternate day's are exported from dolvan market which is costed around 33 cores per season. The okra market has created an identity of the region at National as well as international level. The role played by KVK in this task is immeasurable.

In year 2007, Krishi Vigyan Kendra (KVK), NAU, Vyara started work to decrease the cost of cultivation, reduce the use of agro chemicals, to train the farmers towards value addition in okra and to introduce eco friendly cultivation of okra in the western region of Tapi district. In this context KVK Subject matter specialist has been started 15 days interval visit in all the village around the Dolvan market for diagnosis of the pest-disease and any other problem related to okra cultivation. KVK scientist hammering the technology for export quality production of okra to the farmers. Subject matter specialist horticulture has been organized ON and OFF campus trainings, celebration of okra day and conduct FLDs on INM in Okra. In krishi mahotsav subject matter specialist (horticulture) had contacted directly with farmers and solved their problems regarding cultivation practices and how to reduce the cost of cultivation. Subject matter specialist (horticulture) demonstrated INM technology in 124 field of different villages. Field days were arranged at farmer's field to show the technology feed back from the farmers. In field days farmers showed the crop and realized that they are using more seed rate, more use of agrochemical. Krishi Vigyan Kendra (KVK), NAU, Vyara has organized one day seminar on "Export oriented Okra" at Dolvan market on 14th November-2009 for spread of technology related to export quality okra production.

Main aim of organized seminar on export oriented okra was to increase more & more farmers participated & they get knowledge about time of sowing, seed rate, INM,IPM, IBNM, post harvest management & marketing and raising their standard of living through higher net profit.

The technical session was fully for profitable- export oriented okra. Dr. B. M. Tandel delivered his speech on scientific cultivation of Okra. He gave more emphasis on time of sowing which was more important for getting higher price & more net return. Because, in survey of market price of okra has higher in month of mid November to January. Other things were Integrated Nutrient management in okra for better quality production & soil health. Dr. Maganbhai Patel, Entomologist has delivered his speech on Integrated Pest Management (IPM) in Okra. Value addition and export oriented okra was explained by Dr. Alpesh Leuva, IBM, Expert, NAU, Navsari. The team of Expert from Anand Agricultural University headed by Dr, J.G. Patel has delivered their unique

speech on **Integrated Bionutrient Management (IBNM) in Okra** for NCF cultivation on Okra in a golden era of Organic Farming. The team of scientists from NAU, Navsari and KVK, Vyara has delivered a nice talk on different aspects of profitable okra cultivation and exporting with higher net return. In questionnaire sessions the puzzles from farmers were solved by the scientists satisfactorily. Nearly **4000 tribal farmers and farm women** had taken active participation in the seminar.

Results:

In earlier, 2000 ha area comes under cultivation of okra during rabi season. It produces 22000 ton okra. Farmers get average price of okra was 17.5 Rs/kg. Total turn over of tribal area of okra growers was 37.4 crores. During this year total area under rabi okra cultivation was 2560 ha & 25600 ton production. Month wise distribution of okra in dolvan market. In Oct.-Nov.2009 53.6 qt. okra was come & price 28 Rs/kg, but in Dec. –January and Feb-March total 895.2 and 277.3 qt. okra was came and average price of this okra 47.5 and 31 Rs/ kg respectively.

In year 2008-09 dolvan and Surat market 2286 gt. fresh okra came in October to march month. The price of okra was 15 Rs/kg in October, then it was increase up to 27.5 Rs/kg in Nov. Dec.. Up to end of March it was down up to 7.5-10 Rs/kg. But in year 2009-10 1225.5 gt. okra comes in dolvan and 1206.5 gt. in Surat market. In month of October price of okra was starting from 20 Rs/kg and in month of November its increase 27.5 to 30 Rs/kg. Broad publicity of export oriented seminar, national level broker are come to buy okra. They were directly contact with farmer & buy okra on their field & they get 2 to 2.5 Rs/kg higher then dolvan and surat market. They supplied okra in Mumbai & Delhi. In month of Dec. January farmers get 45 to 60 Rs/kg price. Which was so higher & they were never seen this much price in life. Farmer was also growing quality production and more brokers at least 24 was came in market and more competition for buying okra. Earlier 95 % farmers put okra in plastic bag & over loaded in tempo transportation. So detiorated the quality of okra, ultimately get lower price. After seminar farmers realized that only production was not important but quality & grading is also important for getting higher price. They get higher price in A grade quality & slightly lower in B grade and lowest price of C grade quality okra.

Farmers know that production was not important but grading and transportation was also important and now 35 -40 % farmers are use plastic krates.

Lastly, due to this seminar, farmers grow good quality production, they doing grading in their product, use plastic karats for transportation and get more price as compare to earlier year.

Implications:

This high-tech okra production and marketing technologies has changed the vision of the tribal farmers. 72 new motor cycles had been purchased by tribal youths only due to higher income through okra. Five Tribal farmers were able to purchase four wheelers from export oriented okra cultivation. The whole pockets became famous for

export oriented okra cultivation. The NRIs originated from this district can say in foreign countries that this okra is coming form my native. In real sense this success has changed the vision of the KVK scientists towards farming communities and vice versa. This KVK has proved the real role of Information hub in the tribal dominated areas like, Tapi district. About Rs. 50.00 crore was obtained through okra exporting from this market in three years.

3.7.4 The role of KVK in shifting the life of normal tribal farmer to an innovated high-tech farmer. A success story

Back ground information:

The village Bhitkhurd is a tribal dominated village with 100% per cent tribal population, situated in Utchhal block of Tapi district. It is located 9 km away from block place, 57 km from district place and Krishi Vigyan Kendra, NAU, Vyara. The total population of the village is around 4000. The total geographical area of the village is about 824 ha. Out of which net cultivated area is about 510 ha. The irrigated area of village is 20 ha which is mostly irrigated by tube wells. Total graphical area of the taluka is 8260 ha & out of this 8260 ha area is cultivated and only 701 ha area is covered under irrigation facility.

The main crops of the village are drilled Paddy, Tur, Transplanted Paddy and Sorghum in kharif while Wheat, Chickpea and few vegetables in *Rabi season*. **Intervention:**

Process:

In the year 2007, KVK, Vyara has adopted the village Bhitkhurd. In first year for intensive activities of Transfer of Technologies related to agriculture and allied sector for increasing agricultural production there by raised the standard of living of farmers. First Subject Matter Specialist of KVK, Vyara conducted PRA in this village and find out the technological adoption gap in agricultural crop and to identify the thrust areas for the village.

During PRA, interacting with farmers, it was found that the farmers were unable to get economical dwelling coming from their land. As they grow drill paddy, Tur, gram and T.P. paddy with old varieties, which is having very low yielding capacity. In rain fed farming, poor management in their field, such as high seed rate, improper spacing, imbalance use of fertilizers & high weed infestation. All these factors collectively resulted in extravagant agriculture of the village. The farmers of this tribal belt were unable toward adopting new technologies, recent innovations in agriculture. So, some times they left their field. They were frustrated with agriculture; result was migration for livelihood security.

Considering the situation, Subject Matter Specialist (Horticulture) dialoged with farmers and suggested first to replace the seed of all field crops and cultivation of high value vegetable crops. Interested group of farmers were invited to KVK, Vyara for giving detail training on scientific cultivation of field crops and motivated on growing vegetables and seed plot through video show and power point presentations. Those interested farmers were also visit NAU, campus farm for motivation to old traditional farming.

Among trained farmers, **Mr. Bhanudashbhai Sahitravbhai Gamit**, a resident of Bhitkhurd is a school dropout and presently involved in agriculture and dairy farming. His father, Sahitravbhai is also a farmer and engaged in farming for last 25 years. He is migrated Bhitkhurd from Maharashtra. He has 9 acres of cultivated land. Previously, due to lack of irrigation facility they had to depend on rainfall and were able to cultivate sorghum and drill paddy in *kharif* and gram in *Rabi* on conserved soil moisture. From all the available resources, he was able to earn **net return of** only **Rs. 22000**.

With availability of irrigation water through lift irrigation in Tapi command area and use of tube well, for 4.2 acres of land led him cultivation of irrigated, T.P. paddy, wheat and gram in initial years, he was able to earn **38-41 thousands** from 4.2 acres of land.

Mr. Bhanudashbhai had bayed pick up van for doing transportation business. But he did not succeed in the form of option other than farming for his livelihood. He has taken interest in his farming. He was eager to know incredible newer developments in agriculture. He has great impatience and curiosity to know recent innovations in agriculture. But as he is residing at remote place, he was unable to get latest information regarding development in agriculture. He was in search of an organization which can cater his need for newer technologies in the field of agriculture. **Technology:**

KVK scientist had selected few interested young farmers and conducted training programme on scientific cultivation of paddy & gram. KVK scientist demonstration on newly technology of paddy, tur and gram on farmer's field. All those crops gave 25-34 % higher yield than conventional method of farming. Mr. Bhanudhas has trust on SMS (Horticulture) and start new technology adopted in field of agriculture. The Subject Matter Specialist (Horticulture) Mr. B. M. Tandel suggested him to grow gram var. GG-2, in life saving irrigated area. He produced 2000kg gram in 4 acres of land and he earned net Rs. 52000. As an innovated farmer, he immediately accepted the idea given by KVK scientist and neighbor state and started cultivation under guide line of SMS (horticulture). In kharif season he cultivated T.P. paddy & Tur in 6 acres of land and in other area under drill paddy. He had been using 70 % less seeds than earlier and use improve varieties, applied fertilizer under guidance of Mr. B. M. Tandel. Production was double in Tur and T.P. Paddy and 40 % increase in yield of drill paddy. He got net returns of Rs. 83, 495 from 9 acre land. In rabi season he was grow onion in 3 acre, brinjal in 0.5 acre, gram in 1 acre and wheat in 2 acre. He has cultivation of all crops under direct guideline of SMS (Horticulture), time to time supervision given to him by telephonic and direct contact and field visit. He was produced 30 ton onion, 3700 kg

77

brinjal and 1400 kg wheat, which was so higher then earlier production. He able to grow new crop and make self confidence. Total net output from these all crops were Rs .2, 67,500. He also produced seeds of onion in this year.

Impact:

Economic:

Due to adoption of scientific approach in agriculture he obtained a higher yield. He received total income of **Rs. 3.5 Lakhs** from total 9 acres of land. The total cost of cultivation was **Rs. 69000** *I*-.The net profits was **Rs. 2.81 Lakhs**. This led him higher income and also raised his standard of living with better social status. Previously he had to borrow money from others for his social expenses. At present he is in a position to land money to others. Cultivation of good quality agriculture product attracted the merchants towards Bhitkhurd village. He started to sell paddy after milling paddy after thorough processing and get more prices then others.

Horizontal spread:

Now, Mr. Bhanudashbhai is become an **innovator** for other farmers for Tur, Gram ,Paddy and Onion cultivation in the region. Under his guidance total **26 farmers are** growing tur and paddy, 25 farmers and Maharastra state farmers are growing Gram having irrigation facility. In this year around other 29 farmers had started growing onion cultivation by guidance of Mr. Bhanudashbhai. This can be said as an apparent impact of effective and efficient functioning of KVK in the service of farming communities at grass root level.

| Particular | | Yield attri | but | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|------------|-----------|--|--|
| | Plant height | Number of fruit | Yie | eld | | |
| | (cm) | per plant | gm. /plant | ton. / ha | | |
| | | Okra(Rabi) | | | | |
| Conventional method | 89.93 | 15.3 | 152 | 13.46 | | |
| INM (demon.) | 121.57 | 21.4 | 215 | 18.20 | | |
| % increase over conventional | 35.18 | 39.86 | 41.44 | 35.6 | | |
| Brinjal (Rabi) | | | | | | |
| Conventional method | 70.25 | 25.97 | 1210 | 19.41 | | |
| INM (demon.) | 69.60 | 42.62 | 2290 | 29.92 | | |
| % increase over conventional | - | 56.4 | 89.25 | 53.5 | | |
| | | Brinjal (Kharif) |) | | | |
| Conventional method | 89.35 | 21.64 | 1082 | 18.08 | | |
| INM (demon.) | 98.42 | 38.57 | 1492 | 26.87 | | |
| % increase over conventional | | 78 | 37.8 | 48.18 | | |
| | | Indian bean | | | | |
| Conventional method | 48.21 | 87.56 | 138 | 3.97 | | |
| INM (demon.) | 51.29 | 134.34 | 223 | 5.933 | | |
| % increase over conventional | | 54.04 | 61.5 | 53 | | |

Table 1: Effect of INM on crops.

| | | | | Soil | analysis | | | | |
|---------|---------|---------|-------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|---|---------|----------------------|
| | рН | | Ec (ds/m ²) | | Organic carbon | | Available P ₂ O ₅ | | ble K ₂ O |
| | | | | | (%) | | | | |
| Initial | After | initial | After | initial | After | initial | After | initial | After |
| | harvest | | harvest | | harvest | | harvest | | harvest |
| | | | | (| Okra | | | | |
| 6.74 | | 0.32 | | 0.97 | | 53.57 | | 400.39 | |
| | | | • | В | rinjal | • | | • | · |
| 7.22 | | 0.43 | | 0.82 | | 48.90 | | 451.02 | |
| | • | • | • | India | an bean | • | • | • | • |
| 7.79 | | 0.48 | | 0.69 | | 38.05 | | 353.73 | |

Table 2: Effect of INM on soil properties.

3.7.5 Impact of Kitchen Gardening Demonstration in Tribal Farm Women, a Success Story.

Introduction:

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, NAU, Vyara is an innovative science based institution which is engaged with transfer of scientific technology related to agriculture and allied fields in adopted villages of Tapi district. Tapi district is a Tribal dominated district with poor economic condition of farmers. The farm women of this area are mostly engaged with daily wages farm work which is available in particular crop season. Majority of tribal farm women have lack of knowledge about health & nutrition, dietary pattern of pregnant & lactating women and supplementary feeding for children. Due to poor economic condition, they are enabling to purchase fruits & vegetables from market for their daily dietary need. It resulted in poor health and imbalance nutritional status of farmers, farm women and children.

The farm women of this area are growing one or two vegetable crops of local variety in their backyard in traditional way. To motivate the farm women towards growing improved varieties of different vegetables to fulfill their nutritional requirement, it has been decided to conduct Front Line Demonstrations on Kitchen Gardening in adopted villages of Tapi district. Kitchen Gardening model developed by NAU in satellite village. Total 100 demonstrations have conducted on Kitchen Gardening in total 17 villages of Vyara, Songadh & Uchchhal taluka of Tapi district.

Objectives:

- 1. To improve the health & nutritional status of Tribal Farm families.
- 2. To increase the income of Tribal farmers.
- 3. To demonstrate Kitchen Gardening in scientific way.
- 4. To make farm women familiar with different vegetables & high value dietary vegetable crops.

Economics of Kitchen Gardening:

| Season | No. of | Area | Vegetable crops | Total | Average | Gross F | Return (Rs.) |
|-----------|--------|---------------|------------------------------------|------------|----------|----------|--------------|
| | Farm | | | Production | Rate | Before | After FLD |
| | Women | | | (Kg) | (Rs./Kg) | FLD | |
| Rabi'08 | 50 | 1 Guntha / FW | Okra, Tomato, Brinjal, Cabbage, | 71.1 | 13 | Not done | 924=30 |
| | | | Cauliflower, Chilli, Bitter gourd, | | | kitchen | Along with |
| | | | Bottle gourd, Ridge gourd, | | | garden | domestic |
| | | | Palakh | | | | consumption |
| Kharif'09 | 50 | 1 Guntha / FW | Okra, Tomato, Brinjal, Cowpea, | 120.56 | 18 | 546=00 | 2170=00 |
| | | | Indean bean, Pigeon pea, Chilli, | | | | Along with |
| | | | Fenugreek, Cluster bean, Bitter | | | | domestic |
| | | | gourd, Bottle gourd, Ridge | | | | consumption |
| | | | gourd, Palakh | | | | |

Feedback of Tribal Farm Women:

| 1 | Kitchen gardening gives continuous supply of fresh vegetables at lower cost which gives daily nutritious diet. |
|---|---|
| 2 | Income is generated by selling extra vegetables grown in kitchen garden. |
| 3 | Before Demonstration, farm women were growing only two or two vegetable crops in their backyard but after demonstration they are growing different vegetable crops through kitchen gardening in scientific way. |
| 4 | In kitchen gardening, farm women are not applying any agrochemicals so they produce organic vegetables. |
| 5 | Farm women are utilized maximum backyard space and waste water. |
| 6 | Farm women are attracted towards hybrid vegetables. |
| 7 | Farm women are utilized their spare time through kitchen gardening i.e. Kitchen Gardening is the profit making leisure time activity. |

Conclusion:-

Demonstrations on Kitchen Gardening have misrepresented the eye site of the tribal farm women among health and hygienic safety measures. The tribal farm women can not have enough money to purchase costly vegetables for their family. The sickle cell anemia and other disease are great constraints. The main reason behind this is malnutrition, imbalanced ration and illiteracy .The said FLDs has paved the way of healthier, long, prosperous and biodegradable life of the tribal farm women. **Implications:-**

Looking to the success of the kitchen garden demonstrations the tribal farm women themselves motivated and ready to adopt this technology by their own cost. Next year nearly 200 kitchen garden demonstrations were prearranged in this belt. The tribal farm women from other regions were also demanded for kitchen garden demonstrations. This year almost definitely more than 500 demonstrations will be conducted in Vyara and Songadh block with the help of different agencies. The nutritional discrepancy and undernourishment would be diminishing. **The use of back yard space and wear and tear water of domestic purpose would be utilized in a better way.**

3.7.6 Role of KVK in cultivating Land Configuration, in Tribal belt of Tapi District.

Front Line Demonstration is the new concept of field demonstration evaluated by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research with the inception of Technology innovation in Oilseeds, Pulses and other main crops of the region. The main objectives of the Front Line Demonstrations (**FLDs**) is to demonstrate recently released innovative technologies to the farmers field as well as to generate the production data of the newly evolved technologies, varieties as well as any recently innovated agricultural technologies centre launched by ICAR in the year 1974. At present more than 580 KVKs working in the country to transfer the agricultural technologies at grass toor level. KVK, Regional Rice Research Station, Vyara, Dist-Tapi is started in the year 2006 in Tribal dominated areas of South Gujarat.

The FLDs were organized in tribal areas of Tapi district of Gujarat state .Each variety of Gram viz-GG-2, Pigeon pea viz-,Vaishali and three varieties of Groundnut viz-GG-20, TG-26, TG-37A and GG-6 were demonstrated on land configuration technology of KVK. The KVK scientists were actively involved in conducting these demonstrations. KVK scientist supplied treated seeds of the improved verities of Groundnut, Gram and pigeon pea along with bio-fertilizers to the farmers. Selected farmers were trained about land configuration and production technologies of all the three crops prior to conduct

the demonstrations on their farm. The results of demonstrations on land configuration on different crops are presented as below.

The data presented in Table-1 indicated that groundnut crop was grown on raised bed obtain higher pod yield during summer season as compared with in kharif season. The percent increased in yield over control plot during kharif 2006, 2007 and 2008 were 37 %, 29% and 23%, respectively. Where as in summer season during 2007, 2008 and 2010 were 23, 27 and 22.58 per cent, respectively. The C.B.R. obtained during summer crop was higher than the CBR of *kharif* season crop. It was 4.88 and 4.5 during summer 2007 and 2008, respectively. Overall total income of demonstration plot was 35% higher in *kharif* and 33 % higher in summer season over control plot (i.e. flat bed sowing).

The data regarding Gram crop during the year -2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 in Rabi on broad ridge bed method was found significant, the data of table-2 shows that just by land configuration only, the yield could be increased up to 30-55%. The calculated CBR of the year 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 were 4.62, 6.80, 5.30 and 6.69 respectively

The data in table-3 regarding the pigeon pea cultivar Vaishali was grown by ridges and furrow method during *kharif* 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010. The average yield of demonstration plot obtained during both the year was 884, 1248, 1773 and 1450 kg/ha, respectively. This gave 33.5%, 33%,39.60 and 40.75 increase in yield over control plot. The total income of demonstration Plot were 33%, 68%, 39.60 % and 39.03% higher over control plot during both the *kharif* season. This can be see apparently that only land configuration in the heavy rain fall zone of the southern belt of Tribal dominated areas is advisable to adopt such technology in Gram, Pigeon pea and Ground nut for higher production, higher net profit and higher CBR to improve socio-economic status of the Tribes.

The demonstrated was organized in tribal area of Tapi district of Gujarat state. One variety of Gram cv.GG-2, one Vaishali variety of Pigeon pea and three variety of Groundnut like GG-20, TG-26, TG-37A and GG-6 were demonstrate on land configuration technology of KVK were actively involved in conducting this demonstration. KVK scientist supplied treated seeds of the improved verities of Groundnut, Gram and pigeon pea along with bio-fertilizer to the farmers. Select farmers were trained about land configuration and production technologies of all the three crops before conducting the demonstration on their farm. The results of conducting demonstration on land configuration on different crops are presented here.

Groundnut

The soil of this area was medium black, sandy loam, low in organic matter and medium in available phosphorus and high level of potash. Groundnut crop seed sowing by row to row with distance of 45 cm, on 1.2 meter broad ridge bed and 30 cms. space left between two bed. Other recommended practices were done. The crop was sown @

100 kg. Seeds/ha. In second week of December and in *summer* season and last week of June in *kharif* season. To disseminate the recommended technology.

The data presented in Table-1 indicated that groundnut crop was grown on raised bed obtain higher pod yield during *summer* season than that of in kharif season. The percent increase in yield over control plot during kharif 2006, 2007 and 2008 was 37 %, 29% and 23% respectively where as that of in *summer* season during 2007, 2008 and 2009-10 was 23, 27 and 22.58 per cent respectively. The C.B.R. obtained during *summer* crop was higher than the CBR of *kharif* season crop. It was 4.88, 4.5 and 2.44 during *summer* 2007, 2008 and 2010 respectively. Overall total income of demonstration plot was 35% higher in *kharif* and 33 % higher in *summer* season over control plot (i.e. flat bed sowing).

Gram

Farmers of Tribal area were growing gram after harvesting of paddy crop but farmers get low yield due to use of local variety poor land management and poor fertilizer management. So that KVK supplied improved variety seeds and bio fertilizer to demonstrated broad ridge bed planting at farmers' field. Result of land configuration presented here.

In table-2 presenting the Gram crop was grown during rabi-2006,2007, 2008 and 2009 on broad ridge bed method. The data of table-2 shows that just by land configuration, the yield can be increased up to 30-55%, calculated CBR of 2006, 2007 2008 and 2009 was 4.62, 6.80, 5.30 and 6.69 respectively.

Pigeon pea

Kharif pulse, especially pigeon pea experiences waterlogging in rainfall region spreading in our large area of south Gujarat. The short duration cultivars (120-130 days) particularly at seedling stage are more sensitive to waterlogging. The damage includes yellowing of leaves, followed by senescence and abscission due to poor soil aeration and shortage of nutrient uptake. The poor aeration also results in poor nodulation and nitrogen fixation. it has also important in predisposed phytopthora blight and root rot incidences leading to complete crop failure incase of prolonged water logging among the management options ridge- furrow planting technique has been found verry successful in draining excess water from the crop root zone and therefore ensures better crop growth and yield. in this techniques, 15-20 cm height ridges are made through tractor and bullock drawn ridger. rides are spaced 19 cm in case of short duration of pigeon pea varieties

Presenting the data of table-3 the pigeon pea cultivar Vaishali was grown by ridges and furrow method during *kharif* 2007 2008, 2009 and 2010. The average yield of demonstration plot obtained during both the year was 884, 1248, 1773 and 1450 kg/ha respectively. This gave 33.5%, 33%, 39.60% and 40.77% increase in yield over control plot. The total income of demonstration Plot was 33%, 68%, 39.60% and 39.03% more income over control plot during the *kharif* season.

Conclusion

The variety GG-2 for Gram, Vaishali for Pigeaon pea and GG-20, TG-26, TG-37A and GG- 6 for Groundnut were found suitable for this region. In heavy rainfall are land configuration for oilseed and pulses were also found advantageous Land configuration along with high yielding varieties of groundnut, gram and pigeon pea resulted in higher yield, higher net return and higher CBR, too. It is advisable to disseminate the same technologies to other areas of the south Gujarat for getting higher yield and avoiding the mortality at initial growth stage to maintain proper plant population.

Implication:-

This research would be a guideline for other extension workers to perform better in their field. It leads toward effectively, efficiently, as well as result and impact oriented work in the field of Agricultural extension. This is a mile stone work for the effective TOT in the Tribal dominated interior region of the south Gujarat. It will be eye opening for disseminating any recently released innovative agricultural technology successfully among illiterate and poor participants. At the outset of the concluding we can say KVK Vyara is becoming really an **information hub** for farming communities. Our efforts are to make this KVK Farmers friendly, farmers centric, farmers leading and the overall agricultural development on sustainable basis. Precision farming and Eco friendly development of the region is our prime Motto. The **Research-Extension-Farmer-Market** Linkage Extension approach is a current need of the time to get better agricultural output. The **next phase of Green Revolution** can only be possible through integration of all above said approaches.

A popular demand from different villages to arrange the programme at their villages by KVK is the testimony of the important role of the KVK in their development in general and agriculture particular quiet well. We all have to run on **information super highway**.

Table No.:1 Profitability of FLDs on land configuration in Groundnut

Crop: Groundnut Farming Situation: Irrigated

Season: Kharif & Summer

| Variety | Year | No. of Demo. | Average yield of Demo Plot (Kg/ha) | Average yield of Control Plot (Kg/ha) | Percent increase over control | Cost of Cultivation of Demon. Plot | Cost of Cultivation of Control Plot | Total income of Demon. Plot | Total income of Control Plot | CBR |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|--|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| | | | (rtg/na) | (Rg/lia) | (%) | (Rs/ha) | (Rs/ha) | (Rs/ha) | (Rs/ha) | |
| GG-20 | Kharif 06 | 10 | 1157 | 839 | 37 | 9570 | 8800 | 28925 | 18878 | 3.02 |
| TG-26 | Summer 07 | 14 | 1874 | 1518 | 23 | 1006 | 10900 | 46850 | 34155 | 4.68 |
| GG-20 | Kharif 07 | 13 | 705 | 545 | 29 | 9115 | 9510 | 17625 | 13625 | 2.9 |
| TG-37 | Summer 08 | 40 | 2299 | 1803 | 27 | 12722 | 11722 | 57475 | 45075 | 4.5 |
| GG-20 | Kharif 08 | 20 | 1663 | 1347 | 24 | 9215 | 9925 | 39912 | 32328 | 4.3 |
| GG-6 | Summer 2009-10 | 27 | 2850 | 2325 | 22.58 | 14500 | 14300 | 49875 | 40688 | 2.44 |

Table No.:2 Profitability of FLDs on land configuration in Gram

| Crop: Gra | Crop: Gram (Cv. GG-2) | | | Farming Situation: Irrigated | | | Season: Rabi | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|------|
| Year | No. of Demo. | Average yield of Demo Plot (Kg/ha) | Average yield of Control Plot (Kg/ha) | Percent increase over control (%) | Cost of Cultivation of Demon. Plot (Rs/ha) | Cost of Cultivation of Control Plot (Rs/ha) | Total income of Demon. Plot (Rs/ha) | Total income of Control Plot (Rs/ha) | CBR |
| Rabi 06 | 14 | 1652 | 1074 | 53 | 8220 | 8560 | 37996 | 24702 | 4.62 |
| Rabi 07 | 23 | 2078 | 1600 | 29.8 | 8898 | 8362 | 51950 | 40000 | 6.8 |
| Rabi 08 | 22 | 2078 | 1477 | 40 | 8898 | 8362 | 47794 | 33971 | 5.30 |
| Rabi 09 | 24 | 1700 | 1150 | 47.82 | 8900 | 8460 | 59500 | 40256 | 6.69 |

Table No.:3 Profitability of FLDs on land configuration in Pigeon pea

| Crop: Pige | Crop: Pigeon pea (Cv. Vaishali) | | | Farming Situation: Irrigated Season: Rabi | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|--|------|
| Year | No. of Demo. | Average yield of Demo Plot (Kg/ha) | Average yield of Control Plot (Kg/ha) | Percent increase over control (%) | Cost of Cultivation of Demon. Plot (Rs/ha) | Cost of Cultivation of Control Plot (Rs/ha) | Total income of Demon. Plot (Rs/ha) | Total income of Control Plot (Rs/ha) | CBR |
| Kharif 07 | 19 | 884 | 662 | 33.5 | 8140 | 7232 | 19448 | 14564 | 3.3 |
| Kharif 08 | 20 | 1248 | 740 | 33.0 | 8140 | 7232 | 49938 | 29600 | 6.1 |
| Kharif 09 | 24 | 1773 | 1270 | 39.60 | 8150 | 7315 | 66488 | 47625 | 8.15 |
| Kharif 10 | 39 | 1450 | 1030 | 40.77 | 8580 | 7440 | 58000 | 41715 | 7.75 |

3.7.7 Replacement of drilled paddy through high recurring Soybean crops in tribal belt of South Gujarat

The eastern tribal hilly region of South Gujarat including Tapi, Surat and Dang districts, they cultivate their land during kharif season only. It was found that the farmers were unable to get economical homecoming from their land holdings. They grow drill paddy with old varieties, which is having very low yielding capacity. The farmers of this tribal belt were unable toward adopting new ideas / technologies /crops and recent innovations in agriculture. So, some time they left their field without crop for a year or more. Considering the situation and with frequent live contacts as well as discussion with farmers, Subject Matter Specialists of KVK suggested replacing drill paddy with another more remunerative economical crop i.e. soybean. This crop has immense capacity to improve soil condition by adding huge amount of organics in the form of leaves and deep tap root systems, Rhizobium bacterial activity. So, the present study was undertaken in adopted satellite villages of KVK, Vyara. The data were tabulated, analyzed and interpreted in light of objective of the study. The result indicated that the soybean cultivation is highly profitable in tribal dominated areas of the Surat and Tapi district. This crop is also advisable to the farmers for improvement of the soil physical, chemical and biological health. The human health point of view this crop is highly advisable to the people of the tribal region to control the diseases related to the malnutrition and deficiency syndromes. At the end we can suggest this crop in the region to increase the income of the farmers and also to improve their own, family as well as soil health.

INTRODUCTION:

Traditional agriculture characterized with age old cropping system with an aim to fulfill need of the family. Most of the tribal areas of the country, including our state have a similar trend of living. They live in nature and find their livelihood from nature. They are doing agriculture, but these abscond on god's blessings. The eastern tribal hilly region of South Gujarat including Tapi, Surat and Dang districts, they cultivate their land during kharif season only. The rainfed crops grown by these tribal farmers are drilled paddy, sorghum, pigeon pea and other pulses either single crop, mixed or intercrops. They grow paddy to fulfill food need of the family as rice is the staple food of this people. The agriculture of this tribal villages are longstanding, still today they grow traditional paddy varieties like Tichun (T.N-1), Dodiu, Dhanhar, Sathi, kada,Kalitapki, etc.age old varieties. In the year 2007-08, KVK Vyara has adopted village Gadat, Gatadi, Dhamodi, Pati and Bedi as a satellite village for its intensive activities of Transfer of Technologies (**TOTs**) related to agriculture for increasing agricultural production and net return to improve over all living standard of villagers.

During PRA survey of the village, interacting with the farmers it was found that the farmers were unable to get economical homecoming from their land holdings. They grow drill paddy with old varieties, which is having very low yielding capacity. Further, this rain fed drilled paddy anguish with poor field management such as high seed rate, improper spacing, imbalance use of fertilizer, no use of organic matter, high weed infestation, unavailability/poor facilities of lifesaving irrigation at critical crop growth stages. All these factors collectively resulted in uneconomical agriculture of the village. The farmers of this tribal belt were unable toward adopting new ideas / technologies /crops and recent innovations in agriculture. So, some time they left their field without crop for a year or more. As no external help, information was reach to the farmers they were frustrated with agriculture. This was resulted in migration for livelihood security as well as decreasing interest of the rural youth towards modern high-tech agriculture.

Considering the situation and with frequent live contacts as well as discussion with farmers, Subject Matter Specialists of KVK suggested replacing drill paddy with another more remunerative economical crop. The survey of possible other alternate crops and their marketing facilities in the region, it was decided to replace drill paddy with introduction of soybean crop. Soybean is a pulse cum oil seed crops, have good yield in hilly region of nearby area, soil improvement through increasing microbial activities in the soil. This crop has immense capacity to improve soil condition by adding huge amount of organics in the form of leaves and deep tap root systems, *Rhizobium* bacterial activity. Further, Soybean has fast growing system create smoothering effect on weeds by early covering on land surface. Keeping this in view, a study was undertaken with specific objective of replacing drilled paddy with soyabean crop. **METHODOLOGY:**

Study was under taken in satellite villages i.e. Gadat, Gatadi, Dhamodi, Pati and Bedi as demonstration on soybean were conducted in these villages. List of farmers on whose farms demonstration were organized were prepared from the records of the KVK. Thus, all the farmer i.e. 50 numbers of farmers were selected for the present study. The records collected on soybean production technology were used and these were compared with the prevailing technologies of drilled paddy in these villages. The yield data and economics of both the crops were collected. The data collected were tabulated, analyzed and interpreted in the light of the objective.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

KVK scientists had selected few interested young farmers and invited them to KVK for detail discussion about *replacement of drill paddy with soybean crop*. The detail package of practices of new introducing Soybean crop was taught to them. The trainings including scientific package of practices, integrated management of pest & diseases, PHT, Value addition, economic importance of soybean was given to them by KVK scientists. The detail training was given to them based on two main pillars of extension education, "**Seeing is Believing**" and "**Learning by Doing**" with power point presentation along with constant follow up time by time. After successful training and change in mindset of farmers for soybean, this new crop of soybean was introduced. Through out the crop season constant visit of FLD plots were made and required information provided to them for successful soybean cultivation. At maturity stage of the soybean **Field days** were also organized on soybean demonstration plots for mass dissemination of the demonstrated technology. The feed back were collected from the FLD farmers to generate production data as well as to collect the reaction of the farmers(Table 1).

Economic:

The soybean growing farmers came forward with open dialogue that, they got higher net return from soybean crop as compared with drilled paddy. In addition to that, one more opinion as per their perception that there is labour saving in soybean cultivation due to less weeds, less fertilizer, disease, pest and equipment management. They also opinioned that soybean crop improves soil condition. Hence, next crop in the same field in succession is also performs well without much investment on fertilizer due to soil enrichment through soil microbial activities. The farmers were able to get more net return than drilled paddy. The increase in income over drilled paddy was **Rs.17-22 thousands** per hectare. The net profit was increased up to the tune of **54.51 per cent (Table-2)** on average of three years.

Horizontal spread:

KVK scientists also informed to use soybean in daily diet as protein supplement. Now they started to use this golden bean in their daily diet. This year about 35 per cent of the villagers will be adopting soybean instead of drill paddy. In year 2006 total area under soybean was 2886 ha. After conducting training, demonstration and other extension activities, i.e. khedutdin, krushi mela, krishi mahotshav, shibir, field day the area under soybean was 4852 ha in year 2009.

CONCLUSION:

The soybean cultivation is highly profitable in tribal dominated areas of the Surat and Tapi district. This crop is also advisable to the farmers for improvement of the soil physical, chemical and biological health. The human health point of view this crop is highly advisable to the people of the tribal region to control the diseases related to the malnutrition and deficiency syndromes. At the end we can suggest this crop in the region to increase the income of the farmers and also to improve their own, family as well as soil health.

| Name of activities | Number | Farmers |
|----------------------|--------|------------------------------|
| On campus Training | 7 | 146 |
| FLD Visit | 5 | 87 |
| FLD – Day | 3 | 223 |
| Off campus Training | 6 | 340 |
| Off campus – special | 1 | Simadi - Kamrej |
| Feedback Meeting | 4 | Gadat, Gatadi, Dhamodi, Pati |
| | | and Bedi |

Table-1 Extension activities in the village

Table-2 Comparison of economics of Soybean demonstration plot and Drilled paddy.

| Sr. No. | Name of The village | Yield (Qt/ha) Demon. Soybean | Yield (Qt/ha) Drilled paddy | Net Return (Rs/ha) Soybean | Net profit (Rs/ha) Drill paddy | Net profit (Rs/ha) In Soybean over drilled paddy. | % increase over drilled paddy |
|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1 | Year Khar | i 2007 | | | | | |
| | Gadat | 11.64 | 13.75 | 11662 | 6475 | 4187 | 35.90% |
| 2 | Year Khar | if 2008 | | | | • | |
| | Gadat | 18.74 | 11.13 | 23732 | 4641 | 19091 | 68.00% |
| 3 | Year Khar | if 2009 | | | • | | |
| | Gadat | 19.70 | 12.34 | 29550 | 6300 | 21050 | 59.64% |

** Average increase from 3 year data-54.51%

Reference:

Chauhan, N.M. and Thakor, R.F. (2005), KVK in the service of Tribal farmers of South Gujarat, *Indian farming*, Vol-13, No-2 Pp: 17-18.

S.R. Meena and Jhamtani. (2005), Change in cropping pattern subsequent to farm mechanization, *Ind.J. Ext.Edu.* vol.no-41, No- 1& 2, PP: 31-36.

3.7.8 Increasing area and productivity of Paddy in tribal belt of South Gujarat

District Profile

Krishi Vigyan Kendra Vyara is located in the Tapi district – the southeastern part and the tribal belt of Gujarat. The district shares it borders with Surat, Navsari and Dang district in North-west, South and East respectively with Maharashtra state in East .The geographical area of the district is 7.79 lac ha. The conspicuous features of the district are undulating topography with steep slopes and heavy rainfall. The av. Rainfall of the district is about 80 –100 inches per annum. The distribution is erratic and thus, causing damage to the crops like Pulses, Paddy and other cereals.The district is composed largely of tribal communities. This, communities depend primarily on agriculture for their livelihood supplemented by income from seasonal employment in nearest industrial town. Soils of the district in general can be classified as medium black to heavy black, Red murrum and rocky with low innate fertility. Agriculturally, about 60 per cent of the cultivated area is undersigned crop during monsoon. The main crops of the district are – Paddy, Sorghum, Groundnut, Pulses, Sugarcane, Gram and vegetables-Brinjal,Okra. Paddy is the staple foods of the tribal communities of the district. Among Vegetable crops Okra is main crop for export quality.

Krishi Vigyan Kendra

KVK Vyara is working under the auspices of Navsari Agricultural University. It has started its activities since September,2000. Kendra has undertaken **Seed multiplication programme of Paddy since 2000-01**.

Genesis of Programme

To ascertain the constraints encountered by Paddy growers of this area, a Benchmark survey was carried out by multidisciplinary team of scientist of KVK during the year 2000-01. The results of the survey revealed following ...

- # Large majority of the tribal farmers are cultivating conventional varieties (Tichun native –1, Sathi and Kada) of paddy.
- # Conventional varieties are early mature, having coarse grain with dull husk colour, and highly susceptible to water logging as the rain coincide with maturity of paddy in later stage.
- # Paddy growers are using higher seed rate i.e. 30 40 Kg for transplanting 1 acre of land as they produce seed of their own.
- # They were planting 10-12 seedlings / hill resulting in to over plant population and lower yield. It also increases the cost of cultivation because harvesting takes much time.
- # Farmers were using impure seed, as they produce it on their farm without taking much care.
- # Av. Yield of Paddy (conventional varieties) is about 2500 Kgs./ha.under good management practices.
- # Market value of the conventional varieties is less ranges between Rs. 5 –6 /Kg. because of coarse grain and unpleasant colour of husk.
- # Tribal farmers are not satisfied with yield status of conventional varieties of Paddy.
- # The farmers having assured irrigation facilities or low land kyari expressed their desired to have high yielding variety with **late maturity** to avoid damage by rains to crop at the maturity time.
- # On the contrary, farmers growing paddy under rainfed condition expressed their desire to have high yielding **early mature** variety.
- # It was also noticed that most of the tribal farmers posses small piece of land. Whatever they produced from the land during monsoon, they have to depend on it for their livelihood. They are striving hard for their food especially during August and September.

Intervention of KVK

Considering the above facts KVK has initiated the **programme of multiplication of seeds of high yielding varieties of Paddy** under both rain fed and irrigated condition since 2000-01 on instructional farm. The objective was to popularize high yielding varieties by supplying pure seeds to the farmers on regular basis and thereby increase the area and productivity of Paddy. It has been planned to cover at least 20-25 per cent of the area under the Paddy in the surrounding 45 villages with increasing the productivity and profitability per unit area.

Approach

As many as 92 training programmes especially on production technologies of HYVs of Paddy are organized covering 2636 farmers.(Table-3). Front Line Demonstrations of HYVs of Paddy are also conducted on farmer's field to show them the production potentialities. The details of the demonstrations conducted is given in Table-4. Field trials of the HYVs were conducted on instructional farm of Kendra to screen the best varieties from among the seeds of different varieties supplied by Navsari Agrilcutural University. In all, 41 Field days and 18 farmers days are also organized on KVK farm as well as on demo plots on farmer's field, benefiting 17593 paddy growers. Details are given in Table-5. This has created awareness amongst tribal farmers about use of HYVs of paddy.

Efforts are made to produce and supply the improved seeds of the paddy to the paddy growers of this area.

| Sr. No | Year | Season | Qty produced (Kg) | Qty sold (Kg) | No. of farmers | Area (Acre) Covered |
|-----------|------|--------|----------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------|
| 1 | 2000 | Kharif | 3570 | 1247 | 68 | 103 |
| 2 | 2001 | Kharif | 551400 | 551400 | 215 | 86 |
| 3 | 2002 | Kharif | 53865 | 53865 | 1567 | 125 |
| 4 | 2003 | Kharif | 60320 | 60320 | 1850 | 145 |
| 5 | 2004 | Kharif | 46603 | 46603 | 1465 | 128 |
| 6 | 2005 | Kharif | 44440 | 44440 | 1288 | 115 |
| 7 | 2006 | Kharif | 51803 | 51803 | 1572 | 135 |
| 8 | 2007 | Kharif | 37310 | 37310 | 344 | 75 |
| 9 | 2008 | Kharif | 10300 | 10300 | 364 | 76 |
| 10 | 2009 | Kharif | 22693 | 22693 | 1025 | 150 |
| | Tota | | 882304 | 879981 | 9758 | 1138 |

Details of seed production by KVK and its distribution to the farmers on cost basis.

Achievement of the programme

Successfully introduced HYVs of paddy such as IR-28,GR-3, GR-4, GR-5, GR-7, GR-11, Gurjari and Jaya in this area.

- > About 545 ha of land have been covered under HYVs of Paddy replacing conventional varieties. More then 1200 farmers of 45 villages are directly benefited by this programme.
- > Av. Yield of Paddy per unit area is almost doubled.
- > Reduction in cost of cultivation as a means of reduction in seed rate and maintenance of optimum plant population. Earlier farmers were using 30-40 Kg seed rate for transplanting of one acre of land . Now with adoption of HYVs they are using 10-12 Kg of seeds for the transplanting of same area.
- > Farmers getting higher yields and more profit from the unit area as improved varieties fetch little higher prices as compared to local varieties because of slender grains.

More than 55 farmers have started multiplication of seeds in their own farm under the supervision and guidance of KVK scientist. This will increase the area under HYVs at a faster rate in coming years. This in turn will helps in changing socioeconomic status of the tribal farmers of this area.

Economics

Conventional varieties

- Av. Yield 3000 Kg/ha.
- Av. Market price Rs. 5-7 /Kg.
- Total cost of cultivation Rs.9170 / ha.
- Av. Income Rs. 21000 / ha.
- Net profit Rs. 11838 / ha

High yielding varieties

- Av. Yield 4500 Kg/ha.
- Av. Market price Rs. 6-8 /Kg.
- Total cost of cultivation Rs.8120/ha.
- Av. Income Rs.36000/ ha.

• Net profit Rs. 27880 / ha.

Thus, by adopting HYVs and recommended improved technologies (Table 4) such as seedrate, fertilizer doses, crop geometry, timely hand weeding and plant protection measures for the control of stem borer, tribal farmers of the targeted area are getting higher production and income from paddy cultivation.

| Sr. No | Year | Season | Area(ha) | Production (MT) | Productivity Kg/ha |
|-----------|-----------|--------|----------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | 1997-98 | Kharif | 53,276 | 1,55,198 | 2913 |
| | | Summer | 15,007 | 6,00,93 | 4004 |
| 2 | 1998-99 | Kharif | 59,552 | 1,22,266 | 2053 |
| | | Summer | 1,037 | 31,480 | 3035 |
| 3 | 1999-00 | Kharif | 59,980 | 1,86,480 | 3109 |
| | | Summer | 1,454 | 5,905 | 4061 |
| 4 | 4 2000-01 | Kharif | 58,010 | 1,69,302 | 2918 |
| | | Summer | 1,553 | 6,379 | 4108 |
| 5 | 2001-02 | Kharif | 85167 | 16777 | 1970 |
| | | Summer | 1311 | 3833 | 2924 |
| 6 | 2002-03 | Kharif | 79627 | 236378 | 2969 |
| | | Summer | 4831 | 14009 | 2900 |
| 7 | 2003-04 | Kharif | 80000 | 154800 | 1935 |
| | | Summer | 5300 | 17000 | 3226 |
| 8 | 2004-05 | Kharif | 79535 | 201130 | 2529 |
| | | Summer | 1205 | 18600 | 3100 |
| 9 | 2005-06 | Kharif | 77817 | 198393 | 2550 |
| | | Summer | 3839 | 6086 | 3500 |
| 10 | 2006-07 | Kharif | 77817 | 198393 | 2550 |
| | | Summer | 3839 | 6086 | 3500 |

Table 1: Year wise area, Production and Productivity of Paddy of the Tapi district.

| 11 | 2007-08 | Kharif | 94306 | 252660 | 2679 |
|----|---------|--------|-------|--------|------|
| 12 | 2008-09 | Kharif | 257 | 381 | 1482 |
| 13 | 2009-10 | Kharif | 257 | 381 | 1482 |

Table 2 : Year wise details of the area covered under HYVs of Paddy

| Sr.No | Year | Name of village | Area (ha) |
|----------|---------|-----------------|-----------|
| 1 | 2000-01 | Dhamodi | 07 |
| | | Chikhalda | 04 |
| | | Saraiya | 02 |
| | | Chhindiya | 13 |
| | | Tichakiya | 08 |
| | Total | <u>,</u> | 34 |
| 2 | 2001-02 | Chhirma | 14 |
| | | Khanpur | 08 |
| | | Ambach | 06 |
| | | Velda | 09 |
| | Total | | 37 |
| 3 | 2002-03 | Madav | 29 |
| | | Vedachhi | 36 |
| | | Vanskui | 10 |
| | | Olpad | 15 |
| | | Choryasi | 19 |
| | | Unchamala | 20 |
| | Total | enonamala | 129 |
| 4 | 2003-04 | Khurdi | 09 |
| <u> </u> | | Nani chikhali | 08 |
| | | Paniyari | 07 |
| | | Lotarva | 05 |
| | Total | 2010.10 | 29 |
| 5 | 2004-05 | Unchamala | 16 |
| | | Gunkhadi | 25 |
| | | Amalgundi | 10 |
| | Total | , inalgana | 51 |
| 6 | 2005-06 | Bandharpada | 19 |
| <u> </u> | 2000 00 | Dolara | 20 |
| | | Agasvan | 14 |
| | | Dhajamba | 38 |
| | Total | Englinika | 91 |
| 7 | 2006-07 | Gadat | 38 |
| | | Pati | 25 |
| | | Champawadi | 67 |
| | Total | Champanadi | 130 |
| 8 | 2007-08 | Bedi | 41 |
| 0 | 2007.00 | Gatadi | 36 |
| | | Ambach | 24 |
| | | Kapura | 38 |
| | | Vadkui | 54 |
| | Total | vaurui | 193 |
| 9 | 2008-09 | Dolvan | 20 |
| 3 | 2000-03 | Panchol | 15 |
| | | FAILUIU | 10 |

| | | Allu-Boriya | 25 |
|----|---------|--------------|-----|
| | | Shiker | 10 |
| | Total | | 88 |
| 10 | 2009-10 | Godchit | 12 |
| | | Mirpur | 10 |
| | | Bhadbhunja | 13 |
| | | Selud | 08 |
| | | Gadat | 24 |
| | | Pati | 32 |
| | | Mandal | 45 |
| | | Nishana-Amji | 15 |
| | | Jamkhadi | 11 |
| | | Vanskui | 25 |
| | | Degama | 24 |
| | | Limdada | 10 |
| | Total | | 229 |

| Table : 3 Training Programmes on Production Technologies of HYVs of | of Paddy. |
|---|-----------|
| | |

| Year | No's of | Fraining Pro | gramme | | Participants | 5 |
|---------|---------|--------------|--------|------|--------------|-------|
| Tear | On | Off | Total | Male | Female | Total |
| 1997-98 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 167 | 24 | 191 |
| 1998-99 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 127 | 17 | 144 |
| 1999-00 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 133 | 20 | 153 |
| 2000-01 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 78 | 45 | 123 |
| 2001-02 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 134 | | 134 |
| 2002-03 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 232 | 37 | 269 |
| 2003-04 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 179 | 56 | 235 |
| 2004-05 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 298 | 84 | 382 |
| 2005-06 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 344 | 141 | 485 |
| 2006-07 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 120 | 67 | 187 |
| 2007-08 | 2 | 7 | 9 | 125 | 54 | 179 |
| 2008-09 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 130 | 55 | 185 |
| 2009-10 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 272 | 185 | 457 |
| Total | 24 | 68 | 92 | 1912 | 724 | 2636 |

| Year | Season | Area | No.of Farmers | Variety | Village | Average Yield (Q/ha) | | Incre. In yield (%) |
|------|--------|------|------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|-------|---------------------------|
| | | | | | | Demo | Local | |
| 2001 | Kharif | 4 | 10 | Jaya | 4 | 59.15 | 52.00 | 13.75 |
| | | | | GR-5 | | 24.99 | 18.00 | 38.80 |
| 2002 | Kharif | 3.80 | 9 | Gurjari | 6 | 55.75 | 52.00 | 7.21 |
| | | | | GR-7 | | 62.50 | 55.00 | 13.64 |
| | | | | GR-5 | | 20.40 | 17.00 | 20.00 |
| | | | | GR-8 | | 15.27 | 17.00 | 9.82 |
| 2002 | Summer | 12.5 | 24 | Gurjari | 4 | 69.29 | 62.00 | 11.75 |
| | | | | GR-3 | | 64.97 | | |
| | | | | GR-7 | | 79.66 | 65.29 | 22.00 |

| 2003 | Kharif | 14 | 31 | Gurjari | 7 | 65.08 | 53.92 | 21 |
|------|--------|----|----|---------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| | | | - | GR-7 | | 68.24 | 61.50 | 11 |
| | | | | GR-5 | | 26.96 | 19.50 | 38 |
| | | | | GR-8 | | 17.48 | 14.00 | 25 |
| 2003 | Summer | 2 | 4 | Gurjari | 4 | 68.38 | 60.00 | 14 |
| 2004 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2004 | Summer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2005 | Kharif | 10 | 26 | Gurjari | 8 | 52.90 | 41.41 | 28 |
| | | | | GR-7 | | 54.66 | 41.84 | 31 |
| | | | | GR-8 | | 15.60 | 11.92 | 31 |
| | | | | GR-12 | | 45.58 | 40.74 | 12 |
| 2005 | Summer | 8 | 16 | Gurjari | 3 | 61.38 | 49.04 | 25 |
| 2006 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2007 | Kharif | 10 | 42 | GR-5 | 8 | 22.23 | 13.75 | 60 |
| | | | | GR-7 | | 52.05 | 40.65 | 28 |
| | | | | GR-8 | | 16.74 | 13.28 | 26 |
| | | | | GR-9 | | 20.66 | 16.00 | 29 |
| | | | | GR-12 | | 51.09 | 40.93 | 25 |
| 2008 | Kharif | 17 | 42 | GR-5 | 6 | 18.63 | 11.13 | 62 |
| | | | | GR-8 | | 14.19 | 9.14 | 27 |
| | | | | GR-9 | | 10.53 | 9.14 | 15 |
| | | | | Jaya | | 52.59 | 43.77 | 20 |
| 2009 | Kharif | 15 | 54 | GR-9 | 8 | 11.13 | 9.30 | 19.67 |
| | | | | GR-7 | | 51.50 | 41.50 | 24.09 |
| | | | | Jaya | | 58.75 | 47.25 | 24.34 |
| 2010 | Kharif | 22 | 81 | Jaya | 8 | 59.50 | 47.75 | 24.61 |
| | | | | GR-5 | | 15.50 | 12.25 | 28.75 |
| | | | | NAUR-1 | | 60.50 | 47.75 | 26.70 |
| | | | | GAR- | | 55.75 | 46.50 | 18.89 |
| | | | | 13 | | | | |

Table : 5 Extension Activities on Production Technologies of Paddy

| Year | Name of Activity | No | | Participants | |
|---------|------------------|----|------|--------------|-------|
| | | | Male | Female | Total |
| 2000-01 | Field Day | 3 | 50 | 75 | 125 |
| | Farmers Day | 2 | 412 | 88 | 500 |
| 2001-02 | Field Day | 1 | 55 | 45 | 100 |
| | Farmers Day | 1 | 750 | 430 | 1180 |
| 2002-03 | Field Day | 4 | 431 | 107 | 538 |
| | Farmers Day | 1 | 370 | 430 | 800 |
| 2003-04 | Field Day | 4 | 102 | 37 | 139 |
| | Farmers Day | 1 | 670 | 145 | 815 |
| 2004-05 | Field Day | 5 | 121 | 55 | 176 |
| | Farmers Day | 2 | 700 | 250 | 950 |
| 2005-06 | Field Day | 2 | 73 | 0 | 73 |
| | Farmers Day | 1 | 400 | 210 | 610 |
| 2006-07 | Field Day | 2 | 95 | 65 | 160 |
| | Farmers Day | 1 | 375 | 125 | 500 |
| 2007-08 | Field Day | 4 | 85 | 55 | 140 |
| 2007-08 | Farmers Day | 1 | 475 | 145 | 620 |
| 2008-09 | Field day | 7 | | | 301 |
| 2000-09 | Farmers Day | 2 | 1209 | 1942 | 3151 |

| 2009-10 | Field day | 6 | 125 | 170 | 295 |
|---------|-------------|---|------|------|------|
| 2009-10 | Farmers Day | 4 | 2749 | 2130 | 4879 |
| 2010 11 | Field day | 3 | 123 | 6 | 129 |
| 2010-11 | Farmers Day | 2 | 398 | 1014 | 1412 |

 Table : 6 Adoption of Paddy production technologies by tribal farmers.
 N= 120

| Sr.No | Reco. practices | | | Af | ter |
|-------|--|-----|-------|-----|-------|
| | | No. | % | No. | % |
| 1 | High yielding varieties | 41 | 35.65 | 91 | 71.00 |
| 2 | Reco. Seed rate | 35 | 24.53 | 94 | 73.45 |
| 3 | No. of seedlings /hill | 32 | 20.45 | 82 | 67.43 |
| 4 | Cutting of tips of young seedlings | 28 | 21.23 | 73 | 60.53 |
| 5 | Spraying of insecticide for stem borer | 25 | 17.28 | 64 | 54.38 |
| 6 | Soil application of Carbofuran for | 32 | 23.55 | 78 | 67.78 |
| | stem borer | | | | |
| 7 | Reco. Dose of fertilisers | 25 | 20.83 | 66 | 55.00 |
| 8 | Hand weeding | 38 | 32.43 | 89 | 74.55 |

3.8 Give details of innovative methodology/technology developed and used for Transfer of Technology during the year

- The mobile telephone numbers of Programme Coordinator and Subject Matter Specialists is being given to farmers and extension functionaries during extension activities which are best utilized by farmers.
- Telephone Advisory Service has been started and its response from farmers is very effective.
- Agricultural Information column in News Paper Gujarat Mitra is regularly run by KVK which is published in Every Monday Edition. In this column the answers are being given for the questions asked by the farmers.

3.9 Give details of indigenous technology practiced by the farmers in the KVK operational area which can be considered for technology development

| S. | Crop / | ITK Practiced | Purpose of ITK |
|------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| No. 1. | Enterprise All crops | 3 kg of Jathropa leaves is taken in 20 liters of water and boiled at a temperature of 60 to 70 [°] C until it becomes 5 liters. Take 250 ml and add it to 15 liters and spray. | For controlling sucking pests |
| 2. | All crops | Farmers are using mixture of cow dung, urine and buttermilk for the control of sucking pest. | For controlling sucking pests |
| 3. | Cotton | One farmer used black ants for the control of cotton insect pests. For the purpose, the used to put jaggery at the base of plant (5-10) grams) and release black ants which are reared in tank. | To control cotton pests |
| 4. | Okra | Growing okra in winter with high seed rate and closer spacing | To get more number of tender fruits per plant which fetch more prices in market. |

| 5. | Pulse crops | Use of ash for storage of Tur, Beans, Gram | To control storage gram pests | |
|----|-------------|--|--|--|
| 6. | Jowar | Use of dry neem leaves for sorghum storage | To control storage gram pests | |
| 7. | Animal | Use of wild plants with sand and pest it on neck of the animal | To control HAEMORRHAGIC SEPTICEMIA | |

3.10 Indicate the specific training need analysis tools/methodology followed for

- Identification of courses for farmers / farm women: PRA and group discussion, eye to eye contact
- Rural Youth: Group discussion with youth, at the time of field visit.
- Inservice personnel: Discussion with extension workers, line department officials, field extension functionaries and NGOs staff.

3.11 Field activities

- i. Number of villages adopted: 10
- ii. No. of farm families selected :- 3538
- iii. No. of survey/PRA conducted :- 10

3.12 Activities of Soil and Water Testing Laboratory

Status of establishment of Lab

: Working (under ICAR)

- 1. Year of establishment
- : 2005-06 (September 2006) amount :
- 2. List of equipments purchased with amount

| Sr. No. | Name of Equipments | Qty. | Cost(Rs.) |
|------------|--|------|-----------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1. | Whirlpool freeze | 1 | 15800 |
| 2. | Electronic Automatic Kel Pus Microprocessor based eight place macro block digestion system model KES-08L | 1 | 88120 |
| 3. | Electronic Kel plus micro processor based Automatic Distillation system model distil EM | 1 | 142300 |
| 4. | Double still with thermo sensor hr (All glass) cat No 2348 | 1 | 38550 |
| 5. | Nova Rotary shaking machine | | |
| | (a)Capacity 16 flasks of 250 ml | 1 | 24500 |
| | (b)Capacity 25 flasks of 250 ml | 1 | 29750 |
| 6. | Nova Hot plate Rectangular model NV-8535 stainless steel | | |
| | (a) Size 12" x 20" | 1 | 8500 |
| | (b) Size 18" x 24" | 1 | 11250 |
| 7. | Nova willy mill stain lese steel camber Size 100 x 50 mm | 1 | 31900 |
| 8. | Laboratory Table | 4 | 34400 |
| 9. | Racks | 6 | 9000 |
| 10. | Stools | 12 | 5400 |

| 11. | Steel cupboard - storewel | 4 | 19200 |
|-----|--|----|--------|
| 12. | Steel cupboard storewel | 4 | 14000 |
| 13. | Steel racks | 4 | 8600 |
| 14. | Partition racks | 3 | 22500 |
| 15. | Office chair | 4 | 4000 |
| 16. | Systronics make | | |
| (a) | Micro controller based Digital spectrophotometer model -106 | 1 | 26800 |
| (b) | Systronics make micro controller based flame photometer compressor model-128 | 1 | 35200 |
| (C) | Systronics make micro controller based PH meter | 1 | 10900 |
| (d) | Systronics make micro processor based conductivity meter | 1 | 12800 |
| 17. | Hot air oven | 1 | 21200 |
| 18. | Chemical Balance | 1 | 75000 |
| 19. | CENTRO FIX WATERBATH | 1 | 10800 |
| 20. | CENTRO FIX – Muffle furnace | 1 | 29500 |
| 21. | Automatic autoclave | 1 | 21000 |
| 22. | City weigh balance model ST-10 Cap- 10 kg | 1 | 10640 |
| 23. | LG AC-15 ton | 1 | 23740 |
| 24. | Micro kjeldahl Assembly | 1 | 10700 |
| 25. | Burner maker type with stop coke | 8 | 2000 |
| 26. | Voltas make water cooler | 1 | 26500 |
| | Total | 67 | 539780 |

3. Details of samples analyzed so far

| Details | No. of Samples | No. of Farmers | No. of Villages | Amount realized |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Soil Samples | 7790 | 7790 | 17 | 250000 |
| Water Samples | 200 | 200 | 13 | 10000 |
| Total | 7990 | 7990 | 30 | 260000 |

:

4.0 IMPACT

4.1. Impact of KVK activities

| Name of specific | No. of | % o f | Change in in | come (Rs.) |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| technology/skill | participants | adoption | Before | After |
| transferred | | | (Rs./Unit) | (Rs./Unit) |
| Introduce new variety | 250 | 89.00 | 19800 | 59900 |
| (vaishali) in Tur | | | | |
| Introduce new crop soybean | 120 | 25.00 | 4750 | 19400 |
| to replace drill paddy | | | | |
| IPM in cotton | 450 | 84.50 | 33200 | 42525 |
| Scientific package of | 200 | 86.00 | 36400 | 76300 |
| practice of okra | | | | |
| INM in brinjal | 135 | 83.54 | 60050 | 95980 |
| Use of biofertilizer and land | 248 | 85.45 | 25650 | 39245 |
| configuration in gram | | | | |

NB: Should be based on actual study, questionnaire/group discussion etc. with ex-participants.

| Sr. No | Crop/ Enterprise | Thematic Area | | idoption (%) in d villages |
|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| INO | Enterprise | | Before KVK | After KVK |
| 1 | Groundnut | ICM New Variety | 25 | 64 |
| 2 | Gram, Pigeon pea | Land Configuration | 10 | 80 |
| 3 | Okra | IPM | 18 | 58 |
| 4 | Brinjal | IPM | 18 | 45 |
| 5 | Cotton | INM | 8 | 25 |
| 6 | Kitchen Garden | | 20 | 65 |
| 7 | Okra | INM | 10 | 48 |
| 8 | Paddy | ICM | 24 | 68 |
| 9 | Cotton | IPM | 27 | 55 |

4.2. Cases of large scale adoption

4.3 Details of impact analysis of KVK activities carried out during the reporting period:-

4.3.1 Impact of training regarding scientific cultivation of okra

The Okra crop is becoming more and more popular in Tapi district. The considerable acreage area (4000 hectare) is under okra cultivation. Due to lack of knowledge regarding scientific package of practices tribal farmers are assassinating huge budget behind crop production, indiscriminating use of agrochemical and loosing the health of soil, water and environment and also unable to get higher net return due to lack of knowledge regarding value addition and market management. To overcome this problem KVK, Tapi has started an integrated campaign. KVK, Tapi conducted 9 on campus and 7 off campus trainings, total number of beneficiaries of FLD is 124 covering 7 villages of Tapi district and other extension activities during last three year. The details regarding such innovated movement is presented here as an impact study.

Table 1:-Overall knowledge of scientific package of practices of okra

| N=1 | |
|-----|--|
| | |

00

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK (%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Low level of knowledge | 55 | 16 |
| Medium level of knowledge | 35 | 56 |
| High level of knowledge | 10 | 28 |

Results of overall knowledge of scientific package of practices of Okra indicated that the medium and high level of knowledge before KVK was 35.00 per cent and 10.00

per cent, respectively, which was increased up to 50.00 per cent and 28.00 per cent after contact with of KVK (Table-1).

Table 2:- Knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for okra cultivation N=100

| Sr.No. | Selected scientific innovations | Low | Medium | High |
|--------|--|-----|--------|------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 16 | 28 | 56 |
| 2 | Seed rate | 11 | 16 | 73 |
| 3 | Integrated Nutrient management | 26 | 35 | 39 |
| 4 | Integrated Pest Management | 25 | 61 | 14 |
| 5 | Knowledge regarding yellow mosaic virus/ powdery mildew | 26 | 43 | 31 |
| 6 | Plant growth regulator | 7 | 11 | 82 |
| 7 | Value addition | 6 | 16 | 78 |

In case of knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for okra cultivation medium and high level of knowledge was 35.00 per cent and 39.00 per cent, respectively in case of integrated nutrient management, Where as in integrated pest management was 61.00 per cent and 14.00 per cent, respectively. High knowledge level regarding plant growth regulators and value addition was 82.00 per cent and 78.00 per cent, respectively (Table-2).

 Table 3:- Overall adoption of scientific package of practices of Okra. (Percentage)

 N=100

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Low level of adoption | 14 | 4 |
| Medium level of adoption | 69 | 28 |
| High level of adoption | 17 | 68 |

The data presented in table 3 indicated that medium and high level adoption was 69.00 per cent and 17.00 before KVK where that of after conducted with KVK was 28.00 per cent and 68.00 per cent, respectively.

| Table 4:- Adoption of critical okra production technology (%). | N= 100 |
|--|--------|
|--|--------|

| Sr. No. | Name of technology | Adoption (%) |
|------------|---|--------------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 82 |
| 2 | Seed rate | 76 |
| 3 | Integrated Nutrient management | 82 |
| 4 | Integrated Pest Management | 61 |
| 5 | Knowledge regarding yellow mosaic virus/ powdery mildew | 72 |
| 6 | Plant growth regulator | 73 |
| 7 | Value addition | 77 |

Adoption of okra production technology, 82.00 per cent farmers adopted high yielding varieties and INM. 76.00 per cent farmers adopted recommended seed rate. In case of plant growth regulator and value adoption 73%.00 per cent and 77.00 per cent

adoption was observed (Table-4). From the above discussion, it can be concluded that knowledge level and adoption level of the tribal farmer s were increased after imparting training and conducting FLD by KVK scientists. KVK, Vyara is working as a knowledge hub for latest agricultural technology in Tapi district.

4.3.2 Impact of training regarding scientific cultivation of brinjal

In Tapi district farmers were obtaining very low yield in Brinjal. Low productivity of Brinjal was due to lack of knowledge about scientific cultivation, poor nutrient management and lack of knowledge in IPDM. KVK conducted 9 on campus and 7 off campus trainings, total number of beneficiaries of FLD is 97 covering 7 villages of Tapi district and other extension activities during last three year. Impact study results are present here.

 Table 1:- Overall knowledge of scientific package of practices of brinjal
 N=100

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK (%) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Low level of knowledge | 59 | 7 |
| Medium level of | 28 | 51 |
| knowledge | | |
| High level of knowledge | 13 | 42 |

Results of overall knowledge of scientific package of practices of Brinjal indicated that the medium and high level of knowledge before KVK was 28.00 per cent and 13.00 per cent, respectively, which was increased up to 51.00 per cent and 42.00 per cent after contact with KVK (Table-1).

Table 2:- Knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for BrinjalcultivationN=100

| Sr.No. | Selected scientific innovation | Low | Medium | High |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----|--------|------|
| 1 | Integrated Nutrient management | 9 | 26 | 65 |
| 2 | Pest and disease control | 22 | 59 | 19 |
| 3 | IPM | 29 | 55 | 16 |
| 4 | Plant growth regulator | 4 | 12 | 84 |
| 5 | Recommended spacing | 6 | 38 | 56 |
| 6 | Value addition | 5 | 14 | 81 |

In case of knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for Brinjal cultivation medium and high level of knowledge was 26.00 per cent and 65.00 per cent, respectively in case of integrated nutrient management, Where as in pest and disease control was 59.00 per cent and 19.00 per cent, respectively. High knowledge level regarding plant growth regulators and value addition was 84.00 per cent and 81 .00 per cent (Table-2).

Table 3:- Overall adoption of scientific package of practices of Brinjal.

| (۲ | er | ce | nta | ge |) |
|----|----|----|-----|----|---|
| | | | | | |

N=100

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Low level of adoption | 28 | 6 |
| Medium level of adoption | 56 | 22 |
| High level of adoption | 16 | 72 |

The perusal of data presented Table 3 indicated that before contact with KVK, more than half (56.00 per cent) of the respondents had medium level of adoption followed by low (28.00 per cent) and high (16.00 per cent) level of adoption. But, after contact with KVK, it was found that 72.00 per cent had high level of adoption followed by medium (22.00 per cent) and low (6.00 per cent) level of adoption.

| Table 4:- Adoption of critical Brinjal production technology (%). | | %). N= 100 |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Sr. No. | Name of technology | Adoption (%) |
| 1 | Integrated Nutrient management | 89 |
| 2 | Pest and disease control | 68 |
| 3 | IPM | 59 |
| 4 | Plant growth regulator | 82 |
| 5 | Recommended spacing | 92 |
| 6 | Value addition | 86 |

The adoption of Brinjal production technology, 89% farmers adopted INM, 92.00 per cent farmers adopted recommended spacing. In case of plant growth regulator and value adoption 82.00 per cent and 86.00 per cent adoption was observed. Pest and disease control & IPM 68% and 59% farmers adopted the technology (Table-4).

From the above discussion, it can be concluded that the impact of training conducted by KVK has beneficial effect on knowledge level and adoption level of the tribal farmers about scientific cultivation of brinjal. Among the Knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for brinjal cultivation, majority (84.00 per cent) of the respondent had knowledge about plant growth regulator followed by value addition (81.00 per cent) and integrated nutrient management (65.00 per cent) after receiving training. In case of adoption of critical brinjal production technology, majority (92.00 per cent) of the respondents had adopted recommended spacing followed by integrated nutrient management (89.00 per cent), value addition (86.00 per cent) and plant growth regulator (82.00 per cent).

4.3.3 Impact of training regarding package of practices of soybean crop

The soybean cultivation is highly profitable in tribal dominated areas of the Surat and Tapi district. This crop is also advisable to the farmers for improvement of the soil physical, chemical and biological health. The human health point of view this crop is highly advisable to the people of the tribal region to control the diseases related to the mal nutrition and deficiency syndromes. Farmers of Tapi district growing rain fed drill paddy but its produce very low yield so it's get very low remunerative. In place of drill paddy soybean crop earn more net profit then drill paddy. KVK conducted 8 on campus and 10 off campus trainings, total number of beneficiaries of FLD is 43 covering 7 villages of Tapi district and other extension activities during last three year. The impact study results are present here.

Table 1:- Overall knowledge of package of practices of soybean crop. N=100

| Category | Before contact with KVK | After contact with KVK |
|----------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | (%) | (%) |

| Low level of knowledge | 89 | 07 |
|---------------------------|----|----|
| Medium level of knowledge | 09 | 14 |
| High level of knowledge | 02 | 79 |

Results of overall knowledge of soybean indicated that the low, medium and high level of knowledge before contact with KVK was 89.00 per cent, 09.00 per cent & 02.00 per cent, respectively and it was increased up to 07.00 per cent, 14.00 per cent and 79.00 per cent after contact with KVK (Table-1).

Table 2:- Knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for soybean crop.

N=100

| Sr.No. | Selected scientific innovation | Low | Medium | High |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----|--------|------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 10 | 5 | 85 |
| 2 | Seed rate | 13 | 74 | 13 |
| 3 | Bio fertilizer | 11 | 16 | 73 |
| 4 | Weeding | 23 | 8 | 69 |
| 5 | Integrated Nutrient management | 09 | 13 | 78 |

In case of Knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for soybean high knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations were found except seed rat.

Table 3:- Overall adoption of scientific cultivation of soybean. (Percentage)

N=100

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Low level of adoption | 75 | 05 |
| Medium level of adoption | 13 | 06 |
| High level of adoption | 12 | 89 |

Data presented in table -3 indicated that majority of the farmer had low level of knowledge (75.00 per cent) before contact with KVK. After contact with KVK, 89.00 per cent of the farmers had high level of knowledge.

| Table 4:- Adoption of critical soybean production technology (%). | N= 100 |
|---|--------|
|---|--------|

| Sr. No. | Name of technology | Adoption (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 92 |
| 2 | Seed rate | 87 |
| 3 | Bio fertilizer | 73 |
| 4 | Weeding | 70 |
| 5 | Integrated Nutrient management | 88 |

Data present in table 4 indicated that 92.00 per cent of the farmer had adopted new high yielding variety fallowed by INM (88.00 per cent).

From the above discussion, it could be inferred that after imparting training and other intensive approach by KVK, Tapi, majority (79.00 per cent) of the tribal farmers of these area had high the knowledge level and majority (89.00 per cent) of the tribal farmers of these area had high adoption level about package of practices of soybean crop. At the end we can suggest this crop in the region to increase the income of the farmers and also to improve their own, family as well as soil health.

The study has acknowledged the knowledge level of the farmers towards profitable cultivation of the soybean. This study can be guideline for other extension worker to implement this way of extension technology for their clients in their respective area of operation for TOT. On this foundation the extension personnel may locate clients for training and also those who can be used as counselors to other farmers. The study is also useful for effective propagation of the new technology in other regions for eco friendly and sustainable agricultural development. The study also reflects the role of KVKs in effective Transfer of Technologies (**TOTs**) at grass root level.

4.3.4 Impact of training regarding package of practices of gram crop

Tribal area of Tapi district grow gram on moisture conserve or in light irrigation, but they get very low yield due to use of low yielding variety, poor knowledge about scientific cultivation of gram. KVK, Tapi had done intensive effort on training about scientific cultivation, demonstration on new variety & land configuration. KVK conducted 6 on campus and 8 off campus trainings, total number of beneficiaries of FLD is 48 covering 7 villages of Tapi district and other extension activities during last three year. So impact study results are present replacing drill paddy.

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK(%) |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Low level of knowledge | 78 | 08 |
| Medium level of | 16 | 10 |
| knowledge | | |
| High level of knowledge | 06 | 82 |

Table 1:- Overall knowledge of package of practices of gram cropN=100

Data depicted in table 1 indicated that 78.00 per cent of the farmers had low level of knowledge which was increased (82.00 per cent) after contact with KVK.

Table 2:- Knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for gram crop

N=100

| Sr.No. | Selected scientific innovation | Low | Medium | High |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----|--------|------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 08 | 05 | 87 |
| 2 | Land configuration | 06 | 13 | 81 |
| 3 | Seed rate | 14 | 08 | 78 |
| 4 | Bio fertilizer | 19 | 06 | 75 |
| 5 | Weeding | 17 | 12 | 71 |
| 6 | Integrated Nutrient management | 07 | 10 | 83 |

Data show in the table 2 indicated that 87.00 per cent of the farmers had knowledge about new high yielding varieties followed by Integrated Nutrient management (83.00 per cent), Land configuration (81.00 per cent) and bio fertilizer (75.00 per cent).

Table 3:- Overall adoption of scientific cultivation of gram (percentage)N=100

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | | (%) |

| Low level of adoption | 76 | 04 |
|------------------------|----|----|
| Medium level of | 18 | 12 |
| adoption | | |
| High level of adoption | 06 | 84 |

Data presented in table-3 indicated that 76.00 per cent of the farmers had low level of adoption which was increased after contact with KVK (84.00 per cent).

| Table 4:- Adoption of critical gram production technology (%). | |). N= 100 |
|--|--------------------|--------------|
| Sr. No. | Name of technology | Adoption (%) |

| Sr. No. | Name of technology | Adoption (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 89 |
| 2 | Land configuration | 85 |
| 3 | Seed rate | 82 |
| 4 | Bio fertilizer | 78 |
| 5 | Weeding | 72 |
| 6 | Integrated Nutrient management | 76 |

The data show in the table 4 indicated that 89.00 per cent of the farmers had new high yielding varieties which were followed by Land configuration (85.00 per cent), Seed rate (82.00 per cent) and Bio fertilizer (78.00 per cent).

From the above discussion, it could be said that overall knowledge level and adoption level of the tribal farmers about package of practices of gram had increased up to 82.00 per cent and 84.00 per cent, respectively after imparting training by KVK, Tapi.

4.3.5 Impact of training regarding package of practices of pigeon pea crop

Pigeon pea is the main pulse crop in South Gujarat. Tribal belt is preferring pigeon pea as a main leguminous food in their daily diet. Farmers grow very old variety and lack knowledge about improved variety, and scientific cultivation of pigeon pea. So they get very low production. KVK conducted 9 on campus and 12 off campus trainings, total number of beneficiaries of FLD is 73 covering 7 villages of Tapi district and other extension activities during last three year. So impact study results are present here.

| Table 1:- Overall knowledge of package of practices of pigeon pea crop | N=100 |
|--|-------|
|--|-------|

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK (%) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Low level of knowledge | 74 | 06 |
| Medium level of knowledge | 21 | 07 |
| High level of knowledge | 05 | 87 |

Data depicted in table 1 indicated that 74.00 per cent of the farmers had low level of knowledge which was increased (87.00 per cent) after contact with KVK.

Table 2:- Knowledge regarding selected scientific innovations for pigeon pea crop

N=100

-

| Sr.No. | Selected scientific innovation | Low | Medium | High |
|--------|--------------------------------|-----|--------|------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 06 | 12 | 82 |
| 2 | Land configuration | 07 | 07 | 86 |

| 3 | Seed rate | 04 | 08 | 88 |
|---|--------------------------------|----|----|----|
| 4 | Bio fertilizer | 18 | 06 | 76 |
| 5 | Weeding | 11 | 11 | 78 |
| 6 | Integrated Nutrient management | 10 | 06 | 84 |

Data show in the table 2 indicated that 88.00 per cent of the farmers had knowledge about seed rat followed by, Land configuration (86.00 per cent), INM (84.00 per cent) and bio fertilizer (76.00 per cent).

Table 3:- Overall adoption of scientific cultivation of pigeon pea (percentage)

N=100

| Category | Before contact with KVK (%) | After contact with KVK (%) |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Low level of adoption | 65 | 05 |
| Medium level of adoption | 16 | 08 |
| High level of adoption | 09 | 87 |

Data presented in table-3 indicated that 65.00 per cent of the farmers had low level of adoption which was increased after contact with KVK (87.00 per cent).

| Table 4:- Adoption of critical pigeon pea production technology (%). | N= 100 |
|--|--------|
|--|--------|

| Sr. No. | Name of technology | Adoption (%) |
|---------|--------------------------------|--------------|
| 1 | New high yielding varieties | 88 |
| 2 | Land configuration | 90 |
| 3 | Seed rate | 84 |
| 4 | Bio fertilizer | 78 |
| 5 | Weeding | 75 |
| 6 | Integrated Nutrient management | 84 |

The data show in the table 4 indicated that 90.00 per cent of the farmers had land configuration which was followed by new high yielding varieties (88.00 per cent), Seed rate (84.00 per cent) and INM (84.00 per cent).

From the above discussion, it could be said that majority of the respondents had high level of knowledge and adopted all the pigeon pea production technology. These may be due to the proper guidance given by the KVK scientists, demonstration and constant follow up by KVK missionary.

Based on this study we can suggest our other extension workers as well as to the policy makers to take a keen interest in the matter and do needful for great publicity of such technologies in their respective areas of working for successful journey towards next phase of Green Revolution on sustainable basis. This thing looks like diminutive but its impact is of great magnitude.

4.3.6 Impact of training regarding *IPM components on Cucurbitaceous* vegetables

Cucurbitaceous vegetables *viz.*, bitter gourd, small gourds, cucumber etc. are infested by two species of fruit flies i.e. melon fruit fly, *Bactocera cucurbitae* (Coquilleti) and the Ethiopian fruit fly, *Dacus ciliatus* (Loew), which limits the economic returns to the farmers by their damage to the final product *i.e.,* fruits. The female fly insert its eggs

in soft tender fruit tissue by piercing fruits with the ovipositor, as a result, a watery fluid oozes from the punctures which on hardening become resinous brown. The maggots emerged from the eggs, start feeding on pulp of the fruit. The secondary infection by microorganisms from site of egg laying cause rotting of the fruits rendered them unfit for the consumption. This reduces the market value of the produce. The infested fruits become distorted and drop. The mature maggots jumped out of the fruits and pupate inside the soil. The extent of loss reported to be varied from 30 to 100 per cent depending upon cucurbits species and the season.

As the maggot being an internal feeder, it is rather difficult to control the maggot. The only option is to manage the adult fruit flies and that too before they mat and female deposit eggs. The chemicals means i.e. using insecticides for managing fruit flies is no longer effective.

The melon fruit fly, *B. cucurbitae* can be effectively managed by Male Annihilation Technique by attracting large numbers of males through "Cue Lure", a pheromone of *B. cucurbitae*. But, the other species of fruit fly *i.e.*, *D. ciliatus* cannot be managed by Male Annihilation Technique as no pheromones/ Para pheromones are available. To manage this species, application of insecticides with baiting technique is useful. Therefore, to manage both the species integrate approach using field sanitation, large scale destruction of males by Male Annihilation Technique and application of insecticidal baits is effective. Recently, Navsari Agricultural University has developed a specialized **NAUROJI trap** using cue lure. In this trap a ply wood blocks of size 5cm x 5 cm x 1 cm impregnate with cue lure are used.

To popularize the integrated management technique as well as the trap developed by the university, front line demonstrations were given to the farmers of Khadaka chikhali village of Vyara taluka, Dist. Tapi during the year 2007-08 & 2008-09.

The village Khadka Chikhali is situated in Vyara block of Tapi district. It is situated 2 km away from Vyara town, the district place of Tapi and Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Vyara head quarter. Khadka Chikhali is a tribal dominated village with marginal farmers with limited land holdings.

Even though, the village is situated in vicinity of Vyara town; no extension agency was catering the need of the farmers. They have to rely on local pesticide dealer for their technical needs.

The main crops of the village are paddy, groundnut, sugarcane and vegetable. Being an advantage of having in vicinity of the town, the farmers with the limited land holdings grow vegetables and sell it to local market. Among different vegetable crops, the farmers mostly depend on cucurbitaceous vegetables like bitter gourd, little gourd and cucumber. In cucurbits, the menace of fruit fly is one of the major constraints in the area. The farmers were unable to manage the fruit flies with chemical pesticides.

In the year 2008, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Vyara has decided to demonstrate the technology for integrated management of Fruit fly in the village. The entry point visit

was made by the scientists of the KVK, Vyara. Discussing with farmers, it was found that infestation of fruit flies is major limiting factor in production of cucurbitaceous vegetables. Considering the situation and dialogue with the farmers, plant protection specialist suggested implementation of integrated fruit fly management in cucurbitaceous vegetables and training as well as the demonstration was the need of the village. The interested farmers were given training with special emphasis on fruit fly species, their life cycle, nature of damage, and management strategies through power point presentations. During both the years, 20 farmers each with 0.2 ha land were given demonstrations under Bitter gourd crop. Among different farmers, **Kaushikbhai** acted as resource person for the village.

The detailed components of IPM *i.e.*

- **1.** Regular collection of damaged and fallen fruits and destruction with deep burying or by burning.
- 2. Installation of "Cue Lure" NAUROJI traps @ 10 per hectare.
- **3.** Application of bait using fermented water with jaggery and insecticide endosulfan applied as large droplets with broom are demonstrated, constant follow up visits were made and field days were organized.

| S. | Particulars | Year | Treated | Untreated | % increase/ |
|----|----------------|---------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Ν. | | | | | reduction |
| 1. | Per cent | 2007-08 | 4.8 | 18.75 | 87.00 |
| | infestation | | (3-6%) | (12-40%) | |
| | | 2008-09 | 2.95 | 23.55 | 74.40 |
| | | | (0-6%) | (10-40%) | |
| | | Average | 3.879 | 21.15 | 80.70 |
| 2. | Reduce in | 2007-08 | 1 | 5 | 80 |
| | number of | 2008-09 | 1 | 5 | 80 |
| | sprays | Average | 1 | 5 | 80 |
| 3. | Yield t/ha | 2007-08 | 10.54 | 9.62 | 9.56 |
| | | 2008-09 | 10.19 | 8.31 | 22.12 |
| | | Average | 10.365 | 8.965 | 15.84 |
| 4. | Income of the | 2007-08 | 94860 | 86580 | 8280 |
| | farmer Rs./ha. | 2008-09 | 101900 | 83100 | 18800 |
| | | Average | 98380 | 84840 | 13540 |
| 5. | Expenditure | 2007-08 | 1050 | 2500 | 1450 |
| | /ha. | 2008-09 | 1050 | 2500 | 1450 |
| | | Average | 1050 | 2500 | 1450 |
| 6. | Net income of | 2007-08 | 93810 | 84080 | 9730 |
| | farmers | 2008-09 | 100850 | 80600 | 20250 |
| | | Average | 97330 | 82340 | 14990 |

Table 1: Crop parameters from which impact gain measured

Table: 2. Extension activities carried out in the village Khadka Chikhali.

| S. N. | Name of activity | No. | Beneficiaries |
|-------|---------------------|-----|---------------|
| 1 | Training :On campus | One | 20 |
| | : Off campus | Two | 37 |

| 2. | Visits to farmers | Eleven | 97 |
|----|----------------------------|--------|----|
| 3. | Field day cum impact study | One | 20 |

Table: 3 Knowledge of fruit fly control in farmers of the village.

| S. N. | Particulars | Before FLD | After FLD |
|-------|--|------------|-----------|
| 1 | Knowledge about insect pests of crop | Low | High |
| 2. | Knowledge about fruit fly and its damage | Low | High |
| 3. | Knowledge about fruit fly trap | Nil | High |
| 4. | Knowledge about integrated management of fruit fly | Nil | High |

5.0 LINKAGES

5.1 Functional linkage with different organizations

| Sr. No. | Name of Organization | Nature of Linkage |
|------------|--|---|
| 1 | Dept. of Agriculture | Participation Khedut Shibir Soil Health Card & In-service Training Extension Activities, RKVY, SRI techniques, Krishi Mela, krishi mahotsav etc. |
| 2 | Dept. of Horticulture | Participation Khedut Shibir Extension Activities, NHB & NHM Krishi Mela, krishi mahotsav etc. |
| 3 | ΑΤΜΑ | Participation Khedut Shibir/Mahila Shibir Extension Activities Training programmes Krishi Mela, krishi mahotsav etc. |
| 4 | Main Rice Res. Station, AAU, Nawagam | Collaboration-FLD on paddy |
| 5 | Main Cotton Res. Station, NAU, Surat | Collaboration-FLD on cotton IPM Mission in Nizar block |
| 6 | Main Water Management Research Unit, NAU, Navsari | Collaboration-FLD on soil & water management, Greenhouse |
| 7 | Research Stations, NAU | Participation-Farmers day, Seed-FLDs, etc. |
| 8 | FTC, Vyara | Joint implementation- Farmers visit and expert lectures, Farmer's Fair, Krishi Mela, krishi mahotsav etc. |
| 9 | Govt. of Gujarat | Collaboration – Krishi Mahotsav, ATMA, RKVY, NFSCM, etc. |
| 10 | State Bank of India/Bank of Baroda | SHG work, SAC Meet. |
| 11 | Catholic Charch, Mandal | TOT, Seed village, Kitchen Garden, Vermicompost [52 Villages Network] |
| 12 | Integrated Child Development Sevices (ICDS) | Inservice training for Anganwadi workers and SHG activities, Nutritional FLDs etc |
| 13 | NGOs | Training, Demonstration, Extension Activities, FLDs, OFTs etc. |

| 14 | Department of Animal | Animal Husbandry camps, shibirs, Exhibitions, |
|----|-----------------------------|---|
| | Husbandry | Dairy related activities. |
| 15 | College of veterinary, NAU, | Animal Husbandry camp, Surgical camps, |
| | Navsari | Pashupalan shibirs, Krishi Mela etc |
| 16 | SUMUL | Animal Husbandry related activities |

5.2 List special programmes undertaken by the KVK, which have been financed by State Govt./Other Agencies

| Name of the scheme | Date/ Month of initiation | Funding agency | Amount (Rs.) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. Plant Health Clinic | March 08 | National Horticulture Mission | 15.50 lakhs |
| 2. RKVY | Nov.'08 | Govt. of Gujarat | 109.71 lakhs (yr:2010-11) |
| 3. Soil Health Card | Oct.'09 | Govt. of Gujarat | 4.50 lakhs |
| 4. Mega seed project | Dec.'10 | Govt. of Gujarat | 2.32 lakhs |

5.3 Details of linkage with ATMA

a) Is ATMA implemented in your district Yes / No

| | , | | |
|------------|---|-------------------|---------|
| Sr. No. | Programme | Nature of linkage | Remarks |
| 1 | Participation in Khedut Shibir/Mahila Shibir Extension Activities, FLDs, OFTS, FFS, Impact assessment of ATMA Activities AMC, AGB etc Training programmes | Technical Support | |

* All technical support is given by KVK to ATMA

5.4 Give details of programmes implemented under National Horticultural Mission: - --NIL—

| Name of the scheme | Date/ Month of initiation | Funding agency | Amount (Rs.) |
|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. Plant Health Clinic | March 08 | National Horticulture Mission | 15.50 lakhs |

5.5 Nature of linkage with National Fisheries Development Board :-

KVK gives feedback to this department for FLDs, demonstrations, trainings

6. PERFORMANCE OF INFRASTRUCTURE IN KVK

6.1 **Performance of demonstration units (other than instructional farm)**

| A 11 | Year of | | Details of production | | | Amount (Rs.) | | | |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------|---------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|
| Sr. No. | Demo Unit | estt. | Area | Variety | Produce | Qty. | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks |
| 1 | Small scale Nursery | 2010 | 4 Gunthas | | | | 3.00 lakh(RKVY) | | |
| 2 | Low cost green House | 2010 | 1.00 Guntha | | | | 27000/- (RKVY) | | |
| 3. | Wadi Model | 2010 | 1.00 ha | | | | | | |

6.2 Performance of instructional farm (Crops) including seed production

| Name | Date of sowing | | a | Details of production | | | Amount (Rs.) | | |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Of the crop | | Date of harvest | Area (ha) | Variety | Type of Produce | Qty. | Cost of inputs | Gross income | Remarks |
| Cereals | | | | | | | | | |
| Rice | 1/7/10 to 12/7/10 | 18/11/10 to 29/11/10 | 1.87 | Jaya | Certified | 78.85 | 13230 | 27075 | |
| | 3/7/10 to 16/7/10 | 18/11/10 to 29/11/10 | 2.30 | Gurjari | Certified | 57.80 | 13230 | 23750 | |
| | 13/7/10 to 29/7/10 | 10/11/10 to 18/11/10 | 1.76 | IR-28 | Certified | 53.20 | 11230 | *** | |

*** Paddy seed would be sold in June-2011, at present in Store.

- 6.3 Performance of production Units (bio-agents / bio pesticides/ bio fertilizers etc.,) :- -- NIL--
- 6.4 Performance of instructional farm (livestock and fisheries production) :- -- NIL--
- 6.5 Rainwater Harvesting: --NIL--
- 6.6 Utilization of hostel facilities: --NIL--

7. FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

7.1 Details of KVK Bank accounts

| Bank account | Name of the bank | Location | Account Number |
|---------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------|
| With Host Institute | State Bank of India | Navsari | 2704-1 |
| With KVK | State Bank of India | Vyara | 10716339605 |

7.2 Utilization of funds under FLD on Oilseed (*Rs. In Lakhs*) No Fund is released by council.

- 7.3 Utilization of funds under FLD on Pulses (*Rs. In Lakhs*) No Fund is released by council.
- 7.4 Utilization of funds under FLD on Cotton (*Rs. in thousand*) No Fund is released by council.

| Sr. No. | Particulars | Sanctioned | Released | Expenditure |
|------------|---|------------|----------|-------------|
| | curring Contingencies | II | | |
| 1 | Pay & Allowances | 42.79 | 42.79 | 4562132 |
| 2 | Traveling allowances | 1.00 | 1.00 | 59116 |
| 3 | Contingencies | 7.00 | 7.00 | 565674 |
| а | Stationery, telephone, postage and other expenditure on office running, publication of Newsletter and library maintenance (Purchase of News Paper & Magazines) | 1.50 | 1.5 | 149930 |
| b | POL, repair of vehicles, tractor and equipments | 0.90 | 0.90 | 89888 |
| С | Meals/refreshment for trainees (ceiling upto Rs.40/day/trainee be maintained) | 0.85 | 0.85 | 77107 |
| d | Training material (posters, charts, demonstration material including chemicals etc. required for conducting the training) | 0.80 | 0.80 | 71767 |
| е | Frontline demonstration except oilseeds and pulses (minimum of 30 demonstration in a year) | 1.90 | 1.90 | 111131 |
| f | On farm testing (on need based, location specific and newly generated information in the major production systems of the area) | 0.65 | 0.65 | 44696 |
| g | Training of extension functionaries | 0.40 | 0.40 | 21155 |
| h | Maintenance of buildings | | | |
| | TOTAL (A) | 50.79 | 50.79 | 5186922 |
| B. No | on-Recurring Contingencies | | | |
| 1 | Equipments and Furniture | | | |
| a) | Computer with accessories | 0.50 | 0.50 | 49420 |
| b) | LCD projector | 1.00 | 1.00 | 128783 |
| c) | PA system | 0.30 | 0.30 | - |
| d) | Replacement of furniture | 2.00 | 2.00 | 198295 |
| e) | EPBAX | 0.50 | 0.50 | 49219 |
| f) | Power tillar | 1.50 | 1.50 | 149430 |
| g) | Multicrop thresher | 0.50 | 0.50 | 49100 |
| 9) h) | • | 0.25 | 0.25 | 24850 |
| i) | Power sprayer | 0.25 | 0.25 | 24050 |
| j) | Winnower | 0.30 | 0.20 | 28880 |
|)) 2 | Seed cum fertilizer drill | 0.50 | 0.00 | 20000 |
| | Works | 36.39 | 36.39 | 76.04 |
| a) b) | Adm. Building (02 nd & Final Instt.) | | | 70.04 |
| b) | Farmer's Hostel (02 nd & Final Instt.) | 20.38 | 20.38 | 4 |
| c) | Staff Quarter (02 nd & Final Instt.) | 26.37 | 26.37 | 0501 |
| 3 | Library (Purchase of assets like books & journals) | 0.10 | 0.10 | 9504 |
| 4 | Vehicle (Motorcycle) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 48816 |
| | TOTAL (B) | 90.84 | 90.84 | 8364447 |
| C. RE | | | | |
| | GRAND TOTAL (A+B+C) | 141.63 | 141.63 | 13551369 |

7.5 Utilization of KVK funds Year: 2010-11

7.5 Status of revolving fund (Rs. in lakhs) for the three years

| Year | Opening balance as on 1 st April | Income during the year | Expenditure during the year | Net balance in hand as on 1 st April of each year |
|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| April 2008 to March 2009 | 15955 | 251000 | 191914 | 75041 |
| April 2009 to March 2010 | 75041 | 264491 | 229035 | 110497 |
| April 2010 to March 2011 | 110497 | 992494 | 614740 | 377754 |

8.0 <u>Please include information which has not been reflected above (write in detail).</u>

8.1 Constraints

(a) Administrative

1. The post of Programme Assistant(1), Office superintendent cum Accountant(1) & Supporting staffs (2), Driver(1) are vacant.

(b) Financial

- 1. Provision should be made for educational tour for farmers.Seperate fund for technology week celebration, Extension activities,Fencing and Security staff
- 2. Lack of tribal allowance for KVK Staff.
- 3. Lack of Pakka roads, drainage channels and electrification in campus.

(c) Technical

- 1. Lack of facility of Farm Godown, Fencing and Threshing floor and Impliment shed
- 2. Lack of facility of Minibus.
- 3. Lack of e connectivity.

Summary of Annual Progress of KVK 2010-11

STAFF POSITION

| кук | PC | | SMS | | S | PA | | Α | ADMN | | | AX | | SUPP | | TOTAL | | | | | |
|----------------------|----|---|-----|---|---|----|--------|-----|------|----|-----|-----|---|------|---|-------|---|---|----|----|---|
| NVN | S | F | ۷ | S | F | V | S | F | V | S | F | V | S | F | ۷ | S | F | V | S | F | ۷ |
| Vyara, Dist. Tapi | 1 | 1 | - | 6 | 6 | - | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | 2 | - | 2 | 16 | 12 | 4 |
| S- Sanctioned | | | | | | F۰ | · Fill | led | | V- | Vac | ant | | | | | | | | | |

REVOLVING FUND

| кук | Opening Balance on 1.4.10 (Rs.) | Revenue Generated (Rs.) | Closing Balance on 31.3.11 (Rs.) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Vyara, Dist. Tapi | 110497 | 992494 | 377754 |

SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

| кук | No. of meetings conducted | Date of meeting |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Vyara, Dist. Tapi | 1 | 17/08/2010 |

ACTIVITIES OF KVK

TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT AND REFINEMENT

Details of technologies assessed and refined

Technologies assessed**

| Sr. No. | Enterprise | Crop/Anima I/ Species | Name of the technology** | Thematic Area |
|------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1 | Commercial crops | Cotton | IPM | IPM |
| 2 | Cereals | Paddy | SRI | SRI |
| 3 | Pulses | Pigeon pea | ICM | Land configuration |
| 4 | Animal Husbandry | Cow | Urea Treatment of Paddy strow and mineral mixture feeding | Nutrition Management |

Technologies refined**

| Sr. No. | Category | Crop/ Enterprise | Name of the technology** | Thematic Area |
|------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | Vegetables | Okra | ICM (Time of sowing) | Paddy – Okra base cropping system |

Abstract of the number of technologies assessed* in respect of crops/enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cereals | Oilseeds | Pulses | Commercial Crops | Vegetables | Fruits | Flower | Plantation crops | Tuber Crops | TOTAL |
|-------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|---------------------|------------|--------|--------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Integrated Crop Management | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 3 |
| TOTAL | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | | | | | 3 |

Abstract of the number of technologies assessed in respect of livestock / enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cattle | Poultry | Sheep | Goat | Piggery | Rabbitary | Fisheries | TOTAL |
|----------------------|--------|---------|-------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| Nutrition Management | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| TOTAL | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |

Abstract of the number of technologies refined* in respect of crops/enterprises

| Thematic areas | Cereals | Oilseeds | Pulses | Commercial Crops | Vegetables | Fruits | Flower | Plantation crops | Tuber Crops | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|--------|---------------------|------------|--------|--------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Integrated Crop Management | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |
| TOTAL | | | | | 1 | | | | | 1 |

Abstract on the number of technologies assessed in respect of livestock/enterprises :- -- NIL --

Abstract on the number of technologies refined in respect of livestock/ enterprises :- -- NIL --

PERFORMANCE OF IMPORTANT TECHNOLOGIES

Trial 1

- 1. Title
- 2. Problem diagnose/defined
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- : Low yield of paddy
- : Use of higher and over age seedlings for transplanting
- : T1. Randomly transplanting of paddy Farmer practices
 - T2. Line method of transplanting (20 X 15 cm)
 - T3. System of Rice Intensification method (25 X 25 cm)
- : Kharif 2010
- : Paddy Sugarcane cropping system
- : System of Rice Intensification (SRI)
- : The SRI technology of paddy required less seed rate and gave more number of tillers, filled grain and increased seed yield than traditional method.
- : SRI technology is better than traditional method of transplanting paddy.
- : Time consuming
- : Appreciate the technology and ready to adopt.

- 4. Source of technology
- 5. Production system thematic area
- 6. Thematic area
- 7. Performance of the Technology with performance indicators
- 8. Final recommendation for micro level situation
- 9. Constraints identified and feedback for research
- 10. Process of farmers participation and their reaction

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| | | | | | | | Da | ata on the | paramet | er | Results | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|---|-----------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|---|--|
| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology refined | Para- meters | No. of Tillers/ hill | No. of filled grains/ panicle | Panicle length (cm) | Yield (q/ha) | of refinem -ent | Feedback from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 5 | 3 | | 9 | 10 |
| Paddy | Irrigated | Use of higher and over age seedlings for transplanti- | Low yield of paddy | 5 | T1.Randomly transplanti ng of paddy - Farmer practices | | 9 | 95 | 20.5 | 52.00 | T3. SRI method (25x 25) gave | In SRI technology of paddy cultivation used less seed rate and gave higher tillering, |
| | | ng | | | T2. Line method of transplanti ng (20 X 15 cm) | | 14 | 118 | 24 | 58.75 | higher yield | higher no. of filled grains & seed yield. farmers are very much interested |
| | | | | | T3. System of Rice Intensifica tion method (25 X 25 cm) | | 21 | 145 | 27.2 | 69.75 | | because maintain soil health, maximum water use efficiency, less water required in this technology. |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Refined | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Randomly transplanting of paddy -Farmer practices | 52.00 | 39700 | 1 : 3.23 |
| Line method of transplanting (20 X 15 cm) | 58.75 | 46950 | 1:3.98 |
| System of Rice Intensification method (25 X 25 cm) | 69.75 | 58200 | 1 : 5.04 |

Trial 2

- 1. Title
- 2. Problem diagnose/defined
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- : Low productivity in cotton
- : High dose of agro chemicals and imbalance use of nitrogenous fertilizers
 - T1 No seed treatment and 6-7 application of imidacloprid 70% WS
 @ 15 ml in 10 ltr of water
 - T2- Seed treatment with imidacloprid
 70% WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed + two
 foliar application of thiomethoxam @
 3 gm/10 ltr. at ET level
 - T3- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70% WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed, raising maize or jowar as border crop, castor as a trap crop, chrysopa release and two foliar applications of thiomethoxam 5 gm in 10 ltr. of water, use of 1500 ppm neem ban
- : Kharif 2010
- : NAU

:

- Production system thematic area
- 7. Thematic area

Season

4.

5.

6.

- 8. Performance of the Technology with performance indicators
- 9. Final recommendation for micro level situation
- 10. Constraints identified and feedback for research

Source of technology

11. Process of farmers participation and their reaction

- : --
- : IPM
- : Refined technology gave higher BC ratio (1:6.08)
- : Use of IPM for better control of pest of cotton
- : --
- : Appreciate the technology and ready to adopt

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| Crop/ | | | | No. | | | Data on th | ne paramet | ter | Results | Feedback |
|----------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---|
| enterpris e | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | of trials * | Technology Assessed | No. of aphid s/ leaf | No. of jassids/ leaf | No. of white fly/ plant | No. of Mealybu g/ plant | of assess- ment | from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | | 8 | 9 | |
| Cotton | Irrigated | High dose of agro chemicals and | Low productiv ity in cotton | 5 | T1 – No seed treatment and 6-7 application of imidacloprid 70% WS @ 15 ml in 10 ltr of water | 10 | 11 | 75 | 35 | | IPM gave good control of insects |
| | | imbalance use of nitrogenous fertilizers | COLION | | T2- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70% WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed + two foliar application of thiomethoxam @ 3 gm/10 ltr. at ET level | 8 | 10 | 65 | 30 | | on cotton |
| | | | | | T3- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 % WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed, raising maize or jowar as border crop, castor as a trap crop, chrysopa release and two foliar applications of thiomethoxam 5 gm in 10 ltr. of water, use of 1500 ppm neem ban | 6 | 8 | 55 | 20 | | |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Assessed | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| T1 – No seed treatment and 6-7 application of imidacloprid 70% WS @ 15 ml in 10 ltr of water | 22.14 | 57564 | 1:3.08 |
| T2- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70% WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed + two foliar application of thiomethoxam @ 3 gm/10 ltr. at ET level | 25.35 | 67572 | 1:3.92 |
| T3- Seed treatment with imidacloprid 70 % WS @ 7.5 gm/kg seed, raising maize or jowar as border crop, castor as a trap crop, chrysopa release and two foliar applications of thiomethoxam 5 gm in 10 ltr. of water, use of 1500 ppm neem ban | 29.20 | 87600 | 1:6.08 |

Trial 3

- 1. Title
- 2. Problem diagnose/defined
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- 4. Season
- 5. Source of technology
- 6. Production system thematic area
- 7. Thematic area
- 8. Performance of the Technology with performance indicators

- 9. Final recommendation for micro level situation
- 10. Constraints identified and feedback for research
- 11. Process of farmers participation and their reaction

- : Low plant stand in Tur (Land configuration in Pigeon pea)
- : Low yield, High rainfall, Poor plant population
- : T1 Flat bed sowing (Farmers practices)
 - T2 Sowing on raised bed / broad bed furrow
 - T3 Ridge and furrow
- : Kharif 2009
- : Research scientist, Pulse crop, NAU, Navsari
- : Drill Paddy + pigeon pea cropping system
- : Land configuration (ICM)
- : The refined technology ridges and furrow sowing of pigeon pea had more no. of branches per plant (14.70/plant) and no. of pods per plant (586.26/plant) at harvest and higher yield (1415 kg/ha) as compared to other treatment of land configuration.
- : Ridges and furrow system found better for higher pigeon pea yield.
- : Developed resistant variety for Tur against pod fly.
- : Appreciate the technology and ready to adopt ridge and furrow system

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| | | | | | | | Da | ata on the | parameter | | Results | |
|---------------------|-------------------|--|---|----------------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology refined | Parameters | No. of branches/ plants | No. of pods / plants | Seed wt./plant (dry) gm | seed yield / ha (kg/ha) | of refinem- ent | Feedback from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 | | | 9 | 10 |
| Pigeon pea | Irrigated | Low yield, high rain fall, poor plant | Land configuration in pigeon pea | 5 | T1. Flat bed sowing - Farmer practices | | 9.8 | 511.37 | 28.10 | 1024 | Ridges & furrow method | It is difficult to prepare raised bed so adoption |
| | | population | | | T2. Raised bed | | 13.40 | 534.67 | 29.70 | 1120 | of sowing | of ridges & furrow is |
| | | | | | T3. Ridges & furrow | | 14.70 | 586.26 | 36.80 | 1415 | gave good yield | better |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Refined | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| Flat bed sowing | 1024 | 25600 | 3.14 |
| Raised Bed | 1120 | 28000 | 3.44 |
| Ridge & furrow | 1415 | 35375 | 4.34 |

Trial 4

- 1. Title
- 2. Problem diagnose/defined
- 3. Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement
- 4. Source of technology
- 5. Production system thematic area
- 6. Thematic area
- 7. Performance of the Technology with performance indicators
- 8. Final recommendation for micro level situation
- 9. Constraints identified and feedback for research
- 10. Process of farmers participation and their reaction

- : Refinement of Sowing time in okra
- : Low yield, growing during off season (rabi)
- : T1. Date of sowing 15th November (Farmers practices)
 - T2. Date of sowing 15th October
 - T3. Date of sowing 30th October
- : Main Vegetable Research Station, Anand
- : Paddy Okra base cropping system, Time of Sowing
- : Integrated crop management
- : -
- : Farmers of Tapi district should grow okra in month of 15th October. It is the best time for higher yield
- : Research on fertilizer management & spacing in hybrid okra.
- : Farmers appreciate the technology & ready to adopt.

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| | | | | | | | Data on | the para | meter | | Results | |
|---------------------|-------------------|---|---|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | No. of branches/ main stem | No. nodules / main stem | No. of fruit / plant | Yield / plant (gm) | Yield / kg/ha | of assess- ment | Feedback from the farmer |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | | | 7 | | | 8 | 9 |
| Okra | Irrigated | Low yield growing during rabi season | Refinement of sowing time in okra | 6 | T1. Date of sowing at 15 th Nov. (Farmers practices) | 0.23 2.06 | 8.03 18.0 | 8.5 22.2 | 84.5 221.7 | 9388 24632 | 15 th Oct. sowing of okra gave higher | Selection of early maturing variety for 15 th October |
| | | | | | T2. Date of sowing at 15 th Oct. | 2.06 | 10.0 | 22.2 | 221.7 | 24032 | yield | okra sowing |
| | | | | | T3. Date of sowing at 30 th Oct. | 0.9 | 12.87 | 14.6 | 145.9 | 16210 | | which got better income |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Assessed | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 |
| 1. Date of sowing at 15 th October | 24.632 | 247750 | 5.1 |
| 2. Date of sowing at 15 th November (Farmers practices) | 9.388 | 60418 | 2.06 |

*Field crops – kg/ha, * for horticultural crops -= kg/t/ha, * milk and meat – litres or kg/animal, * for mushroom and vermi compost kg/unit area.

** Give details of the technology assessed or refined and farmer's practice

Trial 5

| 1. | Title | | Low milk production of Cow |
|-----|---|---|--|
| 2. | Problem diagnose/defined | : | Lack of knowledge about urea treatment. Poor management of Dairy animal (breeding, feeding and management) Poor knowledge of health & hygiene. |
| 3. | Details of technologies selected for assessment /refinement | | T1. Farmers practice (Paddy straw without urea treatment) T2. Paddy straw with urea treatment (6-8 kg daily) T3. Paddy straw with urea treatment + Mineral mixture (35 gm mineral mixture feeding daily) |
| 4. | Source of technology | : | Text book of Animal Husbandary- G.C.Benerji |
| 5. | Production system thematic area | : | - |
| 6. | Thematic area | | Feed Management |
| 7. | Performance of the | : | |
| | Technology with performance indicators | | |
| 8. | Final recommendation for micro level situation | : | Paddy straw treated with 4% urea and 35 gm mineral mixture feeding daily gaves higher milk production. |
| 9. | Constraints identified and feedback for research | : | |
| 10. | Process of farmers | : | Farmers appreciate the technology & ready |
| | participation and their reaction | | to adopt. |

11). Results of On Farm Trials

| Crop/ enterprise | Farming situation | Problem Diagnosed | Title of OFT | No. of trials* | Technology Assessed | Parameters | Data on th Milk production (kg/day) | e parameter Service Period (days) | Results of assess-ment | Feedback from the farmer |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | | 9 | 10 |
| Animal Science | Low milk production in HF Cow | Low Milk Production Lack of knowledge about urea treatment. Poor manage- ment. Poor | Low milk production in HF Cow | 5 | T1. (Farmers practices) Paddy straw without urea treatment T2. Paddy straw with urea treatment (6- 8 kg daily) | Milk production and service period | 5.9 6.7 | 148 148 | Paddy straw with urea treatment + Mineral mixture (35 gm mineral mixture feeding daily) | Increase milk production after urea treated paddy straw and mineral mixture |
| | | knowledge of health & hygiene. 5. Lack of knowledge about feeding manageme nt. | | 5 | T3. Paddy straw with urea treatment + Mineral mixtur e (35 gm mineral mixture feeding daily) | | 7.2 | 126 | | feeding |

* No. of farmers

| Technology Assessed | *Production per unit | Net Return (Profit) in Rs. / unit | BC Ratio |
|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |
| T1 - Farmers practice (Paddy straw without urea treatment) | 5.9 | 15 | 1:1.16 |
| T2 - Paddy straw with urea treatment | 6.7 | 29.2 | 1:1.30 |
| T3- Paddy straw with urea treatment + Mineral mixture (35 gm daily) | 7.2 | 32.6 | 1:1.34 |

FRONTLINE DEMONSTRATIONS

| Crop/enterprise | No. of demonstrations | Area (ha) |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|
| Oilseeds | 27 | 10 |
| Pulses | 87 | 18 |
| Cereals | 151 | 47 |
| Vegetable crops | 50 | 12 |
| Fruit crops | 10 | 5.00 |
| Cotton | 10 | 4 |
| Kitchen Gardening | 50 | 0.50 |
| Women drudgery reduction - NAVEEN Sickle for paddy harvesting | 20 | |
| Feeding of POSHAK AAHAR to malnourished tribal children | 10 | |
| Mineral mixture salt block feeding | 80 | |
| Total | 495 | 96.5 |

OILSEEDS

| | Season | | No. of | Area | Perf | 'S* | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|------|-----------------|-------|--------------------|-------|----------------------|-------|---|
| Crop | | Name of | | | Yield (qt./ha.) | | No. of Pod / Plant | | No. of Branch/ Plant | | Result ** |
| U. Op | ocacon | technology | farmers | (ha) | Demon. | Local | Demon. | Local | Demon. | Local | iteeuit |
| | | | | | 2011011 | Check | 201101 | Check | 2011011 | Check | |
| Groundnut | Rabi-09 Summer- 10 | New Variety | 27 | 10 | 28.50 | 23.25 | 24.70 | 19.20 | 8-10 | 5-7 | This technology performed in groundnut gave higher yield than local practices |

PULSES

| | | | | | Perf | S* | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|----------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|---|--|
| Crop | Season | Name of technology | No. of farmers | Area (ha) | Yield (q | t./ha.) | No. of Pod / Plant | | No. of Branch / Plant | | Result ** | |
| | | teennology | Tarmers | | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | | |
| Pigeo n pea | Kharif- 10-11 | New variety/ Land Configuration | 8 | 8 | 14.50 | 10.30 | 625.45 | 450.95 | 12-15 | 58 | Performance of new variety and land configuration in pigeon pea gave higher yield than local variety | |
| Gram | Rabi-09- 10 | Use of Bio fertilizer Land Use Configuration | 5 | 5 | 19 | 11.50 | 61.25 | 40.25 | 6-8 | 3-4 | Performance of new variety, land configuration and use of bio fertilizer in gram gave higher yield than local variety | |
| Gram | Rabi-09- 10 | Integrated Disease management. | 5 | 5 | 17.00 | 11.50 | | | | | | |

CEREALS, HORTICULTURE AND OTHER CROPS

| | | | | | | Perfor | mance of tecl | nnology on di | fferent paramet | ers* | |
|-------|------------------|------------|---------|------|---------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| Crop | Season | Name of | No. of | Area | Yield (| qt./ha.) | Tes | t wt. | No. of produce | ctive tiller/plant | Result ** |
| Сюр | Season | technology | farmers | (ha) | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | Result |
| Paddy | Kharif- 10-11 | INM | 20 | 5 | 59.50 | 47.75 | 31gm/1000 seed | 23gm/1000 seed | 12-15 | 7-9 | Green manuring before T.P. of Paddy maintain soil health and its residual effect on Paddy gave higher grain yield |
| Paddy | Kharif- 10-11 | ICM | 20 | 5 | 15.50 | 12.25 | 28gm/1000 seed | 17gm/1000 seed | 4-6 | 2-4 | Performance of new variety and its yield is better than local variety |
| Paddy | Kharif- 10-11 | ICM | 20 | 5 | 60.50 | 47.75 | 31gm/1000 seed | 23gm/1000 seed | 11-15 | 7-9 | Performance of new variety and its yield is better than local variety |
| Paddy | Kharif- 10-11 | ICM | 21 | 7 | 55.75 | 46.50 | 31gm/1000 seed | 23gm/1000 seed | 11-15 | 7-9 | Performance of new variety and its yield is better than local variety |
| Paddy | Kharif- 10-11 | ICM | 50 | 20 | 61.75 | 47.75 | 31gm/1000 seed | 23gm/1000 seed | 13-17 | 7-9 | This technology of T.P. gave higher yield than local method and save water, seed ecofriendly |

| | | | | ers* | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|------|---------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| Crop | Season | Name of | No. of | Area | Yield (| | Tes | t wt. | No. of produce | ctive tiller/plant | Result ** |
| orop | ocason | technology | farmers | (ha) | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | Nesun |
| Paddy (IPM) | Kharif- 10-11 | IPM | 20 | 5 | 59.50 | 53.00 | 31gm/1000 seed | 23gm/1000 seed | 11-15 | 7-9 | - |
| Okra | Rabi-09- 10 | INM | 2 | 2 | 156.11 | 104.03 | 21 fruit/plant | 12 fruit/plant | 202g. | 103g.fruit/plant | INM in okra gave higher yield and also maintain the quality of okra this technology control on imbalance use of fertilizer |
| Brinjal | Rabi-09- 10 | INM | 2 | 2 | 193.31 | 156.80 | 43.25 fruit/plant | 26.47 fruit/plant | 2.403 fruit/plant | 1.345 fruit/plant | INM gave higher yield and also maintain the quality of brinjal this technology control on imbalance use of fertilizer |
| Okra | Rabi-09- 10 | Integrated pest management. | 3 | 3 | 157.75 | 104.80 | | | | | INM gave higher yield and also maintain the quality of brinjal this technology control on imbalance use of fertilizer |
| Brinjal | Rabi-09- 10 | Integrated pest management. | 3 | 3 | 178.80 | 130.80 | | | | | |

| | | | | | | Perforr | nance of tech | nology on di | ifferent paramete | ers* | |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|------|---------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Crop | Season | Name of | No. of | Area | Yield (| qt./ha.) | Test | t wt. | No. of produc | tive tiller/plant | Result ** |
| Стор | Season | technology | farmers | (ha) | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | Demon. | Local Check | Kesuit |
| Cucurbits | Summer- 09-10 | Integrated pest management. | 2 | 2 | 98.5 | 80.2 | | | | | |
| Mango | Summer- 09-10 | Integrated pest management. | 5 | 5 | | 1.5 % fru | uits were da | maged by f | ruit fly in treate | d plot. Detail b | elow. |

| SI. No. | Treatment | No. of fruitfly collected/ha | Percent fruitfly damage | Est. Yield Kg/ha | Loss Kg/ha | Yield Kg/ha | Treat. cost Kg/ha | Gross income (Rs) | Net Income | Income Over Control | CBR |
|------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 1 | Navroiji Trap @ 10/ha | 18075 | 1.5 | 8000 | 450 | 6975 | 400 | 174375 | 173975 | 17725 | 1:44.31 |
| 2 | Control | | 18.0 | 8000 | 1500 | 6250 | 000 | 156250 | | | |

Estimated Av. Yield of Mango: - 8 t/ha; Cost of harvest : Rs 10/20 kg; Labour charge Rs 100/day; Price of Mango Rs 25/kg

Details of FLD – Discipline - Home Science:

(1) Result of Front Line Demonstration on Kitchen Gardening :

| No. of Fa | arm wo | men: 5 | 0 | | | | Ar | rea: 1 | Gunth | na/dem | о. | | | Season:- Kharif: 2010-11 | | | |
|------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|--------|------|---------|----------|-------------|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------------------------|---------|--------|--------------|
| Name of | No. | | | | | | Crop | yield (ł | (g) | | | | | Total | Average | Gross | return (Rs.) |
| Enterprise | of | Tomato | Ridge | Brinjal | Bottle | Tur | Cluster | Indian | Bitter | Sponge | Chibhadu | Okra | Cucumber | Prod- | rate | Before | After FLD |
| | Demo | | gourd | | gourd | | bean | bean | gourd | gourd | | | | uction | (Rs/kg) | FLD | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kitchen | 50 | 18.792 | 5.968 | 24.132 | 11.464 | 9.98 | 4.092 | 3.612 | 4.086 | 5.804 | 10.142 | 16.886 | 4.716 | 119.674 | 30 | 930=00 | 3590=22, |
| Garden | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | along with |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | domestic |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | consumption |

Critical inputs supplied:- Seeds : Tomato, Ridge gourd, Brinjal, Bottle gourd, Tur, Cluster bean, Indian bean, Bitter gourd, Sponge gourd, Chibhadu, Okra, Cucumber

Farm women Reaction:

| S. No | Feed Back |
|-------|---|
| 1 | Before Demonstration, farm women were growing only two or three vegetable crops in their backyard but after demonstration they are growing different vegetable crops through kitchen gardening in scientific way. |
| 2 | Kitchen gardening gives continuous supply of fresh vegetables at lower cost which gives daily nutritious diet. |
| 3 | In kitchen gardening, farm women are not applying any agrochemicals so they produce organic vegetables. |
| 4 | We are utilized maximum backyard space and waste water. |
| 5 | Income is generated by selling extra vegetables grown in kitchen garden. |
| 6 | Farm women are attracted towards hybrid vegetables. |

(2) Result of Front Line Demonstration on Introduction of improved NAVEEN sickle for paddy harvesting: Thematic area: Women drudgery reduction technology

| Crop | Season & Year | No. of Demonstration | | ty per labour I/h) | Increase in field | Labour ree (man-l | quirement n / ha) | E | conomic | S |
|-------|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| | | | Harvesting by NAVEEN | Harvesting by local sickle | capacity (%) | Demon | Local check | | tion * / day | Saving cost (%) |
| | | | sickle | | | | | Demon | Local check | |
| Paddy | Kharif 2010 | 20 | 0.0075 | 0.0059 | 27.12 | 134 | 170 | 1700 | 2200 | 29.41 |

* Cost of operation is calculated as per Govt. rules.

Technical feedback:

- 1. Improved NAVEEN sickle reduces women drudgery in terms of time, efficiency and physical hazards (finger injuries, hand grip, muscle stress etc.)
- 2. During paddy harvesting, field capacity per farm woman is increased up to 27.12% by using NAVEEN sickle as compared to local sickle.
- 3. NAVEEN sickle saves 26.86% labour and 29.41% cost of operation as compared to local sickle.

Farm women's reaction:

- 1. NAVEEN sickle increases working efficiency in short period of time, i.e. it is cost saving and time saving.
- 2. NAVEEN sickle reduces fatigue, muscle stress, wrist pain and pain in shoulders as compared to local sickle.

(3) Result of Front Line Demonstration on feeding of POSHAK AAHAR to malnourished rural tribal children:

No. of demonstration: 10

Demonstration period: Aug.'10 to Nov.'10 (4 months)

Village: Ghodchit Taluka: Songadh

Critical input supplied: POSHAK AAHAR - Protein rich diet i.e. Mixture of wheat, jowar, rice, soybean and bengalgram dal

(cereals & pulses with 3:1 ratio)

Average Weight gain of tribal children per month:

| Age group | No. of tribal children | Avera | age body w | eight of tri | n (Kg.) | Weight | Increase | Feeding of | |
|-----------|------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| | | Before | | After dem | onstration | | gain | in | POSHAK AAHAR |
| | | demon. | First month | Second month | Third month | Fourth month | (Kg.) | Weight (%) | to children (gm/day/child) |
| 1-3 years | Malnourished 10 | 7.988 | 8.500 | 8.733 | 8.977 | 9.255 | 1.267 | 56.50 | 100 to 150 |
| | Healthy 10 | 9.830 | 9.920 | 10.070 | 10.460 | 10.640 | 0.810 | | |

* Recommended by WHO.

Technical Feedback:

- 1. After feeding of POSHAK AAHAR to malnourished tribal children, the growth and development of children are better and the health and nutritional status are improved.
- 2. POSHAK AAHAR are the low cost protein rich diet and easily available in local market which are compatible for children due to lower economic status.

Mother's reaction on critical inputs:

- 1. POSHAK AAHAR are good in taste therefore children are eating POSHAK one to two times in a day. So that weight of children is increased & ultimately weakness of children is decreased.
- 2. POSHAK AAHAR is cheaper and easily available at home.
- 3. Recipes of POSHAK AAHAR can be prepared as per taste required.

Details of FLD - Animal Science :

Urea treatment to paddy straw

| | Thematic | Name of the | No. of | No. of | Major para | ameters | % change | Other par | rameter | *Econo | mics of de | monstratio | on (Rs.) | *Ec | conomics o | of check (I | Rs.) |
|----------|---|--------------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|------------|------------|----------|-------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Category | area | technology | Farmer | No.of units | Demonst | Check | in major | Demons | Check | Gross | Gross | Net | ** | Gross | Gross | Net | ** |
| | aica | demonstrated | ranner | units | ration | CHECK | parameter | ration | CHECK | Cost | Return | Return | BCR | Cost | Return | Return | BCR |
| Buffalo | uffalo Nutrition management treatmen | | 20 | 20 | Avg. milk yield lit per day | Avg. milk yield lit per day | 14% | | | 95 | 105 | 30 | 1:1.31 | 91 | 107 | 16 | 1:1.17 |
| | management | paddy straw | 20 | 20 | 5.8 (21.55 Rs/lit) | 4.96 (21.55 Rs/lit) | 14% | | | 95 | 125 | 30 | 1.1.31 | 91 | 107 | 16 | 1.1.17 |

Mineral mixture feeding

| | Thematic | Name of the | No. of | No.of | Major para | ameters | % change | Other par | ameter | *Econo | mics of de | emonstratio | on (Rs.) | *Ec | conomics o | of check (I | Rs.) |
|----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|-------|---|--|-----------------------|------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
| Category | area | technology demonstrated | Farmer | units | Demonst ration | Check | in major parameter | Demons ration | Check | Gross Cost | Gross Return | Net Return | ** BCR | Gross Cost | Gross Return | Net Return | ** BCR |
| Buffalo | Nutrition management | Mineral mixture feeding | 50 | 50 | Avg. milk yield lit per day 6.09 (21.00 Rs/lit) | Avg. milk yield lit per day 5.38 (21.00 Rs/lit) | 13% | | | 100 | 128 | 28 | 1:1.28 | 98 | 113 | 15 | 1:1.15 |

Silage feeding

| | Thematic | Name of the | No. of | No.of | Major para | ameters | % change | Other par | rameter | *Econc | mics of de | emonstratio | on (Rs.) | *Ec | conomics o | of check (F | Rs.) |
|----------|-------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|---|--|-----------|-----------|---------|--------|------------|-------------|----------|-------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Category | area | technology | Farmer | units | Demonst | Check | in major | Demons | Check | Gross | Gross | Net | ** | Gross | Gross | Net | ** |
| | arca | demonstrated | ranner | units | ration | Oncor | parameter | ration | Oncor | Cost | Return | Return | BCR | Cost | Return | Return | BCR |
| Buffalo | Nutrition management | Silage feeding | 10 | 10 | Avg. milk yield lit per day 5.85 (21.00 Rs/lit) | Avg. milk yield lit per day 5.00 (21.00 Rs/lit) | 11% | | | 97 | 123 | 26 | 1:1.27 | 90 | 105 | 15 | 1:1.16 |

Farmers Reaction:

| S. No | Feed Back |
|-------|--|
| 1 | Use of mineral mixture increase milk production and decrease chances of anoestrus in buffaloes. |
| 2 | Urea treated paddy straw increase milk production of buffaloes. |
| 3 | Due to silage preparation, green fodder become available throughout the year which maintain milk production. |

Training (including Vocational, Sponsored and FLD Training)

| Thematic area | No. of | | mber of o | | Nur | nber of S(| C/ST | Total number of participants | | | |
|--|-------------|----|-----------|----|-----|------------|------|---------------------------------|-----|-----|--|
| | Courses | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т | M | F | Т | |
| (A) Farmers & Farm Women | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I Crop Production | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Farming | 4 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 91 | 7 | 98 | 155 | 7 | 162 | |
| Integrated Crop Management | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 108 | 437 | 329 | 108 | 437 | |
| Production of organic inputs | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 36 | 80 | 44 | 36 | 80 | |
| Seed Production | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 46 | 98 | 52 | 46 | 98 | |
| II Horticulture | | | | | | | | | | | |
| a) Vegetable crops | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Production of low volume and high value crops | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 38 | 79 | 41 | 38 | 79 | |
| Protective cultivation(Green House, Shade Net etc.) | 7 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 128 | 113 | 241 | 149 | 113 | 262 | |
| Off-season cultivation | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 123 | 149 | 26 | 123 | 149 | |
| Nursery raising | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | |
| b) Fruits | · · · · · · | | | • | • | • | | • | • | • | |
| Layout and Management of Orchards | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 259 | 233 | 492 | 259 | 233 | 492 | |
| III Soil Health and Fertility Mar | nagement | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 1 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 12 | |
| IV Livestock Production and M | lanagement | : | | | | | | | | | |
| Feed Management | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 106 | 185 | 79 | 106 | 185 | |
| Dairy Management | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 129 | 365 | 236 | 129 | 365 | |
| Production of quality animal product | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 18 | 17 | 35 | |

| Thematic area | No. of Courses | | mber of o participan | | Nur | nber of SC | C/ST | | al numbe | - |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----|-------------------------|-----|------|------------|------|------|----------|------|
| | | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т | Μ | F | Т |
| V Home Science/Women empo | owerment | | | | | | | | | |
| Household food security by | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kitchen gardening and | | | | | | | | | | |
| nutritional gardening | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 82 | 0 | 82 | 82 |
| Income generation activities for | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| empowerment of Rural women | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 76 |
| Location specific drudgery | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| reduction technology | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Women and child care | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 197 | 0 | 197 | 197 |
| Designing and development for | | | | | | | | | | |
| high nutrient efficiency diet | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 | 178 | 0 | 178 | 178 |
| Minimization of nutrient loss in | | | | | | | | | | |
| processing | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 62 |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| VII Plant Protection | | | | | | | | | | |
| Integrated Pest Management | 5 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 98 | 43 | 141 | 167 | 43 | 210 |
| Integrated Pest Disease | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 138 | 288 | 150 | 138 | 288 |
| IX Production of Inputs at Site | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Seed production | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 04 | 30 | 26 | 04 | 30 |
| X Capacity building and Grou | ip Dynamic | S | | | | | | | | |
| Leadership Development | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Formation and management of | | | | | | | | | | |
| Self Help Groups | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| Enterpreneurship development | | | | | | | | | | |
| of farmers/rural youth | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 48 | 65 | 17 | 48 | 65 |
| Marketing | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 30 | 70 | 40 | 30 | 70 |
| TOTAL (A) | 93 | 164 | 0 | 164 | 1653 | 1935 | 3588 | 1817 | 1935 | 3752 |

| GRAND TOTAL | 114 | 178 | 3 | 181 | 2209 | 2166 | 4375 | 2387 | 2169 | 4556 |
|---|----------|-----|---|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| TOTAL (C) | 5 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 141 | 43 | 184 | 141 | 46 | 187 |
| application | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 13 | 35 | 12 | 13 | 35 |
| Capacity building for ICT | | | | | | | | | | |
| WTO and IPR issues | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 30 | 28 | 2 | 30 |
| Self Help Groups | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| technology Formation and management of | 1 | U | 0 | 0 | 31 | U | ১। | 31 | U | 31 |
| Protected cultivation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 31 |
| Integrated Crop Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| (C) Extension Personnel | | | | | | | | | | I |
| TOTAL (B) | 16 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 415 | 188 | 603 | 429 | 188 | 617 |
| Dairy Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| high nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| Designing & development for | <u> </u> | 14 | 0 | 14 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 1 | 14 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| Integrated Crop Management | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 17 | 103 | 86 | 17 | 103 |
| Value addition | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| Disease management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 29 | 5 | 34 |
| Feed Management | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 20 | 17 | 37 |
| Protected cultivation of vegetable crops | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| Integrated Nutrient Management | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 88 | 208 | 120 | 88 | 208 |
| Integrated Crop Management | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 11 | 85 | 74 | 11 | 85 |
| Seed production | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 32 | 29 | 3 | 32 |

Note: Details of above training programmes given in the proforma as Annexure-II

Vocational training programmes for Rural Youth

| | | | | _ | No. c | of Partici | pants | Self e | mployed at | fter training | Number of | |
|----------------------|----------------|--|---|--------------------|-------|------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Crop / Enterprise | Date | Training title* | Identified Thrust Area | Duration (days) | Male | Female | Total | Type of units | Number of units | Number of persons employed | persons employed else where | |
| Home | 5- 6/1/2011 | Preparation of Masala | Income generation activities for empowerment of rural women | 2 | - | 51 | 51 | | Wor | k in progress · | - | |
| Science | 1- 2/2/2011 | Preparation of Masala | Income generation activities for empowerment of rural women | 2 | - | 22 | 22 | | Wor | - | | |
| Agronomy | 17- 18/1/11 | Preparation of composting & vermicomp- osting | Production of organic input | 2 | 53 | 06 | 59 | | Work in progress | | | |

Extension activities

| | Nature of | Purpose/ | | Participants | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|------|------|------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------|------|------|
| SI. No. | Extension | topic and | No. of activities | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | SC/ST (Farmers) (II) | | | Extension officials (III) | | | Grand Total (I+II+III) | | |
| | Activity | Date | | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т |
| 1 | Field Day | For FLD | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 428 | 144 | 572 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 434 | 145 | 579 |
| 2 | Khedut Shibir | Cereals, Pulses, Vege., other crops | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 748 | 769 | 1517 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 754 | 770 | 1524 |
| 3 | Mahila Shibir | Health & Nutrition, SHG, Women empowerment | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 3155 | 3377 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 228 | 3156 | 3384 |

| | Nature of | Purpose/ | | | | | | | Particip | oants | | | | | |
|------------|---|---|-------------------|------|--|-----|------|------|----------|-------|---------------------|-----------------|------|-------------------------|------|
| SI. No. | Extension | topic and | No. of activities | Farm | Farmers (Others)SC/ST (Farmers)(I)(II) | | | | | | Extensi ificials | | C | Grand Tot (I+II+III) | |
| | Activity | Date | | М | F | Т | М | F. | Т | M | F | (<i>)</i> T | М | F | Т |
| 4 | Agril. Exhibition | Krishi Mela, Khedut din, Krishi Mahotsav | 6 | 771 | 138 | 909 | 1788 | 5177 | 6965 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2559 | 139 | 2698 |
| 5 | Crop Symposium | Paddy crop(SRI) & Export oriented Okra | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 398 | 1014 | 1412 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 404 | 1015 | 1419 |
| 6 | Ex-trainee sammelan | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 23 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 23 | 7 | 30 |
| • | Kishan Gosthi | - | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 98 | 132 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 40 | 99 | 139 |
| 7 | Celebration of Women in Agril. Day | Agriculture, Nutrition & Health 04/12/10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 587 | 388 | 975 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 591 | 389 | 980 |
| 8 | Celebration of International Women's Day | Women Empowerment 8/3/11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 63 | 78 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 64 | 80 |
| 9 | Parthenium Awareness Week - 2010 programme | (3/8/2010) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 16 | 91 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 79 | 17 | 96 |
| 10 | Formation of SHG | For women empowerment | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 26 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 27 |
| 11 | SHG Meeting | For activation of new & exsisting SHGs | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 207 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 208 | 208 |
| 12 | Formation of Farm Science Club | - | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 16 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 77 | 16 | 93 |
| 13 | Farm Science Club meeting | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 103 | 8 | 111 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 107 | 8 | 115 |

| | Nature of | Purpose/ | | Participants | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------|------|------------------|------|---|---------------------|------------|------|------------------------|----------------|
| SI. No. | Extension | topic and | No. of activities | Farm | ners (Ot (I) | hers) | SC/ | ST (Farm (II) | ers) | | Extensi ificials | | 0 | Grand To (I+II+III) | |
| | Activity | Date | aotivitioo | М | F | Т | М | F | Т | M | F | (<i>)</i> | М | F | Т |
| 14 | Farmers Meeting | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 7 | 33 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 32 | 8 | 40 |
| 15 | Mahila Meeting | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 18 |
| 16 | Guest Lecture | FTC & ATMA | 39 | 682 | 36 | 718 | 2237 | 1873 | 4110 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 2925 | 1910 | 4835 |
| 17 | Film Show | SHG, Pashupalan, Agriculture | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 592 | 60 | 652 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 100 | 61 | 161 |
| 18 | Diagnostic Visit | - | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 19 | Field Visit | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 87 | 155 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 75 | 88 | 163 |
| 20 | FLD Meeting | - | 8 | 87 | 0 | 87 | 29 | 51 | 80 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 122 | 52 | 174 |
| 21 | Scientist visit to Farmers' Field | - | 19 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 101 | 27 | 128 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 111 | 28 | 139 |
| 22 | Farmers Visit to KVK | - | 44 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 513 | 122 | 635 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 554 | 123 | 677 |
| 23 | Exposure Tour | Visit at Krishi Mela, NAU, JAU, AAU | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 57 | 77 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 21 | 58 | 79 |
| 24 | Krishi Mahotsav | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3527 | 2482 | 6009 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 3534 | 2482 | 6016 |
| 25 | Night camp | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 33 | 103 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 73 | 34 | 107 |
| 26 | Telephone Helpline | - | 209 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 156 | 53 | 209 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 173 | 54 | 227 |
| 27 | Guidance through letter | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 28 | Animal Camp | 264 Animals | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 264 animals |
| 29 | Pashupalan Shibir | - | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 488 | 1103 | 1591 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 494 | 1104 | 1598 |
| 30 | Method Demonstration | Preparation of vermicompost and masalas | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 28 | 81 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 54 | 29 | 83 |

| | Nature of | Purpose/ | | | | | | | Partici | oants | | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|------|-----------------|-------|-------|------------------|---------|-------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------------------------|------|
| SI. No. | Extension | topic and | No. of activities | Farm | ners (Ot (I) | hers) | SC/ | ST (Farm (II) | ers) | | xtensi ficials | | (| Grand Tot (I+II+III) | |
| | Activity | Date | | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | М | F | Т |
| 31 | Popular Articles | - | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 32 | Book published | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 33 | TV Telecast | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 34 | Radio Talk | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 35 | Newspaper Coverage | - | 52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 36 | Folder Prepared | - | 23 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 1 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| 37 | Extension literature distributed | - | 4130 | 179 | 482 | 661 | 1038 | 2431 | 3469 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 1223 | 2914 | 4137 |
| 38 | Research Paper published | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| 39 | Soil & Water Sample analyzed | - | 4797 | - | - | - | 4797 | 0 | 4797 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4798 | 0 | 4798 |
| 40 | Sample diagnosed in PHC | - | 78 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 73 | 3 | 76 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 3 | 79 |
| 41 | Farmers-Scientists Interaction | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| | Grand Tota | 5419 | 1801 | 656 | 2457 | 17730 | 19521 | 37251 | 171 | 31 | 202 | 19702 | 20208 | 39910 | |

Production and supply of quality seed and planting material

SEED MATERIALS

| Major group/class | Crop | Variety | Quantity (qtl.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|----------------------|-------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| | | Jaya | 78.85 | 27085 | 57 |
| CEREALS | Paddy | Gurjari | 57.80 | 23750 | 50 |
| CEREALS | Fauuy | IR-28 | 53.20 | | Stored in godown and |
| | | 111-20 | 55.20 | | selling for next season |

SUMMARY

| Sr. No. | Major group/class | Quantity (qtl.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| | Paddy-Jaya | 78.85 | 27075 | 57 |
| CEREALS | paddy-Gurjari | 57.80 | 23750 | 50 |
| | Paddy-IR-28 | 53.20 | | |
| | TOTAL | 189.85 | 50825 | 107 |

PLANTING MATERIALS

| Major group/class | Crop | Variety | Quantity (Nos.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|-------------------|-------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| FRUITS | Mango | Kesar | 600 | 33000 | 30 |
| | Mango | Dasheri | 200 | 11000 | 10 |

SUMMARY

| SI. No. | Major group/class | Quantity (Nos.) | Value (Rs.) | Provided to No. of Farmers |
|---------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | FRUITS - MANGO | 800 | 44000 | 40 |
| | TOTAL | 800 | 44000 | 40 |

PUBLICATIONS

| Type of Publication | No. of Items/topics | Number copies |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| News Letter | - | - |
| Technical reports | MPR, QPR, SAC report, FLD report, AAP, APR, MER, AGRESCO, ZREAC report, | - |
| | QRT report | |
| Technical bulletins | - | - |
| Popular articles | List of articles given in Annexure – III | |
| Extension literature | 24 | |
| Research Paper | 9 | |
| Book Published | 5 | |

SOIL AND WATER TESTING

| Details | No. of Samples | No. of Farmers | No. of Villages | Amount realized |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Soil Samples | 7790 | 7790 | 17 | 250000 |
| Water Samples | 200 | 200 | 13 | 10000 |
| Total | 7990 | 7990 | 30 | 260000 |

SUCCESS STORIES

- Replacement of the Pigeon pea variety through FLD, a success story (Accepted in NAU Spectrum)
- 2 Integrated Nutrient Management, a windfall to get higher production of vegetables in Tribal areas of South Gujarat- A Success story.
- 3 Role of KVK in upliftment of Tribal dominated areas of South Gujarat through export oriented Okra cultivation.
- 4 The role of KVK in shifting the life of normal tribal farmer to an innovated high-tech farmer. A success story
- 5 Impact of Kitchen Gardening Demonstration in Tribal Farm Women, a Success Story.
- 6 Role of KVK in cultivating Land Configuration, in Tribal belt of Tapi District.
- 7 Replacement of drilled paddy through high recurring Soybean crops in tribal belt of South Gujarat
- 8 Increasing area and productivity of Paddy in tribal belt of South Gujarat

Case Studies

--Nil--

Impact Studies

- 1. Impact of training regarding scientific cultivation of okra
- 2. Impact of training regarding scientific cultivation of brinjal
- 3. Impact of training regarding package of practices of soybean crop
- 4. Impact of training regarding package of practices of gram crop
- 5. Impact of training regarding package of practices of pigeon pea crop
- 6. Impact of training regarding IPM components on *Cucurbitaceous* vegetables

<u> Annexure - I</u>

Proceeding of Seventh Scientific Advisory Committee Meeting of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, NAU, Vyara held on 17/08/2010 at 10:30 am at Training Hall, KVK, NAU, Vyara

| Sr. No. | Name | Members/ Invitees | Designation |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 1 | Dr. A. R. Pathak | Chairman | Vice Chancellor Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari |
| 2 | Dr. R. B. Patel | Member | Director of Extension Education Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari |
| 3 | Dr. C. L. Patel | Member | Director of Research Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari |
| 4 | Dr. V. J. Zinzala | Member | District Agriculture Officer District Panchayat, Vyara, Tapi |
| 5 | Mr. G. M. Borad | Member | Representative Director District Rural Development Agency, Vyara |
| 6 | Mr. P. M. Acharya | Member | Deputy Director of Agriculture (Ext.), Lal Banglow, Athwalines, Surat |
| 7 | Mr. C. C. Garasiya | Member | Deputy Director of Horticulture Farmers Training Centre, Panwadi, Vyara |
| 8 | Dr. K. J. Shukla | Member | Deputy Director of Animal Husbandry, District Panchayat, Tapi |
| 9 | Mr. N. G. Gamit | Member | Deputy Director of Agriculture(Training) Farmers Training Centre, Vyara |
| 10 | Mr. R. L. Ganvit | Member | Branch Manager Gujarat State Seed Corporation, Apna Bazar, Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 11 | Mr. R. B. Patel | Member | Depo Incharge GSFC, Market Yard, Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 12 | Mr. Abhesingbhai M. Chuadhari | Member | Chairman A. P. M. C., Market Yard Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 13 | Mr. B. J. Savaliya | Member | Kendra Incharge GNFC, Market Yard, Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 14 | Mr. D. G. Patel | Member | Range Forest Officer Vyara Range, Dist. Tapi |

• List of the members remained present in the meeting :

| 15 | Mr. T. M. Visani | Member | Assistant Director |
|----|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| 15 | | Member | (G.L.D.C.) Parsiwad, |
| | | | Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 16 | Mr. Chndrakant P. | Member | President, Abhyutthan Gram Vikas |
| 10 | Mandaviya | (NGO) | Trust, Avdhut Krupa, Devjipura, |
| | Ivialitaviya | (1460) | Songadh |
| 17 | Mrs. Mishulaben Gamit | Member | Executive Secratory, Hangati |
| 17 | | | Mahila Trust, Mandal, Ta. |
| | | (NGO) | Songadh |
| 18 | Mr. Vipinbhai Chaudhari | Member | Progressive Farmer, |
| 10 | | (Co- | Vanskui |
| | | operatives) | Valisku |
| 19 | Mr. Chandubhai Gamit | Member | Progressive Farmer, |
| 19 | | Member | Ghodchit |
| 20 | Mrs. Revaben Ranjitbhai | Member | Member, |
| 20 | Chaudhari | Member | Sakhi Mandal, Ghodchit, |
| | Chaddhan | | Ta. Songadh, Dist. Tapi |
| 21 | Mrs. Hetalben Chaudhari | Member | Progressive Farm women |
| 21 | | Member | President of SHG, |
| | | | Vanskui |
| 22 | Smt. M. R. Patel | Member | CDPO |
| ~~ | Ont. M. R. Pater | Weinber | Vyara - 1, Taluka Panchayat, |
| | | | Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 23 | Smt. K. C. Gamit | Member | CDPO |
| 20 | onte re. O. Canin | Weinber | Vyara – 2, Taluka Panchayat, |
| | | | Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 24 | Dr. H. D. Mehta | Member | Associate Research Scientist |
| | | Wombol | Regional Rice Research Station |
| | | | Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 25 | Dr. N. M. Chauhan | Member | Programme Coordinator |
| | | (Secretary) | Member Secretary |
| | | (,)) | K.V.K.,Vyara, Dist. Tapi |
| 26 | Mr. Iswarbhai C. Gamit | Invitee | President, Farm Science Club, |
| _ | | | Ghodchit |
| 27 | Fr. Francis D'sa cj. | Invitee | M.E.S. Mandal, Seed Village |
| | , | | linkage programme |
| 28 | Mrs. Karmiben D. Gamit | Invitee | Hangati Trust, Mandal |
| 29 | Mr. Kiranbhai B. Sagarwala | Invitee | ANARDE foundation, Surat |
| 30 | Mr. D. T. Desai | Invitee | Patidar Agro centre, 30, |
| | | | Market yard, Vyara |
| 31 | Aemabhai B. Gamit | Invitee | Bharadda |
| 32 | Mr. Guljibhai G. Gamit | Invitee | Bharadda |
| 33 | Mr. Rameshbhai | Invitee | Bharadda |
| | Bholiyabhai | | |
| 34 | Mr. Chhotubhai | Invitee | Aanandkut, Ta. Uchchhal |
| | Ramchandra Gamit | | |
| 35 | Mr. Dilipbhai B. Gamit | Invitee | Selud |
| 36 | Mrs. Induben Ramanbhai | Invitee | Kapura |
| | Gamit | | |
| 37 | Mrs. Kamlaben P. Gamit | Invitee | Hangati Trust, Mandal, Linkage |
| | | | Programme |
| L | | L | |

| 38 | Mrs. Shobhanaben B. Gamit | Invitee | Saheli Van Bachat Mandal |
|----|---------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| 39 | Mr. S. P. Wadhwani | Invitee | Bizz News TV, Vyara, |
| | | | Press Reporter |
| 40 | Mr. Dharmesh Wani | Invitee | Gujarat Raksha, Vyara |
| | | | Press Reporter |
| 41 | Mr. Sanjay R. Wani | Invitee | Gujarat Raksha, Vyara |
| | | | Press Reporter |

• List of members who could not remain present in meeting :

| Sr. No. | Designation | Members/ Invitees |
|------------|---|----------------------|
| 1 | Hon. Zonal Project Director, Zone-VI, ICAR, Jodhpur Rajasthan | Member |
| 2 | Joint Director of Agriculture, Lal Banglow, Athwalines, Surat | Member |
| 3 | Project Administrator, Integrated Tribal Development Project, Songadh, Dist. Tapi | Member |
| 4 | Lead Bank Officer, Regional Office, B.O.B., Dutch Garden, Surat | Member |
| 5 | Social Welfare Officer, Market Yard, Vyara, Dist Tapi | Member |
| 6 | Assistant Director (Fisheries), Near CRPF Campus, Ukai, Dist. Tapi | Member |
| 7 | Divisional Forest Officer, Jilla Seva Sadan-2, B Block, 2 nd Floor, Athwalines, Surat | Member |
| 8 | Executive Engineer, Ukai Kakrapar Irrigation Project, Tapi | Member |
| 9 | Office Incharge, All India Radio, Bhatar Road, Surat | Member |
| 10 | Programme Director, Prasarbharti, Bhatar Road, Surat | Member |
| 11 | Information Officer, Information & Broadcasting Dept., Chowk Bazar, Surat | Member |
| 12 | General Manager, District Industrial Centre, Surat | Member |
| 13 | Chairman Gram Seva Samaj, Vyara | Member |

The Seventh Scientific Advisory Committee Meeting of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, NAU, Vyara was organized to review the progress made by KVK during July-2009 to August-2010 and to discuss the action plan for October-2010 to September-2011. The SAC meeting was held at training hall of KVK, Vyara on 17th August, 2010. The meeting was inaugurated by Dr. A. R. Pathak, Honorable Vice Chancellor, NAU, Navsari. Dr. H. D. Mehta, Associate Research Scientist, Regional Rice Research Station, NAU, Vyara welcomed dignitaries, committee members, farmers and invitees. Dr. R. B. Patel, Director of Extension Education, NAU, Navsari explained the importance of FLDs and OFTs. Dr. N. M. Chauhan, Programme Co-ordinator, KVK has made a sound presentation on APR and Action Plan along with case studies, impact studies, success

stories and feedback from farmers/farm women, GOs, NGOs and co-operative sectors. Dr. C. L. Patel, I/c. Director of Research, NAU, Navsari gave good suggestions for making the activities of KVK more effective ad result oriented. Honorable Vice Chancellor, Dr. A. R. Pathak in his concluding remarks congratulated Programme Coordinator and his team of scientist and suggested to publish FAQs data base for the major crops of the area on NAU website. The overall discussion made during the meet was really unique and the most prominent event was the remarkable suggestions and feedback emerged out from each and every member inside the house. We can say it's a good sign for future betterment of this KVK. Vote of thanks was presented by Dr. J. H. Rathod, Subject Matter Specialist (Plant Protection), KVK, NAU, Vyara.

7.1 Approval of minutes of Sixth Scientific Advisory Committee.

The action taken on the minutes of Sixth Scientific Advisory Committee Meeting of KVK, Vyara held on 6th July, 2009 was presented by Programme Coordinator was approved by the house.

7.2 Progress made by KVK during July 2009 to August 2010.

Programme Co-ordinator, KVK, NAU, Vyara presented the report on progress made by KVK, Vyara for the period of July, 2009 to August, 2010. Following suggestions were made by the house.

- 7.2.1 Training on Farm Mechanization should be taken.
- 7.2.2 Activities of Animal Science discipline should be increased.
- 7.2.3 FAQs database for the major crops of the area should be published on NAU website.

7.3 Action plan for the period of October, 2009 to September, 2010.

Discussion was made on the Action Plan for the period of October, 2009 to September, 2010 presented by Programme Co-ordinator, KVK, NAU, Vyara which was approved with following suggestions.

- 7.3.1 Effort should be continued to popularize the Groundnut Vty : GG-6.
- 7.3.2 FLD on Groundnut Vty : GG-11 should be taken.
- 7.3.3 FLDs and OFTs on Animal Husbandry should be taken. Soybean crop should be taken as inter crop with drilled paddy instead of sole crop.
- 7.3.4 Training on A.I. component should be taken.
- 7.3.5 The number of Vocational Trainings pertaining to income generation by farm women should be increased.

<u> Annexure - II</u>

Details of Training programmes :

| Date Clientele | | 9 1 | Discipline Thematic area id | | Durat- Venue ion in (Off / On days Campus) | | Number of other participants | | | Number of SC/ST | | | Total number of participangs | | |
|----------------|------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------|------------------------------------|---|----|--------------------|----|----|------------------------------------|----|----|
| | | programme | | | uays | Campus) | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т | Μ | F | Т |
| 13/04/10 | P.F | Preparation of Vermi-compost (RKVY) | Agronomy | Production of organic inputs | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 40 | 22 | 18 | 40 |
| 15/04/10 | P.F | Preparation of Vermi-compost (RKVY) | Agronomy | Production of organic inputs | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 18 | 40 | 22 | 18 | 40 |
| 29/04/10 | F.W. | Importance of Wadi Yojana and inter crop cultivation in Wadi (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 51 | 55 | 4 | 51 | 55 |
| 05/05/10 | F.W. | Scientific cultivation of drilled and transplanted paddy | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 25 |
| 10/05/10 | P.F | Cultivation of high value horticulture crop | Horticulture | High Tech Horti. | 1 | OFF | 21 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 21 |
| 10/05/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of cotton / organic manure preparation | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | OFF | 20 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 13/05/10 | P.F | Care and management of mango orchard and importance of inter cropping in mango orchard | Horticulture | Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 14 | 79 | 65 | 14 | 79 |
| 14/05/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of Kharif paddy | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 14/05/10 | P.F | Control measures of pests & diseases in kharif crops | Plant Pro. | IPDM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| 15/05/10 | F.W. | Kitchen Gardening | Horticulture | Kitchen | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 30 |

| | | | | Gardening | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------|--|--------------|------------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 17- 18/05/10 | E.F. | Formation and Management of Self Help Groups | Home Sci. | Capacity Building | 2 | ON | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| 25/05/10 | F.W. | Balanced diet from locally available food material | Home Sci. | Balanced Nutrition | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 57 | 0 | 57 | 57 |
| 25/05/10 | F.W. | Calf rearing | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 40 |
| 27/05/10 | P.F | Animal Nutrition | Ani. Sci. | Nutrition | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 1 | 35 | 34 | 1 | 35 |
| 07/06/10 | F.W. | Marketing of Agriculture products | Ext. Edu. | Marketing | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 20 | 30 | 50 |
| 11/06/10 | F.W. | Kitchen Gardening | Home Sci. | Kitchen Gardening | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 27 | 27 |
| 15/06/10 | F.W. | Preparation of Masalas | Home Sci. | Income Generation | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| 03/06/10 | P.F | Importance of Wadi in Sustainable agri. (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 28 | 56 | 28 | 28 | 56 |
| 04/06/10 | F.W. | Importance of Wadi in Sustainable agri. (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 17 |
| 05/06/10 | P.F | Wadi yojna for sustainable agriculture (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 46 | 79 | 33 | 46 | 79 |
| 05/06/10 | P.F | Wadi yojna for sustainable agriculture (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 4 | 51 | 47 | 4 | 51 |
| 05/06/10 | P.F | Wadi yojna for sustainable agriculture (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 111 | 140 | 29 | 111 | 140 |
| 15/06/10 | P.F | Importance of Wadi Yojna (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| 15/06/10 | P.F | Importance of Wadi Yojna (RKVY) | Horticulture | Orchard Development | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 17 | 17 | 34 |
| 19/05/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of paddy and pigeon pea | Agronomy | I.C.M. | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 7 | 63 | 56 | 7 | 63 |

| 01/06/10 | P.F | Paddy SRI and FLD | Agronomy | I.C.M. | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 18 | 16 | 2 | 18 |
|-----------------|------------|--|--------------|--------------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|
| 02/06/10 | F.W. | Scientific cultivation of paddy | Agronomy | I.C.M. | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 46 | 65 | 19 | 46 | 65 |
| 02/06/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of pigeon pea | Agronomy | I.C.M. | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 36 | 0 | 36 |
| 05/06/10 | RY | Scientific cultivation of paddy (RKVY) | Agronomy | Crop production (ICM) | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 1 | 50 | 49 | 1 | 50 |
| 17- 19/06/10 | In-service | Capacity Building | Ext. Edu. | Capacity Building | 3 | ON | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 23 | 33 | 12 | 23 | 35 |
| 23/06/10 | F.W. | FLD training on Kitchen Gardening | Home Sci. | Kitchen Gardening | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| 24/06/10 | F.W. | Nutrition for pregnant and lactating women | Home Sci. | Health & Nutrition | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 0 | 39 | 39 |
| 24/06/10 | F.W. | Care and management of dairy animal | Ani. Sci. | Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 29 | 40 | 11 | 29 | 40 |
| 22/06/10 | F.W. | Propogation of mango graft (DRDA) | Horticulture | Nursery | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| 01/07/10 | F.W. | Nutritional deficiency diseases in children and its management | Home Sci. | Health & Nutrition | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| 1- 2/07/10 | E.F. | Scientific cultivation of vegetables (Aabhyuththan Trust sponsored, Songadh) | Horticulture | ICM | 2 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 6 | 31 | 25 | 6 | 31 |
| 06/07/10 | P.F | IPDM in Kharif paddy | Plant Pro. | IPDM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| 07/07/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of Sugarcane | Agronomy | Integrated farming | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 35 | 0 | 35 |
| 08/07/10 | RY | Care to be taken in paddy seed production plot | Agronomy | Seed production | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 32 | 29 | 3 | 32 |
| 13/07/10 | P.F | Care taken during handling of pesticides | Plant Pro. | IPM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 43 | 104 | 61 | 43 | 104 |

| 30/07/10 | P.F | Integrated Nutrient Management in Cotton | Agronomy | INM | 1 | OFF | 10 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 12 |
|----------|------|--|--------------|---|---|-----|----|---|----|----|-----|-----|----|-----|-----|
| 30/07/10 | RY | Integrated Nutrient and Weed Management in Cotton | Agronomy | INM | 1 | OFF | 14 | 0 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 30/07/10 | P.F | Integrated Pest Management in cotton | Plant Pro. | IPM | 1 | OFF | 15 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 30/07/10 | P.F | Integrated Pest Management in cotton | Plant Pro. | IPM | 1 | OFF | 21 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 0 | 23 |
| 02/08/10 | F.W. | Preparation of low cost nutritious diet for children (FLD training) | Home Sci. | Design & Deve. of low / minimum diet | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 21 |
| 30/08/10 | P.F | Cultivation of vegetables under low cost green house | Horticulture | Low cost green house | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 7 | 36 | 29 | 7 | 36 |
| 31/08/10 | P.F | Cultivation of leafy vegetables under low cost green house | Horticulture | Low cost green house | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 16 | 50 | 34 | 16 | 50 |
| 06/09/10 | F.W. | Anemia and its management | Home Sci. | Women & Child care | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 44 |
| 17/09/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of Sugarcane | Agronomy | Integrated farming | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 44 | 44 | 0 | 44 |
| 21/09/10 | F.W. | Preparation of protein rich diet for children | Home Sci. | Design & Dev. for high nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| 21/09/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of Okra (Sponsered training, Songadh) | Horticulture | Production of low volume & high value crops | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 14 | 54 | 40 | 14 | 54 |
| 21/09/10 | P.F | Importance of A.I. to improve pedigree | Ani. Sci. | Animal breeding management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 16 | 54 | 38 | 16 | 54 |
| 22/09/10 | F.W. | Important point in | Ani. Sci. | Animal Nutrition | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 105 | 105 | 0 | 105 | 105 |

| | | animal nutrition | | Management | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|--|--------------|--|---|-----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 23/09/10 | P.F | Points to be considered while calf rearing | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Cattle Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 16 | 66 | 50 | 16 | 66 |
| 24/09/10 | F.W. | Anemia and its management | Home Sci. | Women & Child care | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| 08/10/10 | RY | Scientific cultivation of Sugarcane | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 16 | 53 | 37 | 16 | 53 |
| 15/10/10 | F.W. | Dradgery reduction technology of improved NAVEEN sickle for paddy harvesting (FLD training) | Agronomy | Location specific drudgery reduction technology | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| 22/10/10 | RY | Feeding management in dairy animal | Ani. Sci. | Feed Management | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 17 | 37 | 20 | 17 | 37 |
| 25/10/10 | RY | INM in okra | Horticulture | INM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 69 | 91 | 22 | 69 | 91 |
| 26/10/10 | RY | INM in okra | Horticulture | INM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 5 | 48 | 43 | 5 | 48 |
| 27/10/10 | RY | INM in okra | Horticulture | INM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 11 | 46 | 35 | 11 | 46 |
| 30/10/10 | RY | Cultivation of leafy vegetables under LCGH | Horticulture | LCGH | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 |
| 30/10/10 | P.F | Management of milch animal | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 6 | 30 | 24 | 6 | 30 |
| 02/11/10 | RY | INM in Okra | Ani. Sci. | INM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 23 | 20 | 3 | 23 |
| 03/11/10 | F.W. | IPDM in Okra | Plant Pro. | IPDM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 45 | 47 | 2 | 45 | 47 |
| 12/11/10 | P.F | Points to be consider for increasing crop production in Rabi season. | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 20 | 17 | 3 | 20 |
| 13/11/10 | P.F | A.I. and its importance in dairy animal | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 7 | 20 | 13 | 7 | 20 |
| 18/11/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of wheat and sugarcane | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 46 | 44 | 2 | 46 |

| 18/11/10 | F.W. | Scientific cultivation of vegetables | Horticulture | Production of low volume & high value crops | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 25 | 1 | 24 | 25 |
|----------|------|--|--------------|--|---|-----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 20/11/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of Rabi crops | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 12 | 38 | 26 | 12 | 38 |
| 25/11/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of Maize | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| 26/11/10 | P.F | Scientific cultivation of Rabi crops | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 36 | 78 | 42 | 36 | 78 |
| 06/12/10 | P.F | Marketing of Agril. produce | Ext. Edu. | Marketing | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 06/12/10 | F.W. | Balanced diet from locally available food material | Home Sci. | Design & Dev. of low / minimum cost diet | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 33 | 0 | 33 | 33 |
| 22/12/10 | F.W. | Preparation of low cost nutritious diet for children | Home Sci. | Design & Dev. of low / minimum cost diet | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| 22/12/10 | RY | Housing of dairy animal | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| 23/12/10 | P.F | Clean milk production | Ani. Sci. | Production of quality animal product | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 35 | 18 | 17 | 35 |
| 28/12/10 | F.W. | Formation and Management of Self Help Groups | Home Sci. | Formation and Management of Self Help Groups | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| 29/12/10 | P.F | Care and maintenance of farm machinery and imlpements | Ext. Edu. | Care and maintenance of farm machinery and implements | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 0 | 17 |
| 29/12/10 | F.W. | Nutrition for mother & Child | Home Sci. | Women & Child care | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 |
| 30/12/10 | F.W. | Scientific cultivation of brinjal | Horticulture | Off Season Cultivation | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 31 |
| 6/1/11 | P.F | Method of seed production programmes | Ext. Edu. | Production of Inputs at site | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 4 | 30 | 26 | 4 | 30 |
| 5-6/1/11 | F.W. | Preparation of | Home Sci. | Income | 2 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 51 |

| | | different masalas (vocational training) | | generation activities for empowerment of rural women | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|---|--------------|---|---|-----|----|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 7/1/11 | F.W. | Minimization of nutrient loss in processing | Home Sci. | Minimization of nutrient loss in processing | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 43 | 0 | 43 | 43 |
| 11/1/11 | F.W. | Cultivation of tomato in low cost green house | Horticulture | Protected cultivation | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| 15/1/11 | P.F | Care and maintenance of low cost green house | Horticulture | Protected cultivation | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 13 | 45 | 32 | 13 | 45 |
| 17- 18/1/11 | P.F. | Preparation of composting & vermicomposting | Agronomy | Production of organic inputs | 2 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 06 | 59 | 53 | 06 | 59 |
| 24/1/11 | P.F | A.I. and its importance in dairy animal | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 28 | 25 | 3 | 28 |
| 1/2/11 | P.F | Control measures of sucking pest in cotton | Plant Pro. | IPM | 1 | OFF | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 33 |
| 1-2/2/11 | RY | Preparation of different masalas | Home Sci. | Value addition | 2 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| 7/2/11 | P.F | Pulse crop production technology | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 8 | 30 | 22 | 8 | 30 |
| 9/2/11 | F.W. | Minimization of nutrient loss in processing | Home Sci. | Minimization of nutrient loss in processing | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 19 |
| 10/2/11 | RY | Pulse crop production technology | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 10 | 35 | 25 | 10 | 35 |
| 16/2/11 | F.W. | Off season cultivation | Horticulture | Off Season vegetable | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 41 | 55 | 14 | 41 | 55 |
| 20/2/11 | P.F | A.I. and its importance in dairy animal | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 20 |

| 21/2/11 | F.W. | Preparation of protein rich diet for children | Home Sci. | Designing & Development for high nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
|---------|------|--|--------------|--|---|-----|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 4/3/11 | RY | Iron deficiency Anemia and preparation of iron rich diet from locally available food material (OFT training) | Home Sci. | Designing & Development for high nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 25 |
| 11/3/11 | EF | WTO and its implications on Indian Agriculture | Ext. Edu. | WTO and IPR issues | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 2 | 30 | 28 | 2 | 30 |
| 11/3/11 | F.W. | Vine crop cultivation (Bottle guard) | Horticulture | Off Season vegetable | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 51 | 63 | 12 | 51 | 63 |
| 11/3/11 | F.W. | Preparation of tomato ketchup and pineapple jam | Home Sci. | Value addition | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| 11/3/11 | P.F | Animal nutrition for maintance, pregnancy and productivity | Ani. Sci. | Feed Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 0 | 24 |
| 14/3/11 | R.Y. | Iron deficiency Anemia and preparation of Iron rich diet from locally available food material (OFT training) | Home Sci. | Designing & Development for high nutrient efficiency diet | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 46 | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| 17/3/11 | F.W. | Enterpreneurship development of farmers | Ext. Edu. | Enterpreneurship development of farmers/Rural youth | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 48 | 65 | 17 | 48 | 65 |
| 9/3/11 | P.F | Moongbean & maize crop production technology and | Agronomy | ICM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 24 | 96 | 72 | 24 | 96 |

| | | summer cultivation practices | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|--|------------|---------------------|---|-----|---|---|---|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|
| 18- 19/3/11 | E.F. | Scientific cultivation of Sugarcane | Agronomy | ICM | 2 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 70 |
| 22/3/11 | P.F | Pre-monsoon care in dairy animal | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 34 | 31 | 3 | 34 |
| 14/3/11 | F.W. | Bio-control in vegetables | Plant Pro. | IPDM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 27 | 35 | 8 | 27 | 35 |
| 17/3/11 | P.F | Management of groundnut pest and disease | Plant Pro. | IPDM | 1 | OFF | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 18 | 39 | 21 | 18 | 39 |
| 18/3/11 | P.F | Integrated Pest & Disease Management in groundnut | Plant Pro. | IPDM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 32 |
| 24/3/11 | P.F | Interface on IPM | Plant Pro. | IPDM | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 48 | 113 | 65 | 48 | 113 |
| 28/3/11 | P.F | Animal Health Management | Ani. Sci. | Dairy Management | 1 | ON | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 33 | 24 | 9 | 33 |

<u> Annexure - III</u>

• List of Popular Articles

| 1 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). JAGYA TYATHI SAVAR, CHALO PACHHA VAL SHRDINI VYAVAHARIK KHETI TARAF SHRDINI GAEKAL, AAJ AI AAVATI KAL | |
|---|-----|
| 2 Arati N. Soni (2010). GRAMIN GRUHINIO ANAJ SANGRAHNI GHARGATHTI JANVANINI KALA JANI LO | ΗU |
| 3 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). ANNA AVA ODAKAR 'SAJEEV KHETI SAVADHAN' | |
| 4 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). DANGARNU AADARSH DHARUWADIU | |
| 5 Dr. J.M.Patel (2010). AADARSHA BAKARA-PALAN | |
| 6 Dr. N.M.Chauhan and Dr. A.P.Patel (2010). CHOMASU DANGARMA DHAR UCHHER ANE COMPOST KHATAR | २० |
| 7 Shri B.M.Tandel and Shri V.N.Parmar (2010). MASALA PAKOMA AADU A SAFAL KHETI | KE |
| 8 Shri B.M.Tandel and Shri V.N.Parmar (2010). OFF SEAASONI SHAKABHAJINI KHETI | MA |
| 9 Shri B.M.Tandel and Shri V.N.Parmar (2010). SHAKABHAJI PAKON UTPADAN VADHARVA MATE DHYAMA LEVANI ADHYATAN TECHNOLOG | |
| 10 Dr. J.M.Patel (2010). PASHU AAHARMA KHANIJ TATVANU MAHATVA | |
| 11 Dr. Vinay Parmar and Dr. N.M. Chauhan (2010). GHAR AAGANE SHAKBH/ "KITCHEN GARDENING" | ۹JI |
| 12 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). UTPADAN VADHARI KHETI KHARCHA GHATA DANGARNU DHARUVADIU TAIYAR KARVANI KALA | DI |
| 13 Shri B.M.Tandel (2010). EK SAFAL BAGAYATI PAK-KEL | |
| 14 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). AGRICULTURE e-FORMATION TECHNOLOGY | |
| 15 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). KAPASNO JANI DUSHMAN-MEALY BUGI NIYANTRAN | ٧V |
| 16 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). KATHOD PAKMA SANKALIT ROG-JEEV NIYANTRAN | AT |
| 17 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). BHAUGOLIK ANE VYAVASTHAPAN MAH PRASARAN SEWA | ITI |
| ¹⁸ Dr. J.M.Patel and Dr. N.M. Chauhan (2010). AADARSHA BAKARA-PALAN | |
| 19 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2010). TAKAU CHIRANJIV KHETI | |
| 20 Shri B.M.Tandel, Dr. N.M.Chauhan, Dr. A.P.Patel, Dr. J.H.Rathod and J.J.Pastagia (2010). SAFAL VARTAO | Dr. |
| 21 Arati N. Soni (2010). BAL AAROGYA MATE SOYABEANNO UPAYOG | |
| 22 Dipal N. Soni and Arti N. Soni (2010). BALAKONI TANDURASTI MA SAMTOL AAHAR | TE |
| 23 Arti N. Soni (2010). GRAM MAHILAO DWARA FAL ANE SHALBH/ PARIRAKSHANNI VAIGYANIK PADHDHATINO UPYOG | ٩JI |
| 24 Dr. J.M.Patel, Dr. N.M. Chauhan and Dr. N.B.Patel (2010). AADARSI BAKARA-PALAN | HA |
| | |
| 25 Dr. N.M.Chauhan (2011). KRISHI MAHITI VYAVASTHAPANNI KHETII AGATYATA | ЛА |

<u>Annexure – IV</u>

District Profile

1. General census

Information regarding District villages and Population

| Taluka | | Population (2001) | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|-----------------|-------------------|--------|--------|------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Taluka | No. of villages | Male | Female | Total | SC | ST | | | | | |
| Vyara | 149 | 125082 | 124724 | 249810 | 2629 | 211611 | | | | | |
| Valod | 40 | 441333 | 42994 | 87127 | 953 | 64112 | | | | | |
| Nizar | 87 | 52098 | 53287 | 105385 | 1878 | 83843 | | | | | |
| Uchchal | 68 | 36125 | 36827 | 73042 | 193 | 71084 | | | | | |
| Songadh | 177 | 101335 | 101335 | 204270 | 2732 | 170464 | | | | | |
| Total | 521 | 358863 | 359167 | 719634 | 8385 | 601114 | | | | | |

2. Agricultural and allied census

-Classification of Land

| Taluka | Forest | Uncultivated | Total cultivated | Irrigated Area | Unirrigated | Fallow land | Pasture | Total geographical area | Cultivated land (%) |
|---------|--------|--------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------|---------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Vyara | 18495 | 2210 | 54225 | 22858 (42.15) | 31367 | 220 | 1575 | 81260 | 66.73 |
| Valod | 0 | 239 | 17978 | 16383 (91.13) | 1595 | 282 | 421 | 20228 | 88.88 |
| Nizar | 332 | 16151 | 21561 | 10181 (47.22) | 11380 | 61 | 1587 | 40079 | 53.8 |
| Uchchal | 23447 | 23325 | 8468 | 1937 (22.87) | 6531 | 33 | 356 | 66500 | 12.73 |
| Songadh | 31751 | 3726 | 61891 | 12037 (19.45) | 49854 | 29 | 4969 | 135404 | 45.71 |
| Total | 74025 | 45651 | 164123 | 63396 (38.63) | 112974 | 625 | 8908 | 343471 | 47.78 |

Area under fruit crops, vegetables and spices & condiments :

| Сгор | Area (Ha.) |
|-------------|------------|
| Fruit crops | 1378 |
| Vegetables | 1785 |
| Spices & | 2080 |
| condiments | |

- 3.
- Agro climatic zone : As per Table no. 2.2.1
- 4. Agro eco system : As per Table no. 2.2.2
- 5. Major and micro-farming systems : As per Table no. 2.1
- 6. Major production systems like rice based (rice-rice, ricegreen gram, etc.), cotton based, etc. : Rice – Gram, Rice – Groundnut, Rice – Sugarcane, Rice – Okra, Rice – Brinjal, Rice + Pigeon pea + Sorghum Cotton - Wheat, Soybean - Gram, Soybean - Wheat, Soybean -Okra, Sugarcane – Green Gram
- 7. Major agriculture and allied enterprises : Sugar factory, Rice based industry, Groundnut based factory, Dairy industries, Cold storage

<u>Annexure – V</u>

Agro-ecosystem analysis of the focus / target area

- 1. Names of villages, focus area, target area etc. : As per Table no. 2.6
- 2. Survey methods used (survey by questionnaire, PRA, RRA, etc.) : PRA
- Various techniques used and brief documentation of process involved in applying the techniques used like release transect, resource map, etc.
 Various techniques used are given below:

Social Map: It seeks to explore the spatial dimensions of people's realities. The focus here is on the depiction of habitation pattern and the nature of housing, social infrastructure: roads, drainage system, schools, drinking water facilities etc. It develops a comprehensive understanding of the physical and social aspects of village life. It is made by local people and not by experts. It is not drawn to scale. It depicts what the local people believe to be relevant and important for them. Thus it reflects their perception of the social dimensions of their reality with a high degree of authenticity.



Resource Map: It focuses on the natural resources in the locality and depicts land, hills, rivers, fields, vegetation etc. It is not drawn to scale and not done by the experts but by the local people. The resource map drawn by the local people is considered to be accurate and detailed because the local people have in-depth knowledge about their surroundings.



Mobility Map: It explore the movement pattern of an individual, a group or a community. The focus is on where people go and for what. It reflects the people's perception of movement patterns and reasons thereof.

Time line : It is used to explore the temporal dimensions from a historical perspective. It captures the chronology of events as recalled by local people. It is drawn as a sequential aggregate of past events. The important points is that it is not the history as such but the events of past as perceived and recalled by the local people themselves.

Venn diagram: It is used to study institutional relationship and is sometimes also referred to as institutional diagram. It is however popularly known as "Chapati diagram" as it uses circles of various sizes to represent institutions or individuals. The bigger the circle, the more important is the institute/individual as perceived by the local people.

Pair-wise Ranking Method: It helps in arriving at people's priorities and preferences. In this method, two items, attributes, factors etc, are compared at a time. This process of comparing of two at a time is carried on till each item has been compared with the other. The frequency of how many times each of the items have been preferred is ascertained. This frequency gives an idea of preferences of the people.

- 4. Analysis and conclusions : --
- 5. List of location specific problems and brief description of frequency and extent/ intensity/severity of each problem : As per Table no. 2.7
- 6. Matrix ranking of problems Matrix Ranking : It makes the comparision of various of various items on the basis of different criteria. It helps in arriving at a comparative understanding of the items, based on certain characteristics or criteria and thereby making an informed choice.
- 7. List of location specific thrust areas : As per Table no. 2.8
- 8. List of location specific technology needs for OFT and FLD : As per Table no. 3.B
- 9. Matrix ranking of technologies : --
- 10. List of location specific training needs : As per Annexure II

<u>Annexure - VI</u>

TECHNOLOGY INVENTORY AND ACTIVITY CHART- III

Include

- 1. Name of research institutes, research stations, regional centres of NARS (SAU and ICAR) and other public and private bodies having relevance to location specific technology needs.
- 2. inventory of latest technology available.

| Sr. No. | Technology | Crop/enterprise | Year of release or recommendation of technology | Source of technology | Reference/ citation |
|------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | Introduction of new variety | Pigeon pea – Vaishali | 2007-08 | Pulse Res. Station, | - |
| | | | | NAU, Navsari | |
| 2 | New variety & land configuration | Groundnut – GG-20 | 1991 | NRCG, Junagadh | - |
| 3 | New variety & land configuration | Groundnut – GG-6 | 1996 | NRCG, Junagadh | - |
| 4 | New variety & land configuration | Gram – GG-2 | 1994 | Pulse Res. Station, | - |
| | | | | NAU, Navsari | |

3. Activity Chart

| Crop/ Enterprise | Problem | Cause | Solution | Activity | Reference of technology |
|---------------------|---|---|---|--|---|
| Cotton | Low productivity of cotton under rainfed black soil | Imbalance use of fertilizer application. Pest and disease occurrence | Application of RD of fertilizer. IPM | Conduct component FLD to demonstrate on farmers field on RD of fertilizer Training, awareness and FLD programme on IPM of cotton. | Main cotton research station, NAU., Surat |

| Ground nut | Low productivity of groundnut | No use of biofertilizer and imbalance use of RD of fertilizer | 1. An application of RD of fertilizer and biofertilizer | Conducted FLD training and awareness programme | Research Scientist, Oil seeds Project, Junagadh |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Pigeon pea | Low productivity of pigeon pea | Use of local variety and sowing on flate land | Introduce new variety of Pigeon pea like Vaishali Land configuration, Use of bio fertilizer and RD of fertilizer | Conducted FLD and OFT on Pigeon pea and training programme | Director of Research and Pulse research station NAU, Navsari |
| Gram | Low productivity | Use of local variety | 1.Introduce new variety 2.use of Bio fertilizer and RD of fertilizer | Conducted FLD and training , awareness programme | Director of Research and Pulse research station NAU, Navsari |
| Drill Paddy (GR-5) | Low productivity | Use of local variety | Introduce new variety | Conducted FLD and training programme | Rice research Station, NAU, Vyara |
| Paddy | Low productivity | They are not sowing of Green manure before TP of planting | Imbalance use of fertilizer and | Introduce Green manure and conducted FLD and training programme on Paddy Use of RD of ferilizer Use of improved variety | Director of Research,m Rice Research Station,NAU, Vyara |

| Crop | Name of technology | Recommended by Whom | Reason of selection | Characteristics of variety. |
|------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Groundnut (Kharif) | Land configuration | Research Scientist, Oil seeds, Junagadh | Growing G'nut on flat bed There is possibility of water stagnation during heavy rain which affect groundnut plant.& use old variety. | GG-6 Bunch type, Erect pod bold, thick, oil content 50.2 %, yield 73.0 %. |
| Pigeon pea (Kharif) | Introduction of new variety, Land configuration | Research Scientist, Pulses Crop, Navsari | Use Local variety which give low yield & susceptible to wilt. | Vaishali Seed are white & red, more branches in plant, pod number high, tolerant to wilt, SMD, phytophtera, maturity days 150-160. |
| Gram (Rabi) | Land configuration | Research Scientist, Pulses Crop, Navsari | Use Local variety & growing on flat bed. | GG-2 Bold size seeds with reddish colure also suitable for dalia. It is god variety for inter culturing with sugarcane. |
| Paddy (TP) | ICM | Research Sct. NARP, NAU, Navsari | To introduction of new variety for transplant and drill paddy. | GR-7 (Early maturing-115 day) Medium grain, good cooking quality, Tolerant to grain discoloration ,blight, blast & hoppers, stem borer GR-12 Medium duration ,fine long slender grain. Tolerant to grain discoloration ,blight, blast & hoppers, stem borer |
| Drill Paddy | ICM | Research Sct. MRRS,AAU, Navagam | To introduction of new variety for drill paddy | GR-5 Early maturing, course grain, suitable to hilly region, non lodging type. |
| Brinjal | INM | Vegetable Research Unit, NAU, Navsari | Imbalance use of fertilizer & not using FYM. | |
| Okra | INM | Vegetable Research Unit, NAU, Navsari | Imbalance use of fertilizer & not using FYM. | |
| Cotton | Production Technology | NAU, Navsari | No Use of KNo ₃ , No proper Weed management, flat sowing and improper spacing | |

4. Details of each of the technology under Assessment, Refinement and demonstration